

APPENDIX L.8
Public Comments

DRAFT

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/9/2015	Meeting	People are using the trail right beside the Needles feature to access the beach. Should consider opportunities to safely connect the community at the top of the Bluffs in this area with Brimley Road – this would also mitigate potential impacts on the Bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/9/2015	Meeting	Wild Bluffs would support top of bluffs connections between Bluffer's Park and Meadowcliffe.	Comment on Alternative
6/9/2015	Meeting	Tracy provided an overview of wildlife observations within Bluffer's Park. The following unique observations were noted: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Snapping turtles are nesting at Bluffer's Park Marina and Dunker's Flow. Karen noted that there may be opportunities to enhance and protect these nesting areas. • Red headed woodpecker spotted near Guild Park and Gardens and Rosetta McClain Gardens (near the fall season). • Mink and/or snapping turtles may have impacted the swan population. • Swans are known to nest on the floating platform in the boat club embayment. • A pair of Trumpeter Swans is located in Dunker's Flow, which have been tagged by the Ontario Trumpeter Swans. 	Ecology
6/9/2015	Meeting	Concern: Cell phone tagging of Canadian Geese.	Ecology
6/9/2015	Meeting	Concern: Relocation of Canadian Geese to northern communities.	Ecology
6/15/2015	Meeting	Providing more access will attract users from outside the area who will lack respect or etiquette for the park.	Access
6/15/2015	Meeting	alternative modes of transportation to the park need to be explored if access is increased	Access
6/15/2015	Meeting	Cross walk across Brimley Road	Brimley Road
6/15/2015	Meeting	In the case of the Meadowcliffe Erosion Control project, bank swallow habitat was destroyed, how could this have happened through the EA process?	Ecology
6/15/2015	Meeting	Regarding the ToR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o No mention preserving geological features of the Bluffs; vegetation of the bluffs is not natural o No mention of brown bats in the area 	Ecology
6/15/2015	Meeting	Viewfinder pointed towards areas of interest, such as bank swallow habitat on bluff face	Ecology
6/15/2015	Meeting	Erosion control projects to protect property have been undertaken in this area by TRCA in the past. Is erosion control part of the SWP?	Erosion
6/15/2015	Meeting	Occasional weekend users ("Weekend Warriors") vs. regular park users <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Weekend users lack a respect for nature and acceptable noise levels; littering is also an issue with infrequent park users 	Existing Conditions
6/15/2015	Meeting	Historically, the Bluffs have been unsafe and shoreline engineering was undertaken to protect housing/property instead of protecting geology and wildlife	Existing Conditions
6/15/2015	Meeting	What is the intention or plan for the area at Bluffer's Park?	Mandate
6/15/2015	Meeting	Trust issues with TRCA projects and protection of wildlife on past projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Meadowcliffe project – destruction of bank swallow habitat - Chine Meadow public meeting – response that "animals will adapt" (i.e. return to the site once construction is complete) 	Mandate
6/15/2015	Meeting	Parking should be limited,	Parking
6/15/2015	Meeting	Past erosion control projects undertaken by TRCA had the intention of protecting houses and private property. How can current tax payers believe that TRCA will protect geology or wildlife with this project as they have done so previously? There are also issues with tax payer dollars being spent to protect a select group of homeowners living along the Bluffs.	Private Landowners
6/15/2015	Meeting	Interpretive signage to help educate unfamiliar/ignorant visitors. Ideas include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o history and preservation of the Bluffs o impacts of feeding animals / littering 	Signage
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Better bike access	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Could have listened to more tours throughout the year	Consultation
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	And parking <how to manage with increase in #'s>	Parking

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Public access - how to manage an increase in the # of people accessing the Bluffs.	Traffic
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Access by car	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Making the trail safe for hiking,	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	but still educating people about how not to disturb the natural/wildlife in the area.	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	I like the emphasis on finding solutions to erosion that are more "natural" or less invasive, and that accommodate human AND animal/plant/insect life.	Erosion
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Biking trails	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	and accessibility	Accessibility (AODA)
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Promoting widely to obtain maximum exposure and awareness	Consultation
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Need to promote widely so public awareness is present for full consultation	Consultation
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Hiking trail <in response to what opportunities should be considered>	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Connect it with Bluffers	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Better access to the waterfront would be great	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	One of the existing accesses to the waterfront be made available in Guildwood, but NOT Greyabbey Trail	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Waterfront Trail west to connect	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Continuous walking/cycling trail to boardwalk at woodbine	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Connect to Bluffers Park for public	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	I hope Bluffers Park will join the present trail in some capacity	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Better access to the waterfront (so we could walk the tour rather than needing vans)	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Better connections to the parks east and west of the site	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Make this a part of the waterfront trail	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	As a walker using the road along the waterfront, I would enjoy less vegetation along the water side of the path.	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	With all the trees and bushes on both sides it gets very hot, as well as not having good views of the lake.	Existing Conditions
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Information signs so people can learn	Signage
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Currently people who catch carp, throw them in the bushes.	Existing Conditions
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Post a sign/picture board of the fish that are 'catchable' near the places where people are currently fishing. With instructions of what they should be do with their catch.	Signage
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Instructional signs e.g. layers of the bluff	Signage
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	and engage community to actively part of it.	Consultation
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	It is good option to preserve the environment	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Shoreline protection is very important, but in the process, we lose the "bluff" of cliff. I'd like to see that preserved somewhere - and Bluffer's Park may do. It's a shame should we lose all of it.	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	even at the expense of accessibility. <starts of with "we prefer to keep the area more on the wild side">	Accessibility (AODA)
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	We prefer to keep the area more on the wild side	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Better explanation of who has jurisdiction over what would be good e.g. wind turbines vs. bird habitat	Miscellaneous
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	swimming beaches <should be considered>	Beach
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Build marina at East Point	Boat dock/launch/marina
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Connect trail to beach/bluffers parks	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	connect trail to P.U. trail	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Diving park for ship wrecks<should be considered>	Park Amenities
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Washrooms <should be considered>	Park Amenities
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Lighting <should be considered>	Park Amenities

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Build sports facilities at East Point	Park Amenities
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Trail must be made safe for women and kids	Safety
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Emergency phones <should be considered>	Safety
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Maintaining natural beauty	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Make the road smoother	Materials
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Create look out points with maps and info about the area	Signage
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Love to see clearer public access pts and connections to bluffers	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Appreciate we may need to use trail design to provide access AND protect natural feel.	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Long term commission various art works between Fool's Paradise and Guild Park.	Park Amenities
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Create an art and nature walk.	Park Amenities
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Include sign posts with descriptions along trail	Signage
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Focus on preservation as opposed to making it more recreational	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	<Consider> protected and preserved areas without human access as well as controlled spaces for walking and bicycles, water access	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	As neighbours we hope to see the nature preserved as well as a place our family can explore.	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	No swimming beach	Beach
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	No marina	Boat dock/launch/marina
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Footpath along shore - informal - no lightning	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	More items like the PASSAGE on the shoreline	access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	It would be nice to see the trees & shrubs cut down from road/path to water - be removed/cut down	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	education to public on danger of bluffs eroding	Erosion
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	i.e. see too many people climbing down	Existing Conditions
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Only recreational, non-commercial opportunities	Development
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	More info on how this study connects with neighbouring areas.	Other Initiatives
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Water park on waterfront!	Park Amenities
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Picnic areas along the various spots in the project area.	Park Amenities
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Any activities that will draw in visitors to enjoy the shoreline	Park Amenities
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Not sure. I like all the ideas and think they are sufficient	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Maybe install some tall posts for bat boxes?	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	And see if the water would be safe to swim?	Water quality
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Improved trail (waterfront trail)	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	I like focus on wildlife habitat,	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	<I life focus on> fish spawning areas	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Please encourage the younger generation to participate in the project	Consultation
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Consider a site/post where people with smart technology can interact. (Post & get info about the area & project.)	Park Amenities
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Erosion #1 of course	Erosion
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	swimming - interesting to note that beaches are a great form of prevention	Park Amenities
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Wish money was available to let more people enjoy & appreciate this area.	Funding/cost
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Fire dept. now out to regularly plucking folks off the Bluffs.	Existing Conditions
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Crazy unsafe behaviour at the top of the Bluffs at Scarborough Bluffs Park. No understanding of the dangers of undercut. People will be killed.	Safety
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Many visitors every weekend result in huge traffic jams every weekend.	Traffic
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Leave it alone	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Could add more wetlands to improve diversity	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Improve road	Materials
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Doing a fantastic job	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	<consider> public access	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	<consider> Bluff remediation	Management
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Maybe a boardwalk connecting to Bluffers rather than change the shoreline? <referring to making it accessible for "older folks">	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	There was concern about bringing more people into this sensitive area.	Access
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Access for older folks would be great - perhaps not the whole length but some of it.	Accessibility (AODA)
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Site is wonderful. Keep it as natural as possible.	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Need to protect the slopes as well as the wildlife from the people.	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	The Bluffs are unique and need to be preserved.	Ecology
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Link up to Bluffers Park from the east	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2015	Shoreline Tour	Would like to know more about future plans to preserve the Bluffs.	Bluff Geology
7/29/2015	Meeting	People are using the trail right beside the Needles feature to access the beach. Should consider opportunities to safely connect the community at the top of the Bluffs in this area with Brimley Road – this would also mitigate potential impacts on the Bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
7/29/2015	Meeting	Wild Bluffs would support top of bluffs connections between Bluffer's Park and Meadowcliffe.	Comment on Alternative
7/29/2015	Meeting	Cell phone tagging of Canadian Geese.	Ecology
7/29/2015	Meeting	Relocation of Canadian Geese to northern communities.	Ecology
8/24/2015	Email	Additional public access to the shoreline along the base of the Scarborough Bluffs can be a benefit to the whole community.	Access
8/24/2015	Email	As for the pathway being "unnecessary", connecting the shoreline path from Beechgrove to Morningside Avenue would add an essential link to extend the Waterfront Trail along Lake Ontario. People in Scarborough would benefit from such a connection, just as people on the west side of downtown benefit from the Martin Goodman Trail. Some of those folks in Mimico even use the Martin Goodman Trail to commute to downtown by bicycle. Could that happen here?	Comment on Alternative
8/24/2015	Email	The establishment of a pathway at the base of the Scarborough Bluffs, to be used by both pedestrians and bicyclists, is long overdue. Looking to the future, this would help in making the Waterfront Trail a seamless ribbon of public parkland, immediately adjacent to the waters of Lake Ontario, from east to west in Toronto. What a wonderful legacy this could be for our children and grandchildren to enjoy.	Comment on Alternative
8/24/2015	Email	This letter is in response to the "shoreline concern" that was published in the summer edition of News and Views. There are several alternative perspectives to those expressed by <name>, and this letter presents one of those alternative perspectives. The first concern that the <name> list is that a sandy beach at the base of the bluffs will be eliminated by the construction of "an unnecessary bikeway". Other developments that TRCA has along the shoreline have demonstrated that sandy beaches can be a part of any change that would make the beautiful shoreline at the base of the bluffs accessible to more people. The community at Port Union, for example, has experienced many wonderful benefits since they gained access to the lakefront.	Miscellaneous
8/24/2015	Email	The third argument that the <name> use is that they own the property and are unwilling to sell it for the greater good of the whole community. At one time there was a community called Chesterton Shores that existed to the east of the Port Union GO station, between the CN Railway line and Lake Ontario. This area is now a park that includes the Waterfront Trail. These folks had to give up their homes, not just a bit of accessible shoreline at the base of the bluffs. Now the whole community is able to enjoy not only a lovely pathway, but there are also opportunities to appreciate the trees, grasses, birds and other wildlife in this naturalized parkland.	Private Landowners

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
8/24/2015	Email	Where is the evidence that a pathway along the shore, from Beechgrove to Bluffers Park, would create "a highly vulnerable and dangerous situation" for pedestrians in need of help?	Safety
8/24/2015	Email	Right now there is access to this area from both the Bellamy Ravine site and from the roadway on the east side of the Guild Inn grounds. The existing pathway from Beechgrove to the estuary of the Rouge River, for example, has the railway as a barrier to access and yet it is a safe place for pedestrians and cyclists.	Safety
9/25/2015	Email	and evidently a marina of some kind is planned, along with a recreational fishing business on the Guild portion of the Scarborough Bluffs shoreline.	Boat dock/launch/marina
9/25/2015	Email	With respect to climate change, APESA, and many others in this community and elsewhere, see only one possible outcome of the hugely out-of-scale Guild Park development and the Waterfront project together: a contribution to climate change in the form of more cars, more boats, fewer trees, pesticide use, generation of garbage and sewage.	Climate Change
9/25/2015	Email	We call on the GVCA, on behalf of the people and wildlife of Guildwood, to contact the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change, Environmental Approvals Branch, to request immediate attention to the ways in which these large, intertwined developments will contribute to climate change, and to question why TRCA is not fulfilling its "conservation" mandate.	Consultation
9/25/2015	Email	In the summer edition of "Guildwood News and Views", there was a letter from <name> to the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change, Environmental Approvals Branch. They expressed concerns about the Toronto Region Conservation Authority's Scarborough Waterfront Plan. The group I represent, APESA - Alliance for the Preservation of Environmentally Sensitive Areas - shares these concerns, especially as they apply to climate change and the need to protect the natural areas including the waterfront and the Guild Park Forest, which is a City of Toronto FSA: Environmentally Significant Area.	Ecology
9/25/2015	Email	Now, a posted sign indicates that City of Toronto Parks and Urban Forestry is engaged in "selective tree removal" in Guild Park. The trees are healthy and mature but, apparently, the developer wants them gone because they obstruct the view of the lake. Mr. Ainslie assured us that this would not happen. Why is Urban Forestry cutting down beautiful, healthy, mature trees when their mandate is to protect them?	Ecology
9/25/2015	Email	Toronto Urban Forestry has been applying the pesticides Garlon and Roundup both in the Guild Forest ESA, and along the shoreline close to the lake. These poisons are banned in many jurisdictions because they are toxic to wildlife including bees and fish. Why is TRCA allowing this?	Ecology
9/25/2015	Email	Thankfully, our current MP, John McKay, has written to the responsible federal agency, the Pest Management Regulatory Agency, requesting a complete overview and investigation of the poisonous products being used here in the Guild with a likely end to their acceptability and legality in Canada.	Ecology
9/25/2015	Email	And while there will be a contribution to climate change, there will also be a very significant threat to the Guild Forest, wildlife, along with our air and water quality.	Ecology
9/25/2015	Email	This area is unique, wild, natural - home to many plants, animals and birds. Rosa and Spencer Clark would want us to do everything in our power to respect and protect it.	Ecology
9/25/2015	Email	Through APESA's research, it has become clear that the large development in Guild Park and the Scarborough Waterfront Park will be connected.	Other Initiatives
9/25/2015	Email	Hundreds of parking spaces are planned for the restaurant and banquet centre,	Parking
9/25/2015	Email	There will be traffic from both cars and boats.	Traffic
9/25/2015	Email	that this in no way means that the suggestion in this case a marina is being considered by the TRCA. I have copied Mr. Dave Arnold, President of the Guildwood Village Community Association on this email as he had asked for a response from the TRCA.	Boat dock/launch/marina
9/25/2015	Email	Just to recap our conversation of this morning to confirm that all options brought forward by residents are put forward during the consultation process;	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/4/2016	Email	One problem for all year use of the trail exists for walkers, runners, and cyclists who may need to use a washroom when travelling along the trail. The permanent washrooms are closed Spring, Fall and Winter. The closest washroom during this time is at the Go station, not convenient if you are walking far in either direction. Would it not be possible to have a couple of "Johnny on the Spots" if the cost of having the washrooms open all year is out of the question?	Park Amenities
1/15/2016	Email	More access to people is not necessarily a good thing.	Access
1/15/2016	Email	Since the city seems to require a continuation of the trails along the Scarborough Bluffs perhaps you could consider not destroying all of the beach heads we have.	Beach
1/15/2016	Email	Placing a barrier and trail along the Bluffs until you get to the western edge of the East Point Conservation Area removes a large amount of the beaches that exist now.	Beach
1/15/2016	Email	Walking along a beach is much more uplifting than an asphalt walkway.	Beach
1/15/2016	Email	The cultural features have been well established for the last 16-12000 years.	Bluff Geology
1/15/2016	Email	What you are proposing does nothing to enhance the effectiveness of the functioning ecosystem we already have.	Comment on Alternative
1/15/2016	Email	If we now "groom" the Bluffs and East Point Park we will have lost one of the few remaining natural areas in TO.	Comment on Alternative
1/15/2016	Email	Perhaps you could consider running the trail up the western edge and then through East Point Park to connect with the Highland Creek system and not run it along the beaches for that short distance around the East Point Park headland. This will maintain some of the original cliffs and beaches for us and future generations to enjoy.	Comment on Alternative
1/15/2016	Email	I have attached a letter I had sent to the TRCA back in April about this topic Since then I had a meeting with Nancy Gillespie about the Bluffs. She assured me that nothing more would be done with the beaches since the homes in the Guild are now protected. What happened in the last few months since then?	Consultation
1/15/2016	Email	I have worked with and for the TRCA since 1974 as an Outdoor Education Teacher. I have designed Environmental Studies Programs for the TDSB, the TRCA and the East York Board of Education. It has always been my mandate to try as conservationists to maintain as much of our natural environment as possible for our descendants to enjoy. Just as our forefathers set aside Algonquin Park for us to visit today we must do all we can to preserve the Scarborough Bluffs after 16000 years. Why must we try to message the natural environment for human use.	Ecology
1/15/2016	Email	Why are we creating greenspaces that are already there and functioning well?	Ecology
1/15/2016	Email	What natural environment are you protecting if you cover the beaches with Toronto concrete waste, prevent natural erosion processes and bring about the eventual demise of the Bluffs?	Ecology
1/15/2016	Email	I have lived above the Scarborough Bluffs for 65 years. Not much time compared to the lifetime of this geologic feature, but time enough to enjoy how unique the ecosystems are. Trying to conserve these is a challenge we face as conservationists, citizens, teachers and futurists. Maintenance of this area is important to us, many species of flora and fauna are there for the future generations that will come to enjoy the natural beauty. Much of this is threatened by the plans from the TRCA to cover the natural beaches with a seawall and walking/bike paths. The impact on the deer, coyote, fox and rabbit populations will be major. Litter fire setting damage to flora will be substantial	Ecology
1/15/2016	Email	The beach and cliff environment has maintained itself quite well in the East Point Park Area for a long time. There is no need to adjust it. Be the person who preserves it for the future not the one who "humanizes" it.	Erosion
1/15/2016	Email	We are concerned with the loss of property and buildings that should never have been placed near this natural wonder in the first place but are now there.	Erosion
1/15/2016	Email	This may be the case below Guildwood but not near East Point park. There the natural beaches and erosion processes don't negatively affect anyone.	Erosion

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/15/2016	Email	Since slumping is the major cause of the cliffs falling we should be working to drain water from the face of the cliffs	Erosion
1/15/2016	Email	not building barriers at the bottom. All that does is to change this 16000 year old feature into a steep green slope.	Erosion
1/15/2016	Email	You can see the effects in the Bluffers Park area ever since it opened to the public. Garbage blows all over the coast line and floats in the lake. The once majestic cliffs are becoming treed slopes. There are few beaches left just walls of armour stone to walk behind below Guildwood.	Existing Conditions
1/15/2016	Email	People who want to experience in close proximity to a populated area, wilderness, have an opportunity now.	Existing Conditions
1/15/2016	Email	It is too bad that for the sake of protecting lands where we shouldn't have built on in the first place we now are considering action that will destroy this feature.	Existing Conditions
1/15/2016	Email	I am surprised at the TRCA, with a mandate to conserve, being a part of this destruction of a unique geological wonder.	Mandate
1/15/2016	Email	We can't forget the mandate of the TRCA - to conserve.	Mandate
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	Why doesn't the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) have a bus that goes down to Bluffer's Park, at least during the summer months?	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	Will trails linking the top of the Bluffs to the base of the Bluffs be fully accessible?	Accessibility (AODA)
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	Are there plans to construct a new marina as part of the Project?	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	The public shoreline tour hosted by the Project Team on September 12, 2015 was great; is there going to be another tour?	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	Can trees be planted on the headlands to increase the amount of natural cover?	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	Have the headland beaches that have already been constructed actually improved the aquatic habitat?	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	East Point Park is a natural area with a stunning beach and no infrastructure on the tablelands; why can't we preserve the beach in this area?	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	Ensure the design is proactive with regards to cormorant populations.	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	Large numbers of cormorants could impact the fish populations.	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	I have witnessed boulders and other material sliding down the bluffs through the East Segment.	Existing Conditions
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	Why are we obligated to park in areas where parking fees are applied?	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Meeting Summary	What is the risk to public safety at East Point Park? Has anyone ever been rescued in this area?	Safety
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Public transit at Bluffer's - use shorter buses (TTC) during peak season	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	would the disposal of cremation be affected by the creation of headlands?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	would it be possible to make the Doris McCarthy trail more serpentine?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Alternative 2 revetment - isn't the headland already the approved project? It does not make sense to have the revetment option if the headland is the approved project	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	when they remove the ash trees, are they removing the stumps? Is this contributing to increased erosion?	Erosion
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	trail at the east end of South Marine is private property, but there is currently a proposed trail. How is this possible?	Private Landowners
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Happy with project, lives in central segment	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	consultation process seems to have some bad or inappropriate Alternatives. Why waste time on these? Expropriate private land sections (esp. where people want to build bridges?)	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	like the headland option	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Cycling along waterfront. Separated bike lanes	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Impressive list of industry, stakeholders involved (read the ToR)	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	why such a lengthy process?	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	the headlands work already in this area so why spend all this time and resources on selection process?	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Concerned with disturbance to wildlife during construction	Construction & Implementation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Concerned with invasive species	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Concerned with lack of vegetation at new shoreline areas (Meadowcliffe) and it is very dusty	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Concerned about bird boxes not being cleaned	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Concerned about disturbances to wildlife because of more human access	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Concerned about ongoing erosion	Erosion
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Concerned about off-leash dogs & lack of enforcement	Park Amenities
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Want project to happen ASAP	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	organize shuttle bus to come down Brimley and charge \$5.00 to ride and operate in peak season	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	want a boat launch for boats with motors	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	would like to see more headland features in other segments, not just segment 1	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	headlands are a great idea but don't build a man-made beach	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	do not like causeway	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	build a lookout point for bird watching/nature viewing	Park Amenities
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	always passable beach at the point of East Point Park --> keep it natural (no revetment)	Beach
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	maintaining shoreline access is important -- takes you away from the city	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	need to stay on the waterfront	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Port Perry - connect with Martin Goodman Trail all the way through Scarborough	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	stay along the lake, don't go to the top of the bluffs	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Natural space	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	give option of people going at the top of the bluff and along the beach between Grey Abbey and EPP	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	need to make sure people who like nature are heard	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	concerned about shoals being a danger to shipping. Will they be marked?	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	if the bank is stabilized will more construction take place on top?	Erosion
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	will there be Blue Flag beaches?	Park Amenities
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	bad to have so many Alternatives.	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Speed up the process	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	traffic would be too much for residents with access to Grey Abbey ravine	Traffic
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	could have a shuttle up and down Brimley	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	The headland created for the beach option would also help marina users as the headland would have the added benefit of reducing silting at the mouth of the marina entrance. Currently has to be dredged often	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Brimley road really needs improvement. Should be a top priority.	Brimley Road
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	How could you widen Brimley? Would it require acquiring some of the private land from yards on the east side of the road?	Brimley Road
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	like the natural beach option.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	a bigger beach would bring more cars. Parking is an issue	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	the causeway and island alternatives offer a lot of interesting opportunities	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	the beach is the most attractive option,	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016		Same with Dorris McCarthy trail. <needs improvement and should be top priority>	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	boater likes the headland/beach option the best as it would help stop silting at the entrance to the marina	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	happy that something is being done. Don't see anything that they don't like, but prefer the more natural options (i.e. the beach)	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	connectivity is important	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Don't want to see additional top to bottom access between Brimley and Dorris McCarthy. Would bring too many people through resident's yards/streets in this section	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	question about the proposed trail from Faircroft Blvd to Cudia (yellow line on map). Is there a new trail or infrastructure proposed, as this is along a road?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	this is a fragile ecosystem and a fragile landscape. The base of the bluffs below Cudia is a precarious spot	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	with the beach option, because it would take longer, will there be continued danger from bluff erosion?	Erosion
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	There is a sunken barge below Cudia Park	Existing Conditions
1/28/2016		and overflow parking at the top <of Brimley>	Parking
1/28/2016		but there is concern with the amount of time <the beach> would take to form	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	You guys [TRCA] do really good work!	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	EMS access is important	Safety
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	water rights of a church west of Bluffers and concern that it might restrict EMS access	Safety
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	EMS has boats and EMS vehicle access shouldn't be a top priority	Safety
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	TTC to Bluffer's	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Accessibility	Accessibility (AODA)
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	How would the natural beach west of Meadowcliffe fill in?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Green headlands	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	cycling access is very important to connect the trail	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Keeping EPP beaches natural is very important. This feeling is supported by many people that visit the beach on a regular basis.	Beach
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Keep EPP beach natural - it is one of the most beautiful beaches anywhere.	Beach
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Alternative 1 B provides opportunity to feel like you are outside of the City and in cottage country. Escape to nature is important	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	If Alternative 1A could remain natural, it would be amazing. A natural trail would be most welcome	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	I cycle to East Point Park and would love to see both trails (top of bluffs and near water) implemented	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Alternative 1A preferred	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	opportunity to connect trail to EPP is vital	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Alternative 1A best	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	great idea to build in aquatic habitat in Alternative 1A	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Alternative 1A preferred here	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	enjoy the area as natural for walks and wildlife observations	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Nature first is key	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	The bird observation area in EPP is a true gem and should be highlighted in the plan	ESAs/Species Protection
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	I've witnessed many large boulders roll down the bluffs which could hurt people.	Existing Conditions
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	attention should be paid to homeowners who have suffered erosion problems in their backyards.	Private Landowners
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	When and if the headland beach system is added in Alternative 1 & 2 can these homeowners have their land restored?	Private Landowners
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	EPP bluffs doesn't seem all that high, why is it labelled as dangerous? I don't ever remember people in danger there. I recommend that the risk line be removed from the EPP bluff area	Safety
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Safety is important	Safety
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	don't mind the steep incline - don't want many people going down there	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	stairs for steep access, wheel access for steep roads needed	Accessibility (AODA)

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	How do we propose to decrease the slop at the Guild Inn?	Accessibility (AODA)
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Revetment Alternative - a safe harbour on the headland beaches for smaller boats to find refuge	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	both alternatives are good but prefer headland beach option	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	want to run there, increases beauty and preserves bluffs	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	not opposed to either option	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	like the little bays for waterfowl & fish	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	what if there is no headland beach at Sylvan and only at Guild Inn? Is that an option?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	prefer the revetment option	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Revetment Alternative - do some more aquatic friendly habitat	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	prefer the headland beach option because it seems to offer better improved aquatic habitat	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	increase aquatic habitat	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	mitigate where there is erosion near homes	Erosion
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	are you going to clean up the rebar?	Existing Conditions
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	needs a lot of cleanup	Garbage
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Access down to Bluffs too	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	steep, need something	Accessibility (AODA)
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	want connection. When?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	safety of people and ecosystem. Understand this and balance needs	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016		likes beach expansion - likes the beach	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Alternative 2 - add headlands to build up the beach naturally	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Bring back the meadows (west of Cudia Park)	Ecology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	More parking at other access points	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	hazard concern (general awareness) --> visitors falling off cliff -- how to address	Safety
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	trail at base of bluffs. Keep slopes accessible for seniors	Accessibility (AODA)
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	keep the sand beach - go along the top of the bluffs	Beach
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Like the launch for canoes and kayaks	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	top and base of bluffs trails (combo) preferred	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	No asphalt surface. Gravel or natural trail	Materials
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	headlands are working, why look at anything else?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	How are you phasing this?	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Bylaw enforcement at Bluffers please for pet abandonment, religious ceremonies, sound systems, off-leash dogs	ByLaw/Enforcement
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	More bylaw officers are needed.	ByLaw/Enforcement
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	I've lived in Scarborough for 40 years and I wish you guys would leave the bluffs alone and hold off on the trees	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Needs lookout/bumpout points on bridge for circulation around fishers or people who want to stop to lookout	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Wildlife #1 - should be the most important consideration	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Dogs belong on a leash. Dogs chase the wildlife	Miscellaneous
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Do it right the first time	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Concerned about access points.	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Would like to see construction start sooner	Construction & Implementation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Would like to see construction start sooner	Construction & Implementation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Would like to see construction start sooner	Construction & Implementation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Don't want construction vehicles on Guildwood Parkway	Construction & Implementation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Look at sediment control for Bluffer's Park	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Concerned whether there will be funds for construction.	Funding/cost
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Will plan just sit? Political changes will occur over the long term planning/construction windows may not be supported	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	So this won't be built in my lifetime?	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	So this won't be built in my lifetime?	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	So this won't be built in my lifetime?	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	So this won't be built in my lifetime?	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	bus routes/access needed	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	reduce number of people at east beach	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	shuttle to seminary/TDSB to park	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Boat clubs are concerned re: public	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	better accessibility - not willing to wait 30 years for beach	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	enough beach for now	Beach
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Pedestrian access needed on Brimley	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Alternative that stays long enough	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Architetural blend in community creating community living	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	boardwalk preferred	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	emphasize nature	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Official walkway from west of Meadowcliffe to east side	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	expand beach	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	bridge preferred	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	trail important	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	prefer Alternative 5B	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Prevent infill of boat basin	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	tie in economic interests i.e. - hotels, tourism	Miscellaneous
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	parking needs expansion	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Cathedral Bluffs trail	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	shuttle bus from top of hill	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Bylaw enforcement at Bluffers - animal dumping, illegal dumping, partying, wedding photography without permits, dogs off leash	ByLaw/Enforcement
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	if you bring more people into area need more bylaw enforcement officers to enforce rules	ByLaw/Enforcement
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	bridge idea would be ugly	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	don't want anything done Bluffers to Meadowcliffe	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	plan for future use and volume of people using	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	have parking at top of hill	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	need EMS access, don't like bridge because of this	Safety
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	options should have timelines and costs - would help public decide what option is better	Funding/cost
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	would be open to adding improved access down to the beach	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Likes that the work that has been done to the east of the study area and would visit the area more if the trails were better developed.	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	no marian or boat lauch should be constructed	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	prefer alternative 2A (bridge with top-of-bluffs connection)	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	would prefer that trail be constructed on the top of the bluffs and that the existing sandy beach be left as is.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	prefers alternative 2B (bridge with base-of-bluffs connection)	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	support the construction of headland beaches, and believe they have been very successful in the areas that they have been added	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	believes that headland beaches are more natural-looking than other options such as bridges	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	prefer the creation of a shoreline revetment with a trail as this would provide safe access to the coast and help stop erosion	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	happy with existing features in the area including viewing blinds	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	disagree with both options 2A & 2B	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	East Point Park should be left as natural as possible	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	the beach is great as it is	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	tablelands should be left alone	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	prefer a reduction in the amount of unofficial trails	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	beach habitat should be left as is	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	East segment - prefer Alternative 2B (bridge with base of bluff connection)	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	prefer revetment as this allows access along the coast	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	do not support a "do nothing" approach	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Concerned about ceding to all the demands from the cycling community; believe that their lobby is disproportionate, and that sometimes they are "just as aggressive as dog walkers".	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	protection of natural vegetation is key	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Take steps to protect rare plants	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Worried that proposed project will increase the number of non-native plants present	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Open bluffs are important for Bank Swallows and should be allowed to keep eroding	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	do no wish to see any stocking of non-native fish (e.g. brown trout)	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Concerned about snake mortality	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	and the threat from erosion to personal safety is not significant.	Erosion

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Existing erosion should not be stopped as these processes are what create favourable conditions for rare plants	Erosion
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	property owners were in part responsible due to their resistance to planting trees that could help secure the eroding substrate.	Erosion
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Plan should consider ways to deal with the influx of visitors that additional trails will bring into the study area	Management
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Believe that TRCA ecologists, biologists and botanists should be more involved in the trail design process and not just engineering firms	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	The EA process is roughly going in the right direction	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	suggest that not all trails should default to multi-use trail criteria since this imposes minimum widths that may be too wide. Prefers variation in trails based on site conditions	Recreation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	want more efforts put into keeping dogs on-leash including the addition of signage	Signage
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Keep Headland beach natural!	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	like headland beach, keep.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Causeway would create pool with very little circulation.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Favour the bridge option in the section.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Doris McCarthy Trail - do not use cantilevered trail construction to achieve a 5% or less grade. to destructive of natural environment	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Do not create, or minimize creation of, revetments. Create only where necessary because they are useless as fish habitat.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Use Ontario invasive plant protocol for all equipment used during construction - "Clean Equipment Protocol". This will be especially important for the phragmites.	Construction & Implementation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	during construction treat all phragmites possible on dry land (before construction).	Construction & Implementation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	How will you reconcile private/public interest regarding lookouts and views?	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	note where natural native plant communities already exist, and some are rare, and protect/create the conditions they need to thrive and survive (e.g., stopping bluff erosion with change vegetative communities and we may lose some rare native communities in the area) - <u>this applies to anywhere along the shoreline where applicable</u>	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Have you mapped invasives on table land (e.g. DSV)? at East Point Park? and, is there a maintenance strategy at this location (and other locations along the shoreline)?	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Gavin Miller will have list of rare plants in this area	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Who will maintain bird/bat boxes once installed? they can be a source for spread of disease, and often attract undesirable species (wrens, etc.).	Ecology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Don't have to use boxes. Try using natural nesting options instead.	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	endorse new initiative from ON phragmites group to allow "Rodeo" to be used judiciously and responsibly for control.	Management
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	City/TRCA should consider having a designation/name for these types of "parks" that show that they're not meant to be manicured sports fields (ie., call them Nature Parks or something like that)	Miscellaneous
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Sheltered Harbour - how big is the parking lot? how big is it planned to be? minimize the size as much as possible!	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Sheltered Harbour - Are other parking lots existing and can they be upgraded to green/LID to add to the infiltration and vegetation in the area	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Like idea of decommissioning trails, good.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Islands are a better option where possible. <when speaking about revetments>	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	TTC access to Bluffers Park is needed	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Can a boat launch can be considered at the bottom of the Guild access road?	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Leave Bellamy Ravine alone	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Leave East Point Park alone	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Is or will Waterfront Toronto be involved?	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	More information on the type(s) and extent of habitat opportunities between the different options requested to make a more informed decision	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Please provide more information on how the implementation will be funded	Funding/cost
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Ballpark costing and construction duration of the different options are an important consideration in determining the preferred solution at each segment	Funding/cost
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Will there be EMS access over the bridge option between Bluffers and Bellamy Ravine?	Safety
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Project signage requested along the waterfront in the study limits	Signage
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	We would like a pedestrian connection from the east end of Meadowcliffe Drive to Bellamy Ravine (through the OHT property); walking along the streets back up to Kingston Road is too long	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	I was speaking with Councillor Ainslie's EA, Antonette, and she stressed the importance of communicating information to the local community and suggested we could do more to reach out.	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	She specifically mentioned that she would like to see more regular updates,	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	and that she would like project signs posted at key areas in the project limits (primarily trail heads). As I mentioned last night, we can assist with sign installations as needed. Antonette has asked TRCA send her a sign mockup, and a map with proposed installation points that she can share with a few members of the community to get their input.	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	I also wanted to pass along an idea that you may wish to pursue with Chris Moore here at TRCA. With his help we were able to create an information portal that allows us to post messages to various residents (by street, or Ward, or by any catchment area we need) regarding erosion studies and repairs, and they receive an email advising them that there's a update on the portal. By creating a login ID and password, they are able to access this information, and to post comments and questions to information that we've posted, keeping the dialogue transparent and our messaging consistent. Not sure if you want to look at this type of communication tool for this project, but we've found it helpful.	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Save the beach west of EPP	Beach
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Alternative 1 - Prefer headlands. Is it possible to make sure vegetation is established/planted on the headlands? this would make them look more attractive	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Please provide sheltered spaces for canoes (similar to East Point Park canoe launch) where sufficient landbase exists. For example, at base of construction road	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Prefer Do Nothing option for Segment 2 and 3. Keep existing beach as is	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Images in workbook hard to understand.	Consultation
1/28/2016		Font very small	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Can't see details of maps/figures (too small)	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Please ensure there will be garbage can placed along the route	Garbage
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	No parking at Doris McCarthy trail head. Currently, visitors park on nearby residential streets. Expect increase in parking demand once trail improvements are made/SWP project complete. There is a school on north side of Kingston Rd, across from the trail entrance. Perhaps designate part of their lot as trail users/public parking	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Please explain how connection to Port Union trail will be made	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Want waterfront trail (water's edge) connections between EPP & Highland Creek	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Stressed diversity of experience along EPP "This section isn't for wimps!"	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	unique, natural, untouched beach -not like you are in Toronto!	Beach
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	In reference to sheltered harbour - will it be managed? Who ensures its upkeep?	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	really against option 2b and the particular "paving over the natural shoreline"	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	this person was told that there would be no shoring at all	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	how would bridges be made?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	is there a way to create a causeway or bridge island system here?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	desire to not lose the natural beauty of the bluffs. Already not the same as it used to be. Sandy cliffs now becoming tree covered	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Frustrated with construction scheduling	Construction & Implementation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Spends 6-8 hours at East Point and sees very few people	Existing Conditions
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	who wants constant unfettered access? What is the point of connecting?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	what are the differences between the Alternative 2 revetment and what is currently there?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	How are the access routes coming down from Guildwood Pkwy and Doris McCarthy? Will they both be AODA accessible?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	how does the causeway work and what would be the impact to the beach there?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Revetments are ugly	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Like alternative to stay out of Grey Abbey Ravine.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Leave segment of beach below EPP natural	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	keep it natural	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	another alternative option - islands with no bridge connection	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	Grey Abbey Park is the best section with sandy beach. Would like to see preserved. Lots of people walk along this section	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Verbal comment	East of East Point Park - trail should be improved	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Why does industry want Grey Abbey to avoid revetments east of the ravine?	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Beechgrove car park already has a paved path going east	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	bump outs at Doris and Guild make sense and appear to do minimum harm,	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Please avoid long, plain revetments; they seem so uninteresting	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	two larger headlands at each side of Blue Flag beach seem great.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Can the landfill be covered with armourstone? This area deserves beautifying!	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	It came up at the meeting, keep East Point Park NATURAL.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Great presentation!	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Some handouts had no images on reverse of pages and font very small said my neighbours at table	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	though naturalists would need to assess which "communities" would be affected, foxes, insects, plants etc.	Ecology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	please look at the headland at Cathedral Bluffs - at the south tip there is exposed metal sticking out of the concrete landfill. It is also along the rocky "beach" just east of it	Existing Conditions
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	At all spots separate cyclists from pedestrians, PLEASE. Four boys 11 to 4 years of age on foot were at risk!	Park Amenities
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Really, how dangerous are the bluffs at that point? Can we be a bit of risk?	Safety
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	BUT why have pedestrians going further east? Why not let people on the beach just be left in a calm place, no whizzing bikes, no constant pedestrian traffic as I see at the boardwalk	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	I like suggestion 4A. Eliminates the need for a huge infrastructure bridge over Grey Abbey creek. Gives a moderate grade to access the middle of EPP. Leaves significant shoreline in natural state	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	in this section and in general prefer the headlands. Designs seem the best choice to protect the shore - since they create better water habitat than the revetment design - and they look more pleasing to the eye	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	the option of creating a large beach is very appealing. In a similar situation in Toronto's Beaches area, the large beach near the volleyball courts have drawn true "beach culture" to that place - something that Toronto will benefit a lot. I see the enlarged beach (east of the marina) as an excellent asset for the City.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	As a consequence of attracting such a popular beach area, excellent infrastructure to accommodate the visitors is needed. Parking away from the shore and good TTC and cycling facilities provided	Park Amenities
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	I favour the 5B option,	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	We do not want to lose the beach.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	<I favour> or "do nothing".	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Construction usually makes it visually unappealing and we lose habitat	Construction & Implementation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	connecting the trail to the east part of Bluffer's is still the part of this project that worries me the most. I love the solitude and peace I can get on the beach during non-peak hours	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	I think the do nothing option is the best one	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	and that it should include habitat improvements for the wildlife	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	and I think parks need to have quiet zones with natural areas where people can enjoy nature without noise and heavy traffic.	Park Amenities
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	TTC access to Bluffer's Park	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	I do walking tours at the Guild Park and people want to know how to get down to the water	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Island bridge options - good. Would be nice to walk or cycle with water on both sides	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Causeway - best choice as it allows EMS access	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	I really like the idea of the bridge (either short or long span) as it would be very pleasant to walk over the water and get a good view of the bluffs near Bluffer's Park.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	However, the causeway would allow EMS access which would be an excellent safety factor.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Although broadening the beach would likely be cheaper. This is a unique opportunity for users to be out in the water a bit.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	This option (beach) could also provide EMS access	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	urgent need to improve access via switchback path (badly eroded).	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	excellent idea to reduce slopes of trails (Doris McCarthy and Guild).	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Much prefer the headland beach alternative with viewing nodes. This would be excellent	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	any way to provide water access at East Point Park? Beautiful view that can be seen.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Allow people to walk on sand beach	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	waterfront trail should be along the shores, not on the tablelands.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Revetment to EPP would be preferable	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	The Scarborough Bluffs are unique and beautiful and should be enhanced and preserved for future generations to enjoy. Expensive, but well worth it!	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	what is happening with private ownership of certain properties that extends to waterfront? Go around? Purchase right of way? A couple of individuals should not be allowed to ruin the waterfront trail plan	Private Landowners
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	With addition of restaurant at the Guild, it is a natural opportunity to enjoy the waterfront.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	waterfront trail should NOT be through residential areas!!	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Grey Abbey ravine - please improve this shortly	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	I don't have any particular preference for the Alternatives,	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	what I would like to see is something similar to Port Union along the rest of the Scarborough shoreline.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Hopefully the paved cycling path will be extended west to Bluffer's Park to form a continuous trail.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	At the same time, improvements should be made on the top of the bluffs to the various informal trails and shortcuts that are part of the existing waterfront trail network, so that cyclists don't have to detour on Kingston Rd to travel between the neighbourhoods	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	TTC service to Bluffers Park - especially during summer	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Better walking paths to Bluffers Park	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	better access/another way down to the beach	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	more policing - keep out the people who destroy trees, environment	ByLaw/Enforcement
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Anxious to have the piece from Bluffer's to Doris McCarthy for walkers to go east along that route	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	another beach if possible	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	keep as much in a natural form as possible	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Thank you for an excellent presentation	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	get rid of "dog bane" plant or dog strangling vine. It is taking over our ravines - perhaps get volunteers to help pull out these vines	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	People aren't falling over the Bluffs - I'm pushing them over - well at least I feel like pushing them over after I see how disrespectful they are to trees, land, animals, etc.	Existing Conditions
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Garbage on the beach/trails - because so much of the garbage is Tim Horton's cups, McDonalds packaging - why not have these and other fast food establishments hire and pay teens/seniors/others to pick up garbage from our public lands daily	Garbage
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	how about skating on Dunkers? Lights - a great place for families to gather for winter skating	Park Amenities
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	More lights - bring people down at night	Park Amenities
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Parking charges - by the time they put in the machines every spring and take out the machines every fall and have city workers empty the machines weekly they can't be making any money	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	PARKING CHARGES?? REALLY!! There is no parking charge for Edwards Gardens so WHY should we have to pay for parking?	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	more swimming opportunities	Park Amenities
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	It is important to maintain both cyclist and pedestrian access throughout all of the east, central and west segments at the base of the bluffs.	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	This section is part of the waterfront trail used by cyclists and pedestrians and a prime objective should be to get the waterfront trail on the waterfront, rather than through city neighbourhoods	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Additional access points would be nice, but can wait	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	What about the marina that was rumored?	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	keep the waterfront trail along the waterfront and protect the shoreline at the same time.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	headland beach is the only long term solution to protect the bluffs and give space for the waterfront trail and activity areas. All other alternatives would not fulfill the required functions.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	the "expanded beach" would not protect the bluff sufficiently.	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Saving money now will cost much more later	Funding/Cost
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	the waterfront trail needs to be on the water! And not alone on a very busy railway corridor	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	As a resident of Greyabbey Trail I am again requesting that there be no access n/s route to the waterfront off Greyabbey Trail. This includes a route up and down the bluffs at the ravine (which is actually part of Greyabbey Park). The park is used locally for families with dogs and for family events and usually accessed by foot.	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	We do not want a parking lot for cars, nor do we want cars lining much of the street by beach goers.	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	No parking lot or heavy street traffic parking.	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Homeowners on Greyabbey purchased their homes (some are original owners as far back as '64) for the VIEW and the peaceful setting which currently exists (except, of course with the three year construction site adjacent to 220 Greyabbey).	Private Landowners
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Please ensure in your plans that we will regain our idyllic, waterfront and undisturbed bluff tableland.	Private Landowners
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Thank you for all the though you are putting into this.	Process
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	Alternative number 1 benefits: access from Bluffer's Park for srs, access from Guildwood park, increase the size of beach at Bluffers and walk with at habitat enhancement	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	hope to see a walking and bike path	Access
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	<Could the Alternatives for the East Segment be changed in any way?> "No"	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	a lot of erosion in this part so as long as there is some sort of walking and bike path in the plan I'm happy	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	<any alternatives missing for the Central Segment Alternatives2?> "as far as I'm concerned other than a paved surface this is complete"	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	<Could the Alternatives for the Central Segment be changed in any way?> "No"	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	<any alternatives missing for the West Segment?> "no"	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	a bridge sounds like a great idea. Long term maintenance required	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	and a causeway or full shoreline are the best choice	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	parking enlargement at the Guild for shoreline use will be necessary at some point	Parking
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	what happens if the lady on Greyabbey and Morningside doing her own shoreline protection decides not to allow access across her shoreline?	Private Landowners
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	<hope to see path> all the way to Bluffer's Park	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Workbook	<Could the Alternatives for the West Segment be changed in any way?> "Not necessary. All Good"	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Email	Just a quick note of thanks to you and your colleagues for the amazingly informative meeting Thursday night.	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Email	It is very obvious that a tremendous amount of thought and planning is going into getting the waterfront project just right, and your collective efforts are much appreciated.	Consultation
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	Headland beach Alternative panel - need for more parking	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	West segment - Do Nothing panel - I agree with the do nothing alternative	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	West segment - Do Nothing panel - the do nothing option is still my preference. The beach at Bluffer's is the nicest in the city - NO BOARDWALK	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	East segment - Sheltered harbour panel - build a larger boat launch at East Point to allow launching of motor boats and parking. Bluffer's is often full on weekends	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	West segment - Expanded beach panel - include this headland protection feature in other options too (comment pointing at headland extension at Bluffer's Park)	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	West segment - Expanded beach panel - like headlands - the only answer!	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	Central segment - Headland beach and revetment panel - why is there no proposed treatment for this area? (pointing to South Marine shoreline)	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	East segment - Island bridge with base of bluffs connection - keep East Point Park to Morningside Rd beach area NATURAL!!	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	Central segment - Do Nothing - Guild Inn work? Shows headlands	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	East segment - Headland beach to EPP panel - please make headlands aesthetically pleasing! GREEN like the ones west of the Guild access road	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	East segment - Alternative 4A headland beach with revetment to EPP - keep natural! No homes compromised	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	East segment - Alternative 4B headland beach to EPP - Keep Natural! As is!	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	East segment - Alternative 4B headland beach to EPP - keep East Point natural. It is a beautiful sandy shoreline	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	West segment - Island bridge panel - Regun, Germany style tourist site with creation of beaches i.e. economy tied into the community	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	West segment - Island bridge panel - causeway preferred Alternative 3B, distance from the beach, lengths of bridge that remain on water, being able to view the Bluffs from the water	Comment on Alternative
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	All Alternatives panel - I am very concerned about off-leash dogs getting into new places and hurting wildlife. If there are new access routes, a no off-leash bylaw MUST be enforced by officers. More people access equals more off-leash dogs equals killed wildlife	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	West segment - All Alternatives panel - restore meadow to "point" at Cathedral Bluffs Park E	Ecology
1/28/2016	PIC 1 Panel	West segment - Do nothing panel - Comment pointing at existing informal trail on west side above Scarborough Bluffs Park - please improve this informal trail so that cyclists don't have to use Kingston Rd to go around in this area	Comment on Alternative
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	4/ as you plan our future, two initiatives must survive. a) Allow at least walking access to the bottom of the bluffs from one end to the other	Access
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	b) Allow equivalent access to the top of the Bluffs from one end to the other. It is a unique experience to be able to see large distances over our inland ocean, and quite an adventure.	Access
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	c) The access points that we now have are sufficient. People need to know however that going down is a commitment to exercise and health, so that they can plan.	Access
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	d) why not provide benches at regular intervals to allow people to rest.	Accessibility (AODA)
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	e) providing canoe access at east point Park is a very good initiative taking pressure off bluffs Park which is a totally different experience with large boats and traffic.	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	f) keep the whole experience as natural as possible.	Comment on Alternative
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	thank you so much for the excellent work and for the quality of people you had at the session last night.	Consultation
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	g) from the charts provided at the meeting your organization has demonstrated a level of expertise which I trust. Therefore you are the best judges as to what kind of headland beach system needs to be installed at various intervals.	Process
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	You asked for suggestions and feedback. Please consider the following: 2/ living in large urban areas is difficult on humans and periodically we need to decompress. We do so in a number of ways but one of the most effective is to look for natural environments like parks. In many cases a walk in the park may be the only accessible alternative. Sadly, the city is still there and can be felt, heard and smelled. A good example is the boardwalk in the beach area. It is a pleasant and helpful distraction from day to day living. 3/ The Bluffs area offers a level of positive disconnect many factors above what normal urban parks can offer. Walking at the base of the bluffs, one is transported thousands of miles away and yet minutes from the city. The sights the sounds are natural and there is no more effective way of re-generating without having to travel many hours away. It is my California. It is more than relaxation it is spiritual renewal	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	Yes there is a need to provide access to emergency vehicles, which doesn't mean that the road at the bottom of the bluffs has to be asphalt paved.	Safety
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	Place signs.	Signage
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	No, I don't feel anyone is listening to the issue we face currently and will get worse when this project is completed. The team is just pushing ahead their agenda and listen to only what they want to hear.	Consultation
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	I see that much of what we plan is not to preserve the system but to maximize it for human use.	Comment on Alternative
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	At the meeting you propose a trail going up the cliff at Greyabbey Park ravine. That goes right through the dens of coyote that have lived there since I came to the Guild area 35 years ago and perhaps longer. They play a very important role in the Bluffs ecosystem. Hopefully your environmental impact assessment team will take that into consideration.	Comment on Alternative
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	Many bikers and hikers now use the Greyabbey Park and park access road north of Rohm and Haas. Maintaining that as the Waterfront Trail has no impact on the beach system or East Point Park since it goes through an existing right of way onto an unassumed road.	Comment on Alternative
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	I guess if we are looking for a "make work " project this wouldn't be your first choice since it requires very little change to the present structure.	Comment on Alternative
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	Even running a trail up the smaller cliff at the west end of East Point Park still preserves some of the original beaches and headland.	Comment on Alternative
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	I guess my appreciation for the natural environment that I try to instill in my students over the past 40 years is to preserve not change and manage. The bluffs have been there longer than you or I. They are doing fine and I want to preserve them for future generations to enjoy.	Comment on Alternative
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	The shoreline east of Grey Abbey is lovely sandy beach and should be protected. (currently it is exposed to the west and south and susceptible to erosion due to wave action). The best way to protect this area is installing an offshore wave barrier 50 to 100 feet from the beach. This would not only protect the beach, it would provide a quiet water area between the beach and the barrier, for recreation and a sheltered area for water fowl. The lake front in an area formally known as Sunny Side has such a barrier and it work extremely well. Alternatively create a large Headland that will protect the beach and improve the accumulation of sand in this area	Beach
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	Work with what has already been done and do what is necessary to stop erosion - with revetments in the Cudia Park, Grey Abby and the bluffs to east, of East Point Park.	Erosion
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	I have live in southeast Scarborough for over 30 years and the waterfront has been an ongoing project. It is difficult to understand with all the previous work that has been done, that it will take more than 10 years to complete and during this time residents will be denied lake front access.	Process
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	Get on with it. Let residents enjoy this area.	Process
1/29/2016	PIC 1 Email	The Waterfront trail or walkway should be continuously at Lake Level. It should be a continuous walkway from Buffers Park and connect with trails already in place that come west to Highland Creek.	Comment on Alternative
1/30/2016	PIC 1 Email	I was at the presentation about the water front on Thursday. One of the items that I was interested in was the proposed boat launch at East Point Park. It was mentioned that plans for a marina were scrapped because there are vacancies at other marinas such as Bluffers Park. However, I think that you may have neglected to consider requirements for launching of boats. I trailer my boat to the launches. I have had turn around a leave Bluffers Park because the parking at Bluffers Park was full. We may not need another marina to dock boats. But we certainly do need another boat launch for motorized boats and parking for trailers. I suggest that instead of the proposed boat launch at East Point, that a full lauch with parking for trailers be build at East Point Park.	Boat dock/launch/marina

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/30/2016	PIC 1 Email	I just wanted to add my thoughts that a boat launch should be considered for powered watercraft at East Point Park.	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/30/2016	PIC 1 Email	Bluffers park can be extremely busy during the summer and sometimes lacks available parking to support all the day boaters that want to go out from the launch there. The same thing can be said for Ashbridges. Thanks for your consideration.	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/30/2016	PIC 1 Email	That was one of the best public consultation meetings I've ever attended – very well put together! Congrats!	Consultation
1/30/2016	PIC 1 Email	Thank you for the map information on the Sylvan property – good news indeed, should we ever develop the house further!	Miscellaneous
1/30/2016	PIC 1 Email	As I shared with Nancy, if you ever need aerial images, videos, surveys or models from a Transport Canada authorized drone company, my team can certainly provide those services. Further details and examples like: Scarborough Bluffs Waterfront – https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gDnQWZRSFEU are provided below.	Miscellaneous
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am an avid Salmon fisherman on Lake Ontario. I usually launch and park at Ashbridges Bay or Bluffers Park. A boat launch with parking at a point closer to Pickering would be fantastic.	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	More access to water <for boat launch> in the eastern side of Toronto would be a great idea and I bet the parking lot would be full most days along with the Pay for Parking Machine!	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	A Marina with docking would be even better there but at least some docks with Pay for Overnight Docking would also work.	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	In the last two seasons of salmon fishing it appears the salmon have moved to the Eastern Basin of Lake Ontario. More fish are being caught off of Whitby, Oshawa and Bowmanville than in the west side of the lake. When I tow my boat out to these locations the parking lots are usually filled up and I am unable to launch.	Parking
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	I encourage you to build the boat launch and sheltered harbour at East Point Park. The current plan for non-motorized watercraft is preferable to none at all. However, I think you should consider a larger boat launch as I indicated in my previous email.	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	In terms of island bridge vs. causeway vs. headland beach, I would prefer the island bridge or causeway over a headland beach. There will already be some headland beach habit along the shoreline. The island bridge or causeway will create some different habitat.	Comment on Alternative
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	If you use the causeway option, then consider adding a headland beach along the south shore of the causeway. Also consider adding a small gap in the cause way rather than just culverts to all easier access in and out of the lagoon created by the cause way. Something that would allow a canoe, kayak, and/or paddle board through would be great.	Comment on Alternative
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	If a cause way is built it, the resulting lagoon should be stocked with shallow water species such as bowfin, largemouth bass, etc. That habitat would be ideal for them, but they may not be able to find it because there is not a lot of suitable habitat for them in the immediate area. These species could be captured in neighboring parts of Lake Ontario so they would remain in the same body of water which should eliminate concerns about transferring fish etc.	Comment on Alternative
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	I would avoid using revetment altogether. They are unsightly, and from what understand, provide poor aquatic habitat.	Comment on Alternative
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	Fishing nodes and perhaps a fishing pier should also be added where appropriate.	Park Amenities
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	It was fabulous to meet you the other night and have a chance to chat with you and Antonette (Ainslie's office). I was hoping you could send me the plans/descriptions specific to Guildwood so I could include them in a report back the the Guildwood Village Community Association's (GVCA) Executive Committee. I was unable to find presentation notes on the TRCA website.	Consultation
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	I also wanted to let the GVCA EC know that clean up along our shoreline will be started this spring. Please confirm that I recall that correctly and maybe you could elaborate on what that is in layman terms besides removal of exposed rebar :-)	Existing Conditions
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	Finally, you had mentioned a communication tool that we may be able to use. Can you refresh my memory on what this is and how/what we can use this for and how members of Guildwood can be added to this network for updates? Thank you very much,	Miscellaneous

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/31/2016	PIC 1 Email	And I was going to mention the signs that may be posted on Hoarding @ Guild Inn and perhaps other highly visible locations in Guildwood that show the various options being explored and how people can participate or comment.	Signage
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	Cyclists can enjoy improved tableland trails with views of the lake without constructing bridges and access routes that are excessive and unnecessary in this eastern shoreline section.	Access
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	Our main concern, as should be with the TRCA, is to preserve, conserve and maintain the last remaining natural 2 kilometers of sandy shoreline from Greyabbey to East Point Park (east segment).	Beach
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	Several residents addressed their concern for the conservation of this sandy beach segment during the question and answer period of the meeting. Many of the residents in this Greyabbey community I have talked with are very concerned that the concept plans TRCA has proposed (headlands, bridges, and revetments) will eliminate the 12,000 year old sandy beach denying future generations to enjoy and will diminish wildlife habitats.	Beach
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	Currently, hikers, dog walkers and residents enjoy this beautiful and serene waterfront all year long. In the summer it is enjoyed by swimmers, picnickers, small boat beaching and a variety of diverse recreational activities. These waterfront activities would end forever if the road revetment development composed of armour stone is initiated.	Beach
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	TRCA is cognizant that their early road revetment development design, not only discouraged recreational usage but the deep water armour stone design is detrimental to aquatic life.	Comment on Alternative
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	Our preferred option is to "leave as is" (do nothing) where the service road presently ends.	Comment on Alternative
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	TRCA promotes the protection of wildlife habitats unique to this area. Therefore, the development of a waterfront roadway should not take precedence over conservation mandates.	Comment on Alternative
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am a true stakeholder having a riparian waterfront lot on Guildwood Parkway. I was also the founder and president of "Save the Toronto Bluffs" organized to stop Toronto Hydro from industrializing the Scarborough Bluffs waterfront with 60 giant offshore wind turbines. The required Environmental Assessment process to hold public information meetings has been fulfilled. Many imaginative concept drawings were presented for discussion regarding the shoreline development from Bluffers Park to East Point Park. This year TRCA will decide on which plan of their choice will be implemented.	Consultation
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	The sandy coastline is an essential area for the seasonal staging of migrating birds that are part of the East Point bird sanctuary.	Ecology
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	The concept plans that show headlands/beaches east from the service road to Greyabbey Park will not be necessary since erosion is not a danger factor. Today, five headland structures exist east to Greyabbey with stable sandy beach protection and from Greyabbey Park east to Beechgrove, there are no homes or developments that are in danger or require erosion/revetment works..	Erosion
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	Bikers can connect at Beechgrove and enjoy a complete water trail experience east to Frenchman's Bay.	Comment on Alternative
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	So the foregoing is yet another blatant smack in the faces of homeowners living along the eastern end of Guildwood Parkway, whose properties were damaged over a period of several years by a succession of trucks carrying boulders etc. to the Navarre entrance for the benefit of residents living in the Meadowcliffe section of the SWP, with absolutely no visible improvement having been made to the Guildwood waterfront.	Construction & Implementation
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	In this regard, may I refer you to the document issued by Susan Filshie, Senior Planner for MTRCA on April 18, 1990, in which she calls for uses in the waterfront area to be regulated in such a way that "existing, stable residential neighbourhoods will not be adversely affected."	Construction & Implementation
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	Sadly, however, I gathered from our conversation that (among other things): no funds have actually been set aside for construction of the Scarborough portion of whatever Lake Ontario waterfront trail, if any, is eventually agreed upon between now and the next century (and at present, as the media make clear, all levels of government are financially crippled by debt and/or deficit);	Funding/Cost

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>Re: Notice of Commencement for the Environmental Assessment for the Scarborough Waterfront Project - presentation on Thursday Jan. 28-16</p> <p>It seems unlikely that Rex Murphy was at the Qssis Banquet Hall on January 28th; nonetheless, his commentary in today's edition of the National Post (appended below) could not have better captured the sense of sheer futility conveyed to those local residents who have taken the time to participate in community discussions of the proposed Scarborough Waterfront Project and associated environmental assessment projects, either at the meeting last Thursday evening or at any one of the succession of meetings that have taken place over the past 26 years.</p> <p>To said point: it appears that there is money in the bank account for a brand new, incredibly ostentatious HQ for TRCA, as well as for seemingly endless projects in and around Vaughan</p>	Miscellaneous
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>the matter of riparian rights still remains unresolved.</p>	Private Landowners
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>An environmental review process is an organized procrastination Rex Murphy National Post January 30, 2016</p> <p>During the environmental review process for the Keystone XL pipeline, the Earth made eight full revolutions around the sun Keystone was given eight full years of protests, hearings, lawsuits, editorials, seminars, submissions, arguments and grandstanding — all to get to a big, fat “no” from Obama. Yet Obama’s ultimate answer was preordained.... But not before the companies behind the project had spent \$2.4 billion, while the perpetual motion machine of the review process ground furiously and spuriously away.</p> <p>Do people realize that behind the cogs and cogitations, it is just a political stage show, an act during which politicians can temporize and equivocate?...</p> <p>An environmental review process is an organized procrastination. ...Environmental review processes are meant to cloud the memory, eviscerate patience, stall every decision and make the industry weep. They are the security blanket of every temporizing politician, the activists’ armory, the green warrior’s shield and lance, and the economy’s ultimate wet blanket. They are meant to defer and defeat. ...</p>	Process
2/1/2016	PIC 1 Email	I would like to know the species statistics of flora and fauna in the SWP study area.	Ecology
2/2/2016	Email	<p>Paving a trail for cyclists through Bluffer's Park isn't necessarily the best option. Instead of riding down (or up!) the steep Brimley Road hill, we need to create some alternative (safe) cycling routes that could connect cyclists with the Highland Creek, Colonel Danforth and existing waterfront trails to the east, and the Martin Goodman Trail to the west. The city cycling website proposes a new route along Kingston Road, and doesn't show anything along the waterfront:</p> <p>http://www1.toronto.ca/City%20Of%20Toronto/Transportation%20Services/Cycling/Files/pdf/W/Ward%2036.pdf</p> <p>If you need any further input, feel free to contact me.</p>	Comment of alternative
2/2/2016	Email	<p>Thank you for the opportunity for input. I believe that it is important to keep the areas along the Scarborough waterfront that haven't been developed in their natural state. We need to think of a creative alternative that satisfies the needs for public access and public safety as well as conservation and preservation.</p>	Comment on Alternative
2/2/2016	Email	<p>It is not necessary to automatically apply the same construction methods that have already been used along the shore to these environmentally sensitive and beautiful areas. Most of the options would also alter the unique structure of the Bluffs by preventing natural processes from occurring.</p>	Comment on Alternative
2/2/2016	Email	<p>As you are with the TRCA, you already know all this. I see that one of the Shoreline Regeneration Objectives is “to protect and restore natural shoreline structures and processes” (ISMP 6.1), and that “Structural protection of existing sandy shores should be considered only as a last resort for an existing development” (ISMP 6.3.2).</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/2/2016	Email	In addition, I thought that development was not allowed on provincial policy protects areas of natural and scientific interest (ANSI's). Under the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) Section 2.1.5: Development and site alteration shall not be permitted in...significant areas of natural and scientific interest ... unless it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the natural features or their ecological functions.	Ecology
2/2/2016	Email	My sense is that the TRCA's direction for this project has changed. Did this happen because of the city's Bikeway Trails Implementation Plan?	Mandate
2/3/2016	PIC 1 Email	Toronto ~ Naturally Beautiful~ Consider our city promoted as the only city in the world with an urban wilderness (Rouge National Park) AND East Point Beach ~ an unspoiled stretch of natural beach untouched by the hand of man ~ unchanged since before the last ice age. Such a feature is RARE, EXCEPTIONAL and PRECIOUS. Let's preserve this pristinely natural heritage beach . In truth, what other city has such offerings?	Comment on Alternative
2/3/2016	PIC 1 Email	OR, in the very least a bridge that spans from the shore to Meadowcliffe Project that will give some continuity along the trail. This latter option will cost the least, and have the least environmental impact with some continuity provided.	Comment on Alternative
2/3/2016	PIC 1 Email	Our strong belief for the western portion is to have No Change from Bluffers Park to Meadowcliffe,	Comment on Alternative
2/3/2016	PIC 1 Email	Regarding development at the waterfront between Bluffer Park and Eastpointe park. Please reconsider this. The area is just fine the way it is. Thousands of Torontoians and tourists have been enjoying this waterfront stretch for hundreds of years. Why you can easily go down and enjoy it's beauty right now, today, as thousands of us are doing.	Comment on Alternative
2/3/2016	PIC 1 Email	As an avid cyclist and as many other cycling clubs and cyclists alike will tell you, riding through Meadowcliffe, Hill Crescent and Guildwood and Corination, is one of the most enjoyable rides.	Comment on Alternative
2/3/2016	PIC 1 Email	As for pedestrians, come now it's all there in its nature beauty, access from Gates Gully, Guild Inn, Galloway Rd, East Point.	Comment on Alternative
2/3/2016	PIC 1 Email	Money can be better spent resurfacing Guildwood Pkwy for cyclists.	Comment on Alternative
2/3/2016	PIC 1 Email	Increased traffic at the already too busy Bluffers Park will occur.	Traffic
2/3/2016	PIC 1 Email	Guildwood and Eastpoint will also see a spike in traffic, as these areas are only equipped with one lane roadways.	Traffic
2/4/2016	PIC 1 Email	So, from a resident of a metropolitan coastal city that has struggled and somewhat effectively dealt with waterfront accessibility issues, I would urge the planners and decision makers in Toronto to consider positively the option of leaving the East Point Shoreline in its natural state.	Comment on Alternative
2/4/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am writing to you from New Westminster, BC. Toronto has struggled for decades to make its waterfront more accessible, with results, I must say, that are less than stellar (thus far). Concrete runways, replete with high rise condos, ring the shore on the south side of the tracks. Large public green spaces are few and far between. And, now, I understand, the East Point Shoreline is being considered as a prime candidate for the city to pay off all of its debts to the construction community, by offering up contracts to pave it. (Being facetious here, I hope you understand !!!) It reminds me of the thinking some decades ago, in Vancouver, whereby some people thought it would be a fabulous idea to create a road way on top of the beaches around Point Grey. The public response to the proposal was quick, huge and decisive. So, here we are in 2016, and the beaches remain pristine and traffic free. In the city in which I live, New Westminster, the civic authorities have shown an immense amount of vision. Along the banks of the Fraser River, the city has built enormous public piers which are dedicated to public green space. The model is well worth studying. Over the years, the government of Ontario has shown its willingness to be blind to environmental impacts in order to support business decisions. Be strong and stand firm in your support of environmental integrity. Join with the Canadian government and the provinces in making Canada a major player on the environment world stage, pursuant to the Paris Accord, agree to just a few short weeks ago.	Comment on Alternative
2/4/2016	PIC 1 Email	The destruction of fish habitat should be a prime consideration in the decision making process.	Ecology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/4/2016	PIC 1 Email	I really think that Toronto must consider the environment impacts of such a construction program.	Ecology
2/5/2016	PIC 1 Email	If there's one thing that I believe would greatly improve access to the Bluffs, it is Brimely road. Right now, it's not pedestrian or cycle friendly and it is even quite dangerous. A sidewalk and bike path are greatly needed there.	Brimley Road
2/5/2016	PIC 1 Email	I have been looking at the alternatives provided for the waterfront project at the Scarborough bluffs. I enjoy this area of the city a lot and I have a few comments. For the Western portion, I believe a bridge would be the best option.	Comment on Alternative
2/5/2016	PIC 1 Email	Please do not change the Bluffers park beach in any way, it is so unique and we need to keep it as it is.	Comment on Alternative
2/5/2016	PIC 1 Email	For the Eastern portion, I believe it would be best to leave it as it is. The natural beach at the bottom is unique and beautiful.	Comment on Alternative
2/5/2016	PIC 1 Email	Please use the trail on the top of the Bluffs for the continuation of the waterfront project.	Comment on Alternative
2/5/2016	PIC 1 Email	Please keep East Point beach in its natural sandy state. There is already far too much cement in this city.	Beach
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	Please do not pave the East Point Shoreline.	Beach
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	I support 'natural' shorelines and do not want concrete on our water's edge.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	These natural sandy shorelines need to be protected for the fragile ecosystems that they are.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	There is deepening concern that the beaches not be destroyed by the TRCA's Waterfront Trail plans.	Beach
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	Please tell the TRCA to lay off our last remaining beaches and leave the Waterfront Trail on the headland or the roadways.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	I have recently heard of the various plans for linking Bluffer's Beach to the newly protected waterfront at the foot of the Doris McCarthy Trail. I am writing to state my general opinion at this early stage. Having lived in the "Springbank" area on Ledge Road for 30 years I have, from the start, appreciated the natural beauty of the area on local walks. For many years I had the privilege of being a painting companion to the late Doris McCarthy. The Doris McCarthy Trail has been a source of inspiration and a place to be close to nature. I understand that changes are being designed to allow pleasurable usage by more people. My hope is that this can be done while preserving, to the maximum extent, a naturalized beauty, and causing as little disruption to the patterns and habits of wildlife as possible. This is a task that the TRCA has a responsibility to accomplish. The residents of Scarborough expect this of an office that exists in order to protect nature	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	Have you ever tried to walk the trails along the Don River? It is down right dangerous! I once brought my kids for a nature hike and we were nearly killed several times by bikers busting through the bush and along the trails at breakneck speeds. It's no longer really available to hikers.	Access
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	The parks also have very limited parking and are not serviced by transit. So, how much more of our wonderful nature is going to be spoiled to make way for more cars and possibly buses.	Access
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	Putting a highway for bikes in a nature park is a oxymoronic.	Access
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	It would be such a shame to destroy something so wonderful! As a Bluffs resident, and like every neighbour that I meet, when we discuss this proposed development, we all agree we don't want to see The Bluffs destroyed because some person needs to ride a bike from one end of the lake to other.	Bluff Geology
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	I wouldn't complain about a sidewalk, walking down to Bluffers is dangerous, and many families do it, carrying small children and coolers. It is long walk up a steep hill with cars speeding up and down constantly, and very little room to walk. The ditches are deep and wide.	Brimley Road
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	Improve the walk down to Bluffers	Brimley Road
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	I used to walk with my children, from Bluffers to the Guild, along the wild lake shore and it was amazing, shear sand cliffs rising straight out of the water, here, we were forced to take our shoes off and walk in the water and that was a big part of the fun. My children, and God only knows how many other generations of kids, learned about the Bluffs and native plants and animals through similar walks. This experience and shoreline will be gone forever if it developed.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am writing in regards to the proposed development of Scarborough Waterfront Parks. We do not need or want anymore development, and that means bike paths, at our Scarborough beaches and parks.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	If it is not broke, don't fix it!	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	The point of the project seems to be the protection of natural habitats, and that is exactly what is going to be destroyed.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	The Bluffs are very fragile and the erosion in some areas is increasing dramatically, they do not need BMXers busting through and jumping over each other.	Erosion
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	It nice to go to Bluffers Beach and feel like your in the middle of nowhere, not a big city with a beach.	Existing Conditions
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	and put the money were it is really needed, like more garbage bins and maybe a bylaw officer to hand out tickets for littering, or desperately needed repairs to Toronto Community Housing.	Funding/Cost
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	And, litter. Let us not forget about the litter that will come with all this traffic. The tourists already leave behind a load of trash everywhere they go. At the top of the cliff at Cathedral Bluffs, you will always find empty bottles and snack packaging. They toss it off the cliffs. Young people, come to hide from their parents and party on a regular basis. It's the litter that bothers me, not the kids themselves. They leave broken beer bottles and cans behind. We were all young once, but my generation had an understood policy of not leaving a footprint. that doesn't seem to exist anymore with people born later than the 60s.	Garbage
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	The Bluffs should be a World Heritage site and preserved just the way they are. They are unique in North America. Please read the Wikipedia ink: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scarborough_Bluffs	Miscellaneous
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	We do not need overcrowded bike paths like they have in the western beaches, it's like trying to cross the 401 and you can not hear them coming. Not having them is what makes Scarborough Beaches attractive.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	The city is already teeming with enough access points and areas all along the waterfront shorelines open for easy public access.	Access
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	I would like the make a strong case for leaving The East Point shoreline area untouched: - the Do Nothing Alternative. The East Point shoreline that is currently untouched in it natural state must be allowed to remain untouched.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	The East Point Shoreline is stunningly beautiful. Let it remain that way. We owe it to future generations to protect what little natural shoreline remains.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	We must be mindful of our responsibility to future generations in preserving our ancient untouched shorelines. Pristine and natural shorelines must be protected from further destruction and alteration, and not be developed. Once paved, filled in, and rock armoured breakwaters constructed, the natural beauty of this last untouched shoreline will be lost forever. Humans are part of the natural order of the environment and as such seek energy and mental rejuvenation from the direct contact of nature and its untouched natural beauty.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	The East Point area is unique in all of Toronto by having kilometres of untouched shoreline, not marred by visually disturbing man-made rock breakwaters. Where else are you going to find such a natural treasure of untouched shoreline amidst a large metropolitan city centre?	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	As a concerned citizen that does not wish to see our last untouched shoreline paved over, and natural shoreline destroyed, please embrace the idea that direct contact to the natural world is as important condition for our mental health and well being as a society. Once lost, we cannot pass on to future generations what our natural world has to offer. This shoreline is a living history of our great heritage.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>As a personal statement I would like to say that I am a visual artist that has been coming back to the East Point area for the last 2 years and plan on soon living on Livingston Ave. beside Guildwood Park. In fact, the reason I am moving to this area is to be near this stretch of shoreline. It is breathtaking and inspiring for its raw beauty and changing light. A true educational experience to be able to walk its beaches and experience what the First Nations have for thousands of years.</p> <p>This stretch of coastline is an amazing natural treasure in the middle of a teeming and crowded city. We cannot let this natural heritage be developed and lost forever. I see us now in this current era, with our awareness of what has been already lost, as custodians for future generations. We must carefully consider our actions taken to alter natural landscapes and see that we pass on as much of our ancient environment intact. This is my sincere belief, and one I think is shared by many.</p> <p>Attached are a few images taken from the East Point Shoreline near the water treatment plant: <see images in pdf email comment></p>	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	Use current trails and linking points with existing roads, only considering additional linking trails if their construction will have very little impact on existing the natural landscape. This means saving the natural plant and animal habitations with as much care as possible.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	The Scarborough Bluffs is an area of outstanding natural beauty and biological diversity. Please leave this beautiful, wild area alone for future generations to enjoy.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	Our natural heritage should not be compromised for the sake of a bike path.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	I appreciate all of the wonderful work TRCA does in protecting our city's natural heritage and I urge you to protect this lovely, diverse area.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	I support the development of a more robust bike trail at the top of the bluffs in order to protect this natural asset along the shore line.	Comment on Alternative
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>I understand consideration for several alternative plans for continuous access along the waterfront, from Bluffers Park in the West heading East to East Point Park at the foot of Highland Creek. I also understand that you are interested in receiving input from the public.</p> <p>There are some stretches of beach along the Bluffs where the shoreline comes right up to the edge of the bluffs. I do not think there should be any paving or placing of stonework through these sections Let it remain natural</p>	Beach
2/8/2016	PIC 1 Email	and allow the bike paths to ride along the paths currently in place at the top of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	I fervently believe beautiful natural spots should be left natural. We have too few of them in Toronto and it is wrong to sanitize them and open them up for users who don't have an appreciation of the natural world.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am unable to read the small charts provided with this workbook.	Consultation
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	As someone interested in the natural world, I was excited about the opening of East Point Park. The area is beautiful and home to many species of birds and animals. I am concerned about the impact on the local ecology system in developing the beach. What does your environmental assessment say on this matter?	Ecology
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Occasionally I visit Bluffer's Park but find it sterile, with the large boulders on the shores of the water. These rocks elevate the park visitors from the water and remove any sense of contact with the beautiful lake.	Existing Conditions
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>Further, many cyclists are a menace to pedestrians. Sometimes I visit Taylor Creek Park and have to remain on guard constantly to avoid being hit from behind.</p> <p>Similarly Tommy Thompson Park is difficult, with cyclists speeding along Spine Road, to the detriment of pedestrians, snakes, turtles and small mammals.</p> <p>I use the pedestrian paths at TTP where possible, but even they are overrun by cyclists. While TRCA has staff at the visitors station, they do not have the resources to monitor the pedestrian pathways to ensure cyclists stay off them. As someone who was in casts for five months, following an accident in which I was struck from behind by a speeding cyclist on the sidewalk, I find it difficult to relax on the TTP pedestrian path or road.</p>	Safety

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	I strongly advise that the East Point beach be left natural.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	It is environmentally and aesthetically wrong to line a beautiful unspoiled beach with large rocks that will separate citizens from the water.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Further, to add a bike path along the beach, particularly if the path is paved, is absolutely wrong.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	So it would be my first choice to decrease access in general and make it harder to get to much of the areas by making less paths, less roads, less parking, etc.	Access
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Secondly, when you make it "easy" to get there, so people don't even have to get their shoes a bit muddy it tends to attract a very ignorant and disrespectful crowd. Such people can go to any other parks in the GTA made just for them. Personally, I moved to this region to get away from that. If it becomes more of that, it's time to think about moving. And this time I'd just leave the GTA.	Access
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	In short, my choice would be the "extended beach" (30 year plan in specific) option. I have a number of reasons why I believe this and really am only choosing this as a more lesser of the evils selection.	Beach
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Having said that, I choose the 30 year plan because it is the closest to "do nothing". Since I'm aware that the "do nothing" plan will likely not happen, the alternative is to have hope.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Having said that, I don't support developing the Bluffs. Leave it alone. Leave the few remaining cliffs alone,	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	While I am glad that conservation and ecology is finally deemed important after many years of arguing with you guys over the years, I am slightly apprehensive as to where this will eventually lead. The Scarborough Bluffs is a globally significant area (once was anyhow), a sensitive area and one that should be taken seriously. In a world where currently 50% of most life on the planet has been taken down based solely on human activity, I don't believe there is any more time for screwing around and putting humans entertainment needs first any longer! There is not one time ever in history where increasing human access to such areas ever improves upon them. When more people show up: so do discarded garbage, loud noise, and general disrespectful stupidity towards other life.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Either way, I appreciate that the direction of this project has changed recently and those of us with opposing voices are at least being listened to now. Thank you for doing that!	Consultation
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Recently you had a public meeting regarding the Waterfront trail and it's many options being proposed.	Consultation
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	For me, it comes down to what we leave behind when we leave this Earth. While I am not personally responsible for say....all the plastic in the oceans. I am responsible for what I purchase, what I support, what I pay for, and even my politics which dictate elements of how these things go.	Miscellaneous
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Within 30 years we'll be looking at some real crap hitting the fan in our global ecology. No stopping that train now. Either we will have grown up some by then, and be a bit more thoughtful so it won't be an issue here. Or, things will get actually bad and this will be the least of our concerns. Sounds cynical doesn't it? I suppose it is in some ways. But as a person who has grown up as an ecologist now getting older, by my parents who were both serious stewards of nature in the country; I have seen not one thing truly improve in my years globally. With every step forward by hardworking "volunteers" in most cases who are hated for daring to care, we take 10 steps back globally. So be one of the groups that dares to care. I challenge you to. You have the opportunity here to do something that is meaningful on this project. Here's to hoping that you will.	Miscellaneous
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	and stop "greening" the cliffs and removing the slopes in exchange for wealthy people's houses. That is shameful, but typical of our species.	Private Landowners
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	It is heartbreaking to hear that you are thinking to destroy such a wonderful piece of our natural shoreline. It is our last bit of unspoiled waterfront and therefor should be saved and treasured not destroyed and paved over. Nature Heals all ills. I beg you to re think on paving over paradise.	Beach
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Please add my name to the list of people highly upset and against your proposed plan . I vote NO . We need as much nature as possible to survive and thrive. Please put your money (our money) to positive use not this.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	It is extremely upsetting that a Conservation committee would even consider such a plan, there is no Conservation in what you are thinking of doing it is Destruction, and Decimation it is the opposite of nature as in it's War not Peace.	Mandate
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Concrete trails and armored waterfronts fundamentally change the experience of visiting the shoreline, as well as sterilizing diverse natural communities and the way we experience them.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	We are writing to express our support for leaving East Point Beach, Bluffer's Beach, and Grey Abbey Beach, currently under consideration for the Scarborough Waterfront Project, in a natural state.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Scarborough's Beaches are a wonderful natural treasure, still easily accessible to all Greater Toronto residents, young and old, and a great feature of our City. Please preserve the experience of natural beauty and diversity found along the waterfront for future generations, and limit the expansion of the Waterfront Trail (which already exists atop the bluffs and offers a wonderful view).	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	We urge you to consider the importance of truly natural areas free from concrete to our Lake-front city.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Protecting natural habitats, diversity of plants and animals, and an area of exceptional natural beauty that is a great feature of Scarborough and the GTA is surely the primary mandate of the TRCA.	Ecology
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	My second choice in the event that doesn't happen: The extended beach 30 year plan.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	I might have gotten carried away with making points that my choice was not entirely clear. My first & foremost choice is: Do nothing.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	I really don't want the trails connected.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Planning for adequate TTC service and safe cycle paths will make it possible to carry the multitudes down to the beach without sacrificing precious waterfront acreage.	Access
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Eastern Segment: Do not build a path up the Grey Abbey Ravine! Planning alternatives 1, 2 and 3 show a path leading up the Grey Abbey Ravine - through a wild gully which this photo shows (near the top): <one picture submitted in email>	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	On my recent walk I tried to guess a natural route for a path, and could not find it - I deem this ravine to be much wilder than the McCarthy ravine. Its sides seem quite unstable and thus natural erosion will continue for decades. I came to the conclusion that it is not possible to build a trail without significantly altering the character of the ravine.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Aside from the major earth movements required, one needs to create a large headland off shore to avoid creating an overly steep path. Such changes seem to run counter to the stated terms of reference of this project.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Eastern Segment - a solution for pedestrian and wheeled traffic: If there will be no path leading up the Grey Abbey ravine nor a firm path along the shore, cyclists and other wheeled travelers will have to be offered an alternative route. This can be achieved with the addition of two features: a bridge across the Grey Abbey Ravine and a bike path along Guildwood Parkway: A bridge is already being offered (option 3A, e.g.) as a way to cross the upper section of the ravine and, if designed for a minimal footprint, it should not destroy the natural character of the ravine and thus meet the terms of reference. A cycle path along the Guildwood Parkway should start at about Galloway and lead to Grey Abbey Park, about 1.2km long. If constructed similar to the successful Martin Goodman Trail of downtown Toronto, it would be on the south side of the road and be multi-use and bi-directional. With these two features in place, cyclists traveling east along the shore of the central section would use the access road near the Guild Inn to move up to the table land, travel east on the cycle path to Grey Abbey Park, cross over via the bridge and continue east on the table land as shown with option 1A. And of course, hikers will be able to walk right along the shore for the whole length of the eastern segment.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Western Segment - extending the beach at Bluffers. I did like the widened beach of Alternative 5B and am convinced this is an excellent solution that will create one of Toronto's best beach destinations.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	I would like to make some suggestions. Eastern Segment: the natural shore should stay intact. Here's what the area looks like now: <2 pictures inserted in email> As you walk this shore, not only is the towering bluff beside you, but the pebbles and sand underfoot are natural, the water's edge is as it has been for hundreds of years, and generous driftwood reminds you that you are on the shore of an inland ocean. This section should stay in its natural state - from the Grey Abbey Ravine to the eastern edge of the planning area. It's a unique place in Toronto and, even taking erosion into account, we will be able to preserve this natural heritage for more than a hundred years to come.	Comment on Alternative
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Thank you again for the thorough work and the many considerations included in the proposal package.	Consultation
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	Of course, the current parking shortage needs to be resolved:	Parking
2/9/2016	PIC 1 Email	do not expand the existing parking facilities but create or "rent" designated parking lots set back 1 or 2kms away from the shore.	Parking
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	My priority would be preserving our natural habitat because our natural habitat is a priceless and limited resource.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	I understand that tomorrow, February 11th is the deadline for providing feedback regarding the first phase of the proposal for the Scarborough Waterfront Trail. I am a Bluffs Resident and property owner and I am only learning about this deadline through a chance encounter with a local community organization. I do not feel that I have received enough information from the TRCA to provide an informed opinion.	Consultation
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am not sure how the TRCA communicated the public information meetings to Toronto residents and property owners but I urge the TRCA to move forward with full disclosure, environmental reports and assessments and ample opportunity for the public to be consulted.	Consultation
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	In the end the winners are the developers and the construction industry who squander and compromise our communities for profit.	Development
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	I will read the report from the first information session that I missed and attend future information sessions. At this point in time please note my concern regarding the proposal and the possible impact on our environment and loss of habitat for the wildlife that has long made its home along the shores of the bluffs. I am a former resident and Toronto Beaches property owner and I can tell you from firsthand experience that change is irreversible.	Ecology
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am advocating strongly for a do-nothing option, meaning that we do not connect the eastern part of the beach at Bluffer's to Meadowcliffe.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>Read this poem by Gerard Manley Hopkins, he says it better than I could. Focus on the last stanza, "Let them be left oh let them be left, Long live the weeds and the wilderness yet".</p> <p>THIS darksome burn, horseback brown, His rollrock highroad roaring down, In coop and in comb the fleece of his foam Flutes and low to the lake falls home. A windpuff-bonnet of fáwn-fróth Turns and twindles over the broth Of a pool so pitchblack, féll-frówning, It rounds and rounds Despair to drowning. Degged with dew, dappled with dew Are the groins of the braes that the brook treads through, Wiry heathpacks, fitches of fern, And the beadbonny ash that sits over the burn. What would the world be, once bereft Of wet and of wildness? Let them be left, O let them be left, wildness and wet; Long live the weeds and the wilderness yet.</p>	Miscellaneous
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>Those who want boardwalks and cement, tar covered paths etc have plenty of place to go: the Beach, Bluffer's Park, the area from Rouge Hill Go station to the water treatment plant etc.</p> <p>Those of us humans, animals, birds and reptiles who love the wilderness don't have a great deal of space along the lake shore in the GTA. Don't take this away from us and especially from the fauna and flora</p>	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	I believe that limiting access, coupled with an education program would be a very successful way to preserve the area and provide people with the opportunity to enjoy it, as well.	Access
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	The last reason is that there has been no effort made to preserve any of the unique geology of this area.	Bluff Geology
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	My final recommendation is to put the emphasis on improving access on Brimley road for pedestrians, cyclists and transit during peak season. The tabletop connection should be improved for cyclists and pedestrians, but not necessarily for cars.	Brimley Road
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	On a last note, the park desperately needs by-law enforcement, and the only suggestion I've received from the City is that citizens monitor the situation down there, and call 311 whenever we witness someone violating a by-law. That is a completely unacceptable way to police this park, and an unreasonable suggestion. We know that during peak season there are dozens of violations per day, many of them serious. A enforcing presence down there for a season would quickly send the violators elsewhere and leave the park to people who want to show some respect for that space.	ByLaw/Enforcement
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	In addition to my opposition for the reasons stated above, I further object to connecting Bluffer's Park for several other reasons. The beach at Bluffer's is literally the nicest natural appearance beach in the GTA.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	East Point is stunning (and should be left alone, too), but the stench there is so terrible depending on which way the wind is blowing, some days it's impossible to enjoy it.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	I strongly support a do-nothing option for Bluffer's park, meaning that I do not wish to see Bluffer's Park connected to Meadowcliffe.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	Parks need to exist for several reasons, and connection to nature in a calm, quiet environment is one of them. Not every natural space should be a recreation opportunity for making noise and garbage	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	We need to think of our future generations and how they will be affected by the choices we make today. We also need to collectively examine our decisions and how they impact the whole. There is no good reason for connecting Bluffer's to the Waterfront Trail, but there are several good reasons to preserve what is left, and to enhance the existing habitat there.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	I've finally had time to digest the last presentation and submit my comments and feedback. First of all I wanted to say that I truly appreciate the effort the TRCA has put into responding to previous feedback, and for putting far more emphasis on our wildlife and habitat that we have here. There has been a huge improvement in the public presentations, they more accurately capture the community feedback, and the information presented to the public is more clear and impartial. So, thanks to the SWP team for making that happen.	Consultation
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	There are many reasons why I feel that connecting this area is a bad idea for our future. The primary reason remains the incomplete and flawed data that has been gathered regarding the species and habitat in the study area. In your Terms of Reference, you have 84 species of fauna listed in the study area. The Wild Bluffs has identified and confirmed through eyewitness testimony, photographic and video evidence, close to 250 species of fauna. We estimate another 150 unidentified species due to gaps in our audit. This is a massive discrepancy that in no way can provide the appropriate Ministries with accurate data to provide a true Environmental Assessment. I was able to speak with Karen McDonald at length at the last meeting, and she explained that the TRCA will not be carrying out any further surveys for the EA, and that the data presented with the ToR will be the data presented for the EA. When I questioned her about the large discrepancy, she replied that the TRCA was aware of all the breeding animals in the area, and that the species we were able to identify were likely migratory. She further explained that since you were only able to do 2 - 3 surveys for the area, and that we had people surveying the area every single day, that we would be seeing more species than you would.	Ecology
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	I need to point out that over 50% of all migratory songbirds in North America have died in the past 50 years. The decline in species is massive. Migratory species are perhaps even more important to a habitat than breeding species as the impact of them can be felt over such huge distances. Our little environment here has a huge impact on the rest of North America. Indeed, all life is connected.	Ecology
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	When I questioned Karen about the omission of the bat species from your audit, she seemed unconcerned and stated several times that there was "no way" to identify bat species within an area. While that may have been true in the past, there are new tools emerging to help with identification, including a smartphone app that researchers are using in other countries. I was to understand from the conversation that investigating the matter further was not a priority for the EA. However, bats are a keystone species globally, and their decline should be taken very seriously.	Ecology
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	My point with this is that you cannot possibly plan accurately for this project with such incomplete data. It would only be guesswork at this point. If we do not understand the diversity of this environment, how can we definitively say one way or another how this project will impact the life here?	Ecology
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	Making these areas easier to access for humans degrades the habitat for species,	Ecology
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	At the very least, there should be one segment preserved with an interpretive centre so that future generations can mourn what we destroyed in order to save prime real estate. Especially since the Fishleigh Erosion Control Project is going to be extended, and we will lose a big chunk of the existing cliff face to the west of Bluffer's.	Park Amenities
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	but it also negatively impacts the enjoyment of these spaces for the people who still enjoy nature as a means of reflection, relaxation and quiet contemplation. When those last few spaces are lost, we will lose all opportunity for our urban youth to connect with nature in any meaningful way.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	With interpretive centres or plaques, habitat improvements, and a true Discovery Trail, the Bluffs could be a leader in a conservation effort that would also serve to educate the public, yet provide un-intrusive recreation opportunities.	Signage
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	If that option was to be retained, I think improvements to Brimley Rd would be imperative. Currently there are no side walks or bike lanes, except for a small portion near the bottom (the old bypass trail that was constructed when there was a landslide on Brimley, since then extended south). A widening of the road would be needed to allow pedestrians and bikers to safely go up and down the road.	Brimley Road
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	<Alternatives missing for West Segment?> No	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	Option 5A and 5B could be combined with Tableland connections option.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	Alternatively, option 5B with a wide beach expansion would be great. That would allow minimal destruction as far as the fragile ecosystem present in and around that part of the Bluffs. This option seemed like the best compromise between those who want Bluffers Park beach to be connected with the trails to the east, and those who wish to protect our abundant wild life and wild Bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	The options containing bridges in any form do not seem appropriate to me. Bridges always disturb a lot of sediments in the water, and seem to act as traps for garbage and green algae. I do not think it would be a good thing in this part of the park.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	<Alternatives missing for Central Segment?> No	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	<Could Alternatives for Central Segment be changed?> No	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	The existing trail is enough.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	Although a few headland beach with small natural beach in between (sand not cobble) would be good, too.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	<Alternatives missing for East Segment?> No	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	<Could Alternatives for the East Segment be changed?> Sheltered harbour and option 4A could be combined.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	Bridges are not a good idea, as mentioned in the West segment discussion part. <taken from west comment re: bridges - "The options containing bridges in any form do not seem appropriate to me. Bridges always disturb a lot of sediments in the water, and seem to act as traps for garbage and green algae".>	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	Sand beaches would be preferred to cobble.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	A few headlands with short revetment would be good, too.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	I think the best option is a "do-nothing" approach. That way the last remaining wild part of the Bluffs will stand unaltered.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	In light of the recent publication of the EA Public Information Center #1 regarding the Scarborough Waterfront project, I would like to send you my comments on the alternatives made available to the public. I have commented on all three segments of the project (east, central and west). I am a resident of the Cliffcrest neighbourhood and use all three segments at various times, all year round. Access to the water can certainly be improved (Brimley Rd and the Doris McCarthy trail especially). I recognize that improvements are needed, but I would hope that any work the TRCA does in the area along the shore of Lake Ontario will be done with the environment in mind. See my comments attached with the workbook provided.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	As it is right now, the shoreline in the east is easily accessible and unspoiled by man made structure.	Existing Conditions
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	Best option in my view is the Tableland connections.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	NO THANK YOU—please head my voice. I would like to speak at the public forum, that I hope will be held, so that our VOICE can be heard.	Consultation
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	Shorelines are of public domain !! That is part of the heritage of our democracy (meaning—of the people). All the wildlife and plant life—in its diversity, MUST BE PROTECTED	Ecology
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	We are covering our land with too much building and cement !!!!	Existing Conditions

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	In regards to any plans to connect the eastern part of the beach at Bluffers Park to Meadowvale , I believe the ' do nothing' option is the best option. The beach is perfect just the way it is.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	Please do not make any changes as this area has survived for thousands of years and will continue to do so. When humans decide to change things they often make things worse.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	not make any major changes as the area is perfect now.	Comment on Alternative
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	Any changes would severely impact the many species that inhabit this wonderful beach for the worse.	Ecology
2/10/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am writing to urge the Authority to rethink its decision to turn a natural beach into a bicycle path. This plan does not support my vision for the future of our city. It is short sighted given the long term health of our great lakes. Please reconsider!	Beach
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	As a city, we should be supporting low-impact access to the water which allows people to enjoy the benefits of being at the water's edge with minimal disruption to the natural environment.	Access
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I would like to express my complete opposition to any plan of waterfront development that involves destroying the last remaining shorelines in Scarborough with armour rocks, revetments and roads.	Beach
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	If the TRCA continues with the planned development, the last two remaining beaches will be permanently destroyed.	beach
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	East Point Beach is a natural shore of about 4 km with a true coastal feel between Grey Abbey Ravine and Highland Creek and Bluffer's Beach is a sandy beach with dune grass and diverse plants in a tranquil bay at the foot of the historic Cathedral Bluffs. Both of these beaches and their natural habitat will be lost forever if they are altered with your planned development. It's absolutely unacceptable in this day and age for this to be happening and especially when the trend is to be moving towards respect for nature.	Beach
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	These beaches are a treasure - lets take pride in protecting them!	Beach
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	It makes no sense to destroy this and replace it with concrete.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	We are living in a large metropolis that is becoming increasingly busy and if we pave over and alter these beautiful natural spaces then we are destroying the only sanctuary that we have left.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Is it possible that you might consider being a voice of the future and perhaps show the public 'new ways' to connect with the natural world. This may involve going against the grain to 'pave' a new road for a deeper and more meaningful connection to the natural world. Why can't we keep the natural world 'natural' and let us be the ones to develop! Let's stop altering, destroying, rearranging, and packaging up nature so that we can experience it in our limited and convenient ways.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	If we allow these shorelines to remain natural, we are honouring our connection to our rich environmental heritage and we're demonstrating respect and commitment to the expansive body of freshwater that we are supposed to be guardians of.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	These last remaining natural shorelines of Scarborough and all of their habitat, wildlife and birds are worth protecting. And they are worth preserving. Let's envision a future that respects nature and make conservation a priority for these ecologically sensitive areas.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I understand and appreciate that the work of the TRCA is about development and about integrating people with nature, but perhaps now is the time to consider a different approach. Why can't the TRCA become the true 'conservation authority' that you were elected to be?	Mandate
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	We all know from first-hand experience, the joy of being by water. It's rejuvenating to both the mind and the spirit to walk along a sandy shore, where all you hear are the sounds of waves rolling, water splashing and the call of songbirds and seagulls.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	If any kind of development should be made, it should be to improve the condition of Brimley Rd, and add bike lanes to Kingston Rd.	Brimley Road

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	My name is <name> and I am a Great Lakes surfer. I am part of a growing community of Torontonians who actively enjoy the waters and waves of the Great Lakes. There are many places to surf in the GTA, but there is no place like Bluffers Park. I am concerned about the proposed plans for the Western Segment, as they can actively affect the surf. The various alternatives for Bluffers do not address how it will affect the natural movement of water, or the naturally occurring sandbars, both of which are important factors to the overall health of the bluffs, the beaches, and the dunes.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Please leave shoreline alone. You need to stick with the Do Nothing alternative. Do not potentially ruin our break. Do not ruin this untouched land. Summertime traffic at Bluffers and Cudia Park are already beyond capacity. The trash and plastics left behind have already left its mark. An increase in access will create a larger footprint that is unnecessary both environmentally and for the taxpayer.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Any kind of construction; movement of boulders, dredging, or anything mechanical, will affect water use and accessibility for existing water recreation. Thank you for your time in reading this, and I look forward to further updates on this project.	Construction & Implementation
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am also quite disappointed that those who use these waters - surfers, paddlers, kayakers, swimmers - were not considered in the Assessment; it only addresses cyclists and pedestrians. Those who utilize the waters are professionals, athletes, mothers, fathers, children, and all are stewards of Lake Ontario.	Park Amenities
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	West segment - Our preference would be Alternative 5B. The other alternatives show bridges or a causeway, but we don't feel that these are appropriate for this segment.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Central segment - For this segment, Alternative 1 would appear to be the best option since it provides a headland-beach system.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	East Segment - We fail to understand why the alternatives continue to show top-of-bluffs connections. This is supposed to be a waterfront trail, but if pedestrians are forced to use top-of-bluffs trails, then they will miss one of most scenic parts along the waterfront. A top-of bluffs trail will result in people having to travel several kms through an industrial area and neighbourhood housing to reach a point to get back to the waterfront.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	It should be remembered that a third rail line is to be added to the railway corridor, and service along that line is to be increased to 15 minutes. This means that there will be a train passing every 7-8 minutes. There is no underpass or pedestrian access planned across the tracks at Manse Rd. or Beechgrove, so this will create a dangerous situation for pedestrians if they are forced to walk in this area. Anyone advocating for a top-of-bluffs path obviously has no idea what the area is like, what risks are involved, the inconvenience to those forced to take that route, or the fact that you will miss a very large section of the waterfront.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	The waterfront trail is being developed to allow people to see the beautiful areas along the waterfront so it shouldn't be cut off!! Based on the alternatives shown, it would appear that Alternative 1B would be most appropriate. There are people who are concerned about the beach that is there at the bottom of East Point Park. There is no reason why a bridge with short spans could not be built further out from shore to provide some protection for the beach (if required).	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Also, Alternative 4 shows a sheltered harbour at the end of the approach from Beechgrove. We would remind you that there will be no underpass at Beechgrove for the railway, and with a train passing at least once every 7-8 minutes, there will be a danger to vehicles with trailers. The rail line handles GO trains, VIA, and some freight, and they are travelling at a relatively high speed at this point.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	The Toronto Field Naturalists is concerned about proposed alterations to two sandy beaches in the Scarborough region: East Point Beach and Bluffer's Beach. The protection of natural and naturalized habitats and the biodiversity that they support is of vital importance to members of the Toronto Field Naturalists. Bluffer's Park beach provides habitat for migrating songbirds and butterflies and provides rare opportunities for people to experience the appearance of a pre-settlement shoreline. East Point Park has greater potential for providing a diversity of habitats for birds, mammals and other species in our region, including those that make use of a sandy shoreline. We know that the vision of the TRCA's The Living City is to work toward healthy rivers, shorelines, greenspace and biodiversity. However, the proposed waterfront projects seem to focus on erosion control and flood risk at the expense of maintaining natural habitat and the opportunity for people to experience that habitat. We apologize for this last-minute communication, but we would like to know to what extent natural habitats and biodiversity will be protected in the Bluffer's Beach and East Point Beach plans.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am a resident of Scarborough and I frequent Bluffer's Park almost every day of the year. I have attended a couple of meetings concerning the Scarborough Waterfront proposals. I strongly feel that we should NOT connect the eastern part of the beach at Bluffer's to Meadowcliffe. There are many wildlife species there that would be disrupted and disturbed, and there would likely be further habitat degradation. And we would lose one of the last remaining natural cliff faces. Please take a 'do nothing' approach!	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	This is a brief, albeit important, email to register my views on the proposed changes along the Scarborough Bluffs. In particular I would like to express my objection to the proposed headland-beach option at the east end of Bluffer's Park. Though this beach is technically human-made, it is undeniable that it is now a prized asset, and it should not be sacrificed in order to create a rock/cobble thoroughfare. I would hope to see the implementation of a solution that retains the current beach in its entirety, or very close to its entirety.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	In order to best serve habitat enhancement, either a 'Do Nothing' option, or the long term option of an 'Expanded Beach' (5B) with two single headlands at either end - Bluffers Park and Meadowcliffe - make the most sense for the west segment	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	By doing nothing, leaving the beach to continue to develop naturally, we can preserve one of the last sections of the Bluffs which are unaltered by shoreline construction. It is a world recognized geological wonder, and it is incumbent upon us to maintain at least some small sections of this shoreline in a natural state.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	If we wish to include the greenspace and recreation mentioned above "where possible", the Expanded Beach option would provide a relatively immediate and very significant increase to the current Bluffers headland, which is by far the most popular location for the public in this segment. The spit which now hosts hundreds of people on a summer weekend could be doubled in size, is fully accessible, serviced by washrooms, and close to the existing parking lot. The beach, which is equally as popular, would remain its current length along the shoreline, with soft sand shores and not stony headlands or marshy areas as would be created by other options.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	The TRCA's focus on linkage could also be met with the path behind the beach meeting the new east headland, as shown in the slide presentation.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Finally, while both of the above options are long term, the disruption to wildlife, habitat, and current human users of the beach area could be minimized, compared to more intrusive and construction-heavy options. Since the project timeline for detailed design and construction is projected to 2027, a long term option is more than appropriate for this west segment. Thank you for the opportunity to voice my concerns and offer my input to this very important project.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>I am a longtime resident (over 20 years), avid naturalist, and user of tablelands, bluffs, ravines and beaches of the area under study. Prior to moving to this area, I was also a visitor to Bluffers Park Marina, was often a guest at one of the yacht clubs, and a frequent user of the park and beach areas. As a result, I have a long memory of how the Bluffs were in the 1980's through to the present, particularly in the area of the 'west segment'. I have reviewed the mandate of the TRCA as stated in the public presentation, and understand that the current stage of the project is as follows:</p> <p>Over the last several decades TRCA has been undertaking a number of erosion control projects to address priority high risk areas, to date these issues have all been addressed, Meadowcliffe sector being the last high risk area. Now TRCA is turning their attention to habitat enhancement, the provision of safe public access, the creation of new greenspace and waterfront recreation where possible, within the Project Area. (TRCA, http://www.trca.on.ca/trca-user-uploads/PICPresentation_Final_webversion.pdf)</p> <p>I suggest that the choices made about the next steps in the project must take habitat enhancement as the primary objective, followed by the other concerns, as listed in the TRCA document. The document also says "enhancements" to current access to Bluffers Park will be "identified at a later stage" and the stated focus is on "how to address the risk to public safety and property" and "linkage" using shoreline construction options. In my opinion, the TRCA is skipping over the first priority, habitat, in favour of the others, in spite of the "where possible" qualification with respect to new greenspace and waterfront recreation.</p>	Ecology
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	The TRCA has already stated that the erosion control projects to address high risk areas have been addressed. There is no "public safety" reason to continue extensive construction at the shoreline at the east end of Bluffers Park beach.	Safety
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Located in the heart of the Scarborough Bluffs, Bluffer's Park beach is a beautiful, popular site for recreational water use. Currently, Bluffer's beach is the only designated beach between Rouge beach to the east and KewBalmy beach to the west. As such, it is the closest swimming option for the majority of Scarborough's population.	Beach
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Waterkeeper also worries that some of the options for improving shoreline connectivity could potentially have serious and unwanted side effects. The construction of headland beaches, causeways or island bridges has the potential to destroy aquatic habitat or impair water quality. Additionally it is important to consider how proposed alterations could affect recreational water use. For example, in some instances, shoreline construction can lead to the creation of rip currents or structural currents. Such outcomes have the potential to greatly offset any benefits gained from increased connectivity.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Lake Ontario Waterkeeper recently became aware of the Environmental Assessment being conducted by the TRCA to examine the potential impacts of the proposed Scarborough Waterfront Project. The purpose of this letter is to outline some preliminary concerns and express Waterkeeper's desire to take part in the stakeholder consultations planned for later this year.	Consultation
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Waterkeeper worries that neither the Waterfront Project nor Evaluation Criteria, as presently described, reflect this goal. For example, instead of being a key object, 'improved water quality' is only mentioned as one of several criteria for habitat enhancement. Likewise, "providing an enjoyable waterfront experience" is a stated objective for the project, but increased recreational water use is not listed as a criteria for, or indicator of, success. The current Evaluation Criteria therefore fail to capture the importance the public places on swimming, fishing and interacting with Lake Ontario.	Evaluation of Alternatives
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Waterkeeper looks forward to playing a constructive role during the Environmental Assessment process and helping the TRCA promote a swimmable, drinkable, fishable waterfront for the Scarborough area, Sincerely,	Miscellaneous
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Waterkeeper has a longstanding interest in the area surrounding Bluffer's beach. In 2006, an investigation by Waterkeeper helped shed light on the fact that runoff from marshland to the north of the beach was resulting in a pattern of chronic beach closure due to elevated levels of E. coli . Corrective measures in 2008 helped to solve this problem and the beach has enjoyed greatly improved water quality since then.	Water Quality

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Waterkeeper welcomes the creation of greenspaces and efforts to make Lake Ontario's shoreline more accessible to Torontonians. However, Waterkeeper believes that waterfront projects must be designed to protect water quality and aquatic habitat. Put simply, no project should move forward unless it makes the waterfront a better place to swim, drink and fish.	Water Quality
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Ultimately, Waterkeeper believes that any alterations made to the 11km stretch of shoreline between Bluffer's Beach and Highland Creek should improve water quality and increase opportunities for recreational water use. This needs be the primarily objective of the project. Before proceeding, there needs to be extensive water quality sampling along the entire shoreline to establish baseline data. Without baseline water quality data it will difficult for the Environment Assessment process to function properly. Baseline data will allow for a better understanding of the potential impacts of the proposed alterations. It will also help the TRCA to identify key opportunities, such as designating new beaches, solving chronic water quality issues by addressing stormwater runoff or other sources of contamination, and improving aquatic habitat	Water Quality
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Like many Torontonians, I value Toronto's access to Lake Ontario, and do not want a concrete water's edge—there is already enough as it is.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	The sandy shorelines of Toronto's rare Scarborough Bluffs need to be protected for the fragile ecosystems that they are, and the habitats they provide for a diverse array of wildlife.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I recently learned about the natural shoreline of East Point Park, and am afraid it too will meet the same fate as waterfront at the Doris McCarthy trail (Gates Gully).	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	As Toronto's Conservation Authority, please preserve the natural beauty of this area, and consider alternatives that focus on enhancing and protecting nature, with low-impact designs. This means maintaining Toronto's favourite swimming beach at Bluffer's Park, and keeping the natural shoreline of East Point Park. Formal trails and bike paths do not need to be everywhere.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	In fact, the lakefront trail the East Point segment already exists at the top of the bluffs and offers a panoramic view. There is absolutely no need to pave this areas natural shoreline	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am all for more access to the waterfront, but at what cost? While the trail at Dorris McCarthy is easy to walk, you are cut off from the actual lake with revetments, rocks and stone . At some points you can barely hear it waves, let alone see water. You actually have to climb on-top of the large rocks to actually see Lake Ontario. What's the point in constructing a bike/walking trail, if you can't even see the water?	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	At a time in Toronto where the entire city if focused on the re-development of the waterfront at the Portlands and re-connecting back Lake Ontario, I can't imagine why there is a debate surrounding paving over substantial swaths of our beaches. Please listen to the public, and save our beaches from more development.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am writing to urge you to preserve as much of the wilderness aspect of the Bluffs as still remains. I do not support allowing greater public access to this area by means of trails, bridges, etc. etc. One of the appealing aspects of the Bluffs is that it is unlike all the other City parks.	Bluff Geology
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Toronto's waterfront is already heavily built up and largely paved over. The Bluffs are a tiny little patch that still remains somewhat wild and unique. Let it remain so and leave it alone.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	In short, stop trying to "develop" the Bluffs. People who know and love the Bluffs, love them the way they are.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Leave the birds and other wildlife their tiny little patch of habitat and leave the beach untouched. Humans have already hogged most of this planet for themselves and facilitating greater human access to the Bluffs is only going to adversely affect what few wildlife populations remain there.	Ecology
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	The Bluffs should never have been touched in the first place and what little remains should be left sans trails, sidewalks, signs, washrooms, overhead lights, concession stands, parking, etc. etc. etc. If people want "comforts" and "convenience," they're not into the wilderness experience anyway and should stay away. They have enough other places to go in the City already.	Miscellaneous

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>ACCESS POINTS TO THE SHORE</p> <p>I understand that a shoreline access road was built to complete the beach remediation at 220 Grayabbey Trail, which is adjacent to Grayabbey Park. The successful completion of the access road presents an opportunity to explore alternate, less intrusive access points at East Point Park that do not involve the desecration of Grayabbey ravine.</p>	Access
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>Beaches in need of remediation do not mean that they are unworthy of protection</p> <p>A lot has been made in the documentation of the damage occasioned to the Scarborough Shore due to the practice of stonehooking, that occurred in the nineteenth century. It is true that the shore was largely denuded of gravel and rock that lined the nearshore waters, often referred to as, "Dundas shale". Today that shale is the foundation of many of Toronto's oldest heritage buildings, but it did not just come from the Township of Scarborough. It came from across the Toronto waterfront: from the Beach district and near the mouth of the Humber River and beyond.</p> <p>In the early decades of the twentieth century, right up to and including the 1970s, the Beach district's shore was at times at least, if not more, starved of sand than East Point Beach is today. I would argue that the difference between these two beaches is mainly in the thinking and the approach to the problem of erosion control.</p>	Beach
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	In Scarborough we are discussing the possibility of the desecration of the beach at East Point. Thanks to the efforts of many, today the residents of the Beach district enjoy an artificially widened and protected sand beach, rather than an armoured revetment wall that cuts them off from the lake.	Beach
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Bluffer's Beach is a gift to the City of Toronto. The great expanse of sand and the elegant curve of its easterly bay should not be lightly tampered with to accommodate the Waterfront Trail.	Beach
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	All possibilities should be explored for the remediation and further development of East Point Beach before we throw the baby out with the bathwater and bring in the concrete.	Beach
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Bluffer's certainly should not be marred by unnecessary and harmful development like the Headland Beach alternative that gobbles up one third of the sand with armour rock.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Maybe there is a less intrusive option with fewer environmental impacts to connect the trail,	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am mystified as to why so many of the alternatives seem to desecrate the remaining sand beaches when an opportunity is clearly presented for the development of our shores as educational hubs within the context of the natural world.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	The issue here is how to increase the public's connectivity to the shore without destroying the reason for going down to the water in the first place.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	The Meadowcliffe Erosion Control Project is just the harbinger of great change now being proposed for about 11 kilometres of the Scarborough shore. I don't think any of us really comprehends the enormity of the potential change. The decisions of the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority have the capacity to fundamentally alter the contour and shape of the eastern waterfront, and the way we relate to the lake, for generations to come.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	For example, many would agree that the corridor to Bluffer's Park at Brimley Road needs to be developed and transportation connections strengthened. The further development of the Waterfront Trail at or near the headlands of the Scarborough Bluffs or at the water's edge should be governed by a key principle, however. In my view, the further development of the trail should not supercede the preservation of the remaining beach and natural areas at or near the shoreline. This was in fact a key message noted in the consultation process (Scarborough Waterfront Project, Environmental Assessment, p. 65, noted above).	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I (and I venture to say the public at large) simply do not know enough about the alternatives and the potential environmental impact of those alternatives to be in a position to make an educated choice. Permit me to provide you with a colloquial example. You wouldn't move forward to deeply explore an option for a kitchen renovation without first obtaining preliminary information about what the finished project is going to look like, a rough idea of the costs involved, the nature and quality of the materials and the potential impact of the renovation on the household.	Consultation
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I draw your attention to fifteen of the total eighteen alternatives noted for the East and West study areas in the Workbook, including the Headland--?Beach, Bridge, Causeway and Revetment alternatives. The preliminary drawings for each of these alternatives indicates even to the layperson a high degree of environmental disturbance. Most of the alternatives for Bluffer's Beach appear to involve heavy infrastructure and serious impairment to the site lines and water flow in the bay at the east end of the beach. All of the alternatives appear to call for the virtual elimination of the sand beaches at Grayabbey, while many of the alternatives call for the destruction of East Point Beach West. It seems to me that these high impact alternatives cry out for an explanation as to why they are required, yet no such information has been presented. Why then are Torontonians being asked to settle and choose to move forward with alternatives that have the potential to devastate our remaining unencumbered shorelines?	Consultation
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	but the community deserves to hear more about the potential environmental impacts and the scale and site lines of all alternatives before even considering moving forward to the next stage.	Consultation
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Please give us more information about the alternatives you have presented so that we may fully participate in meaningful choices.	Consultation
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Any discussion about potential development of Scarborough's remaining beach areas should be governed by the prevailing principle contained in The City of Toronto Official Plan, 2002 (consolidated in 2010), and cited in the Scarborough Waterfront Project, Environmental Assessment Terms of Reference, p. 55. The Official Plan states, "The shoreline of the Project Study Area is designated as natural heritage system (an area where protecting, restoring and enhancing the natural features and functions should have high priority)."	Development
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	THE WAY FORWARD – OVERARCHING THEMES Balancing competing interests Two competing interests are inherent in any conversation about Scarborough shoreline development: increased access and the preservation of the unique ecology of the area that includes sand beaches, leafy ravines and diverse animal and plant species.	Ecology
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Habitat Enhancement Opportunities It is of interest to me that the potential for habitat enhancement is noted throughout the proposed alternatives (particularly for fish).	Ecology
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	It concerns me that the obvious significant and irreversible diminishment of habitat for many other creatures, associated with many of the alternatives, is not even mentioned.	Ecology
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I believe that it is somewhat specious to point out that increased opportunities for fish habitat are presented by the partial or complete obliteration a sand beach.	Ecology
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	It is important to the discussion that many sections of the 11 kilometre shore, including the Scarborough Bluffs Sequence, are designated by the City of Toronto as Environmentally Sensitive Areas (ESAs). The Scarborough Bluffs and East Point Park are also designated by the Province of Ontario as Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSIs). East Point Park is also a noted migratory bird route.	ESAs/Species Protection

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>East Point Park is, geologically speaking, the termination point of the Scarborough Bluffs formation. The Bluffs are far less high in this area than they are at Bluffer's Beach and are positioned behind the beach, off the water. (I am told that the water level runs higher in the summer and less beach is evident at this time of year). There is no residential development on the tablelands above East Point, which are subject to ESA and ANSI designations. It should be noted that the F. J. Horgan Water Treatment Plant (drinking water) is situated north of East Point Park West, above the headland and the park. The Highland Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant (sanitation) is situated above the east end of the park, north of the headland. An almost identical configuration exists in the Beach district, with Ashbridge's Bay Wastewater Treatment Plant situated at the west end of Woodbine Beach and the R.C. Harris Water Treatment Plant (the city's largest source of fresh drinking water) located to the east of Balmy Beach.</p>	Existing Conditions
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>Educational possibilities and cultural identity</p> <p>The Toronto waterfront is central to our identity as Torontonians and a great deal of our creation story lies at the waters' edge. Lake Ontario is the most significant monument we have to our storied past. If we move headlong into the future with callous disregard for our past, we do so at our peril --?--? we lose our sense of place that is connected to the waters' edge.</p>	Miscellaneous
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>Few outside the Scarborough Bluffs district know that a gaping crevice in the land lies south of the Kingston Road near the foot of Bellamy. Gates Gully has rested silently there since the last ice sheet retreated from Lake Ontario approximately 12,500 years ago. The trail that has been used for millennia by First Nations people has attained mythic status with many, associated as it is with stories of pioneer trade, the Rebellion of 1837, shipwrecks, smuggling, and lost treasure.</p> <p>As a local resident, I often clambered down into the Gully with our three children, mucking through Bellamy creek, all the way to its outlet near a beguiling little pebble beach at the mouth of Lake Ontario. Looking to the west, the turquoise water lapped the toe of one of the last stretches of the naturally eroding Scarborough Bluffs. Each trip would offer new discoveries: shore glass, salamanders, a dip in the bracing lake. Surrounded by the nature, we were in a different time and space --?--? it was easy to forget we were inhabitants of one of the largest cities in North America.</p> <p>Recently, much of that has disappeared without a trace. Our neighbours' properties that backed onto the lake were in serious jeopardy due to erosion from the Bluffs. A solution had to be found. We traded a shore for a gigantic, raised armour rock structure that juts out far into the lake and promises to virtually halt the harmful erosion process in the decades to come. Though I mourn the loss, I do not begrudge the change. As I said in <i>Along the Shore, Rediscovering Toronto's Waterfront Heritage</i>, "The irony is that bringing people down to the water also brings destruction. In conservation there is loss, and in development there is always change."</p>	Other Initiatives
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>220 GRAYABBEY TRAIL AND PRIVATE OWNERSHIP ALONG THE SHORE</p> <p>I previously indicated that in the East section, in the vicinity of Grayabbey Park, no other option but versions of a hardened shore are presented. I understand that private property owners with riparian rights in the immediate area, at their own expense, have adopted innovative and effective ways to deal with shoreline erosion. The property owner at 220 Grayabbey Trail maintains that the remedial work for her property has been done under the auspices of IBI Group Engineers and that this work has been approved by the TRCA. I do not wish to air bad laundry between the respective parties, but I do think that the discussion of how to remediate sandy shorelines and preserve beaches as an alternative to the installation of revetment walls and armour rock would be enriched by hearing directly from the private owners. The question needs to be addressed as to why other alternatives haven't been presented by the TRCA that reflect more creative ways of dealing with erosion control, given your apparent involvement in beach remediation at 220 Grayabbey Trail.</p>	Private Landowners

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>I understand that a segment of East Point beach is also under private ownership, but I do not see indication of that on the alternatives in the Alternatives document (private ownership is touched upon in the Scarborough Waterfront Project, Environmental Assessment Terms of Reference). How does the perspective of that owner affect the likelihood of the Waterfront Trail proceeding through the ravine, above the beach? It is axiomatic to the discussion that the public has access to the perspective of the property owner, prior to being asked to choose an alternative.</p> <p>Riparian rights are part of the history of the Toronto waterfront, and the rights and responsibilities of those owners, and their experience is important to the discussion that is underway.</p>	Private Landowners
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>PROCESS FOR DEVELOPING ALTERNATIVES FOR SHORELINE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>I have reviewed the Scarborough Waterfront Project, Environmental Assessment Terms of Reference, the alternatives to shoreline development outlined in the Scarborough Waterfront Project, Environmental Assessment (Alternatives document), and the Workbook. I will not be providing comment on the specific alternatives contained in</p> <p>the Alternatives document at this time, but rather referring to guiding principles that I hope you will take into consideration as you move forward with this process.</p> <p>The reason I am not providing specific comments on the alternatives is this: it is my respectful view that the process that has led up to the presentation of the alternatives is fundamentally flawed.</p>	Process
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>Let's face it, once an alternative is picked and the train is moving down the track, it's pretty hard to disembark and start the process over again from scratch. It's human nature to make the chosen alternative "fit" the circumstance --?--? costs mount, and people settle for less than they deserve. Sometimes the project goes off the rails entirely.</p> <p>I ask you this: if we wouldn't choose this process for our home renovation, why would we choose it for our waterfront, when the impact of the decision will affect generations of Torontonians to come?</p>	Process
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>TRCA, I am asking you to go back to the drawing board.</p>	Process
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>Please offer us other creative solutions so that our remaining beaches and natural spaces may flourish and be enjoyed in my lifetime and beyond.</p>	Process
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>As I said in an earlier blog on the subject (noted below), we should be able to swim and fish in the lake, walk on the sand, hike and bike on the trail, and get into a boat at the shore.</p>	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>On the beach at East Point West, wetlands extend out onto the sand, and a little creek runs to the beach. Muskrat, turtle, and other creatures inhabit the shore and nearshore areas. Enormous opportunities are presented by this resource at the water's edge: interpretive trails that delineate natural and First Nations history, ecological, archaeological and geological field work, and possible supervised beach areas.</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	<p>Safety as a deterrent to a sand beach</p> <p>I note the attention given to the issue of safety throughout all the documentation in relation to the Scarborough Waterfront Development Project (see in particular, Scarborough Waterfront Project, Environmental Assessment Terms of Reference, pages 11 and 28). While attention to safety as a feature of development is of course necessary, it should never become a justification in itself for the complete elimination of the perceived risk or threat.</p> <p>Experiences in nature are not by their definition one hundred percent safe.</p> <p>Please refer to the recent decision of the City of Toronto regarding skating on Grenadier Pond. While I understand that the skating project may be currently held up due to a lack of funding, the decisions the City has taken on the matter are instructive, see: http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2015/pe/bgrd/backgroundfile-785531.pdf</p> <p>The quickly disappearing former cottage of the comedian Billy Van on Meadowcliffe Drive is also illustrative. While the structure was allowed to erode for years on the edge of the Bluff in full knowledge of the TRCA, our children splashed through the lake underneath it to get to Pluffer's Beach. No one died, and I believe they are richer for the experience.</p>	Safety
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	It is important to remember that the Waterfront Trail already exists in Scarborough, though some sections of it move through off-road paths and on-road streets. I support a diversified and balanced waterfront that allows for varied experiences and interactions with Lake Ontario.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I think the public would be interested in hearing about those alternatives, and the potential environmental impact each alternative presents, prior to moving forward.	Evaluation of Alternatives
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I don't have a strong feeling about any of the options	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	except that doing nothing is not an option.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I would like to see the option that best maintains as natural a look as possible, prevents erosion, gets cyclists closest to the lake and creates better access points to the Bluffs. You have a difficult job ahead of you.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I am glad that the TRCA is looking at ways to reduce erosion along the Bluffs and improve safety.	Erosion
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	Many people use the existing construction roads for recreation purposes indicating demand.	Existing Conditions
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	I would like to see the bike trail extended from Highland Creek to Bluffers Park	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	and beyond to connect with the trail at the Beaches.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	The existing Waterfront Trail System is silly - riding through residential areas when you have the lake to ride beside - safer and far more beautiful.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	The bike/pedestrian trail from Highland Creek to Pickering and beyond is so busy on weekends. It's stunningly beautiful and I like to think it's Toronto's best kept secret.	Comment on Alternative
2/11/2016	PIC 1 Email	We attended the PIC#1 in Scarborough on Jan. 28, 2016. My wife and I wanted more time to study the alternatives so did not hand in the workbook at the end of the meeting. However, when we looked at the workbook diagrams at home we found they were too small to read, so had to take the time to get the presentation material from your website, then take the maps to Staples to have large copies made. The following are our comments:	Consultation
2/12/2016	Email	I'm sorry I'm one day late with my comments. Please retain and preserve the 3 km. natural sandy beach east of Morningside Ave. It would be a shame to destroy it with a paved trail.	Comment on Alternative
2/12/2016	Email	However it would also be nice if you could smooth out the rubble on the beach at the foot of 220 Grey Abbey Tr. to make it easier for pedestrians to walk by.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/14/2016	Email	My parents live on a street that gets the overflow parking when the lot at the park is full and there are dozens and dozens of cars that park there and walk down, and some try to find alternate routes through the bushes to their cars. My family is not concerned about the many cars parked, it just shows the enormous amount of people walking down that need a better access.	Access
2/14/2016	Email	A seasonal TTC access would be very helpful, although I realize that is likely out of your scope.	Access
2/14/2016	Email	For the West section, I strongly prefer option 3a or 3b. I think this provides a good balance between connecting the Doris McCarthy Trail to Bluffers Park while still maintaining a fairly natural state at the base of the bluffs allowing for habitat for flora and fauna.	Comment on Alternative
2/14/2016	Email	Thank you for taking the time to consult with us on this very interesting project and I hope to participate in further meetings in the future.	Consultation
2/14/2016	Email	Hope it's not too late to submit my comments. I am most interested in the east portion of the study area. I grew up along the Bluffs and lived here for about 25 years before moving away for a few years and have now moved back into the neighbourhood on Harewood Ave. The bluffs were my playground as a child (contributing somewhat to erosion slightly by running up and down them I'm sure, I remember the swallows nesting). I am very glad that it is being considered to make improvements to this wonderful natural asset and I hope that many more can enjoy them. I was unable to attend the meeting in late Jan, but want to submit my comments if possible.	Miscellaneous
2/14/2016	Email	An improved access connection for cyclists and pedestrians to the park I think is very important (Bluffers)	Access
2/14/2016	Email	A seasonal TTC access (Bluffers) would be very helpful, although I realize that is likely out of your scope.	Access
2/14/2016	Email	I would love to see a successful fish habitat return to Bluffers Park and from viewing the boards, this would create a good habitat for them to thrive <Alt 3 and 3b>	Comment on Alternative
2/14/2016	Email	I think the size of the beach area at Bluffers Park is sufficient as is.	Comment on Alternative
2/14/2016	Email	A bike connection along the base would be beautiful so that it can be enjoyed by more	Comment on Alternative
2/16/2016	Email	All this said, the most important thing is to do SOMETHING. A long continuous hiking trail in combination with natural habitat, would really put Scarborough on the urban and environmental map.	Comment on Alternative
2/16/2016	Email	As someone who has lived on Meadowcliffe, bluffs side, for 30 years, I am mostly very pleased with the proposals for further bluffs improvement. I attended the poster session, asked lots of questions, but was unable to stay for the presentation. Perhaps the concerns I mention below were raised there.	Comment on Alternative
2/16/2016	Email	Then as for our choices: 1. I would be fine with the headland extension from Meadowcliffe to Bluffers Park. I find the stretch running below Meadowcliffe has somewhat of a moonscape feel that blends well with the wild vegetation; and it would keep the hikers and cyclists away from the foot of the bluffs, while adding more land for planting.	Comment on Alternative
2/16/2016	Email	2. I would also support the islands, or the long or the short span bridges, for similar reasons - although I don't think they give as much proaction to the toe of Cudia Park	Comment on Alternative
2/16/2016	Email	3. The Beach alternative below Cudia Park seems to place the cyclists too close to the foot of the bluffs (for safety reasons, not good, and not as good for wildlife). Also presumably the expanded beach would mean more provision by the city for supervision. I DO support more beach/swimming development, but wonder if it wouldn't be best to develop the facilities to the east (at East Point park?). Then city resources could be consolidated into a really good area for summer activities, under supervision	Comment on Alternative
2/16/2016	Email	4. I am sceptical of a new trail down to Cudia Park. With no beach access there, it seems better not to temp people to go down or up the bluffs on their own accord (I confess to climbing these bluffs about 30 years ago - something I vowed at the time I would NEVER do again!!!)	Comment on Alternative
2/16/2016	Email	As someone who has lived on Meadowcliffe, bluffs side, for 30 years, I am mostly very pleased with the proposals for further bluffs improvement.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/16/2016	Email	I attended the poster session, asked lots of questions, but was unable to stay for the presentation. Perhaps the concerns I mention below were raised there.	Consultation
2/16/2016	Email	But first, I want to continue my support for maintaining and increasing the natural habitat. When you go north of Scarborough, all you see is land converted from natural into built environments. That puts more onus on the south of Scarborough to do what it can in the opposite direction. So I strongly support what I heard about indigenous plantings - I would urge milkweed for the Monarchs, and Sumac, for the deer and for stabilizing the bluffs (also, when we can get them, caterpillars for eating the dog strangling vine, which tricks the Monarchs by masquerading as milkweed). Vegetation is my highest priority. I walked on the bluffs last fall and saw the biggest Common Mullen I have ever seen! We have a natural education environment here, as well as a sanctuary for wild life. (I might add too that I currently get quantities of sand on my deck and in my eavestroughs. Planting would help to keep the sand where it belongs!)	Ecology
2/16/2016	Email	What I would add that I didn't hear covered; 1. Protection for deer (I have deer grazing regularly in my yard - their travel routes from the ravine to Meadowcliffe must be preserved. Thus plantings designed to encourage people to stay to the paths (and also to discourage people from attempting to climb the bluffs!) are crucial.	Ecology
2/16/2016	Email	2. Parking for people who are coming to walk the trails	Parking
2/16/2016	Email	3. Safety controls - what can be done to prevent people from getting too close to the water and inadvertently falling in? or from going on to the beach areas after dark?	Safety
2/19/2016	Meeting	Would like to see the existing sand beach maintained.	Comment on Alternative
2/19/2016	Meeting	The Project needs to consider that 70% of the nearshore habitat along Lake Ontario is gone.	Ecology
2/19/2016	Meeting	The Project needs to consider access to the water for wildlife.	Ecology
2/19/2016	Meeting	The stormwater outfalls which discharge into Grey Abbey Ravine should be considered.	Existing Conditions
2/19/2016	Meeting	TRCA provided information about private property ownership at the first PIC meeting, however additional mapping/resources will be provided at the next PIC to further illustrate private vs. public land in the Study Area	Private Landowners
2/19/2016	Meeting	Water quality is an important consideration which should be a primary Objective of the Project.	Water Quality
2/26/2016	Email	In reality, the city will not be able to help with any bylaw enforcement of the area – I spoke to the city representative at the last meeting and he said that they have so very few bylaw officers that there would be no way they could send one out every day to enforce the endless people who would allow their dogs to run loose – harming and killing wildlife in the process. He said that there is a huge problem with dog owners blatantly and rather aggressively disobeying the bylaws in other areas of the city such as the Beaches, and if the bylaw officer is not there every day, people allow their dogs to do as they wish. Why would we want to expose this area to such abuse when we know there are threatened species here?	ByLaw/Enforcement

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/26/2016	Email	<p>I have a big concern with the fact that there will be no staff on hand at the Bluffs to keep people in line and make sure the bylaws are not broken, and that nature is respected. If staff were on hand every day to monitor, I would feel more secure. Since the city has no staff to spare, I think we should err on the side of caution and not open up the area to the abuse that will absolutely follow.</p> <p>For people wishing to have parties and large BBQ's, etc., the endless other city parks with green lawns and little wildlife should be promoted for this use. The fact is, a lot of people are drawn to the Bluffs because it has no supervision, has lots of "private" areas where they can party and get up to their own devices and not worry about getting caught or even be seen breaking all kinds of bylaws (let alone get fined for them). And case in point, if they do get caught breaking one obvious bylaw – climbing the Bluffs – there is no repercussion to follow. How many people "stranded" on the sides of the Bluffs ever get fined for going where it is clearly marked to stay off of? Or even made to pay their expensive rescue fee? The fact is, if people know they can get away with something and not get fined or made to pay, they will continue to do whatever bad thing they are doing. It is human nature.</p> <p>My point of view is, if people cannot be trusted to care for the park when left to their own devices unsupervised, and if the city has no money to provide staff, then it should not be opened up to the damage that will follow. When viewing priceless objects in our museum, you have to stay a certain distance away, and there are staff on hand to make sure that you do.</p>	ByLaw/Enforcement
2/26/2016	Email	<p>Since there is only ONE Scarborough Bluffs, to allow it to possibly fall to degradation at the hands of throngs of weekend visitors who don't appreciate its unique nature, would be criminal.</p>	ByLaw/Enforcement
2/26/2016	Email	<p>I was happy to hear quite a few supporters for leaving Bluffer's Park and its beaches as is at the last meeting. The few people that stood up and expressed this underscored the desires of most people that know, understand, and love the area for what it is. I support the do-nothing option for Bluffer's park. I do not want to see Bluffer's Park connected with Meadowcliffe. This would allow far too much additional people traffic in an area that is home to wildlife that depend upon this area for breeding and feeding</p>	Comment on Alternative
2/26/2016	Email	<p>I do not want to see Bluffer's Park connected with Meadowcliffe. This would allow far too much additional people traffic in an area that is home to wildlife that depend upon this area for breeding and feeding.</p>	Comment on Alternative
2/26/2016	Email	<p>There are many, many daily wildlife watchers and photographers who view, document and photograph three times the amount of wildlife species than are listed in your study. To me, this means that the data you have on species in the area is incomplete, and as such, we should be aiming to do no harm to the area nor to the wildlife.</p>	Ecology
2/26/2016	Email	<p>In an ideal world, an unbiased third party non-government affiliated biologist would study the area intensely for a year, confer with and take into account local wildlife enthusiasts' data, and come up with a comprehensive list of species of fauna and flora in the area. Nothing would happen to the park before such a study was done, and any further development would take the results of this study into account. I know we don't live in an ideal world.</p>	Ecology
2/26/2016	Email	<p>Dogs and dog owners aside, there will also be no way to enforce the litter and garbage bylaws, which are already abused constantly down at Bluffer's Park. If it is opened up further to more people traffic, I can only imagine how much additional endless garbage will be left behind to endanger and kill the wildlife...</p>	Garbage
2/26/2016	Email	<p>Which brings up another point – I don't want to have any new "fishing areas" opened up or promoted in the area. Yes, people already do come here to fish, and a few of them are responsible but a lot of them throw away gutted fish and leave them to rot, leave dead fish in plastic bags, and leave endless discarded fishing line and hooks. The last two items are a constant bane to wildlife. The Toronto Wildlife Centre does what it can to rescue and treat swans and geese and other wildlife that get hooks stuck in them, and sometimes they are successful in treating and releasing them. But there are many, many more that never get saved and die in agony due to people's carelessness and lack of concern for wildlife.</p> <p>Simply put, if fishing is promoted, and more fishing spots opened up, more discarded hooks will kill more wildlife. One absolutely equals the other</p>	Park Amenities
2/26/2016	Email	<p>I would actually like to see fishing banned in the Bluffs.</p>	Park Amenities

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/26/2016	Email	Ideally, I would like to see the Bluffs treated like a Provincial Park, such as Algonquin Park, where visitors are regulated, and are there to enjoy nature, respect nature, do quiet things like walk, observe or swim, but not to party and leave trash. The difference is, a Provincial park always has staff on hand to monitor things, and to stop problems before they develop. They also monitor how many people can get into the park on any given day and charge an admission fee.	Park Amenities
2/26/2016	Email	I, and many others, believe the Bluffs to be the jewel of Toronto, and want it to remain that way for future generations. I would be happy to see viewing spots at the top of the Bluffs, more paths there, with educational boards and lookouts, that would allow people to enjoy the Bluffs and the wildlife, but from a safe and respectful distance.	Park Amenities
2/26/2016	Email	Thanks for letting me know. Computers can be so problematic at times – I thought my email went out weeks ago! But this is the heartfelt email I sent out, below, so I hope its essence will be added to the feedback.	Miscellaneous
2/29/2016	Email	<p>Thank you for your detailed and thoughtful response to my earlier message. The TFN appreciates the clear statement of your vision for the Scarborough Waterfront and wishes to support your commitment to protect and enhance aquatic and terrestrial natural habitats and the species that inhabit them. We also understand that you are at early stages of consultation and that public consultation will continue as the project proposals are refined. We would like to continue to participate in the Stakeholder Committee, with Anne Leon as our representative.</p> <p>I also wanted to mention that I had the pleasure of attending the TRCA AGM last Friday. I spoke with Dena Lewis about the possibility of giving a talk to the TFN and our various stakeholder/ advisory group representatives about current TRCA projects and the public consultation process.</p>	Consultation
3/8/2016	Email	<p>As another frustrated area resident succinctly put it: "It's time to pull the plug on SWP, cancel TW's 60% financial underwriting of the TRCA budget and remove the 8% increase from Torontonians' 2016 water rates!" That is a sentiment with which I wholeheartedly concur.</p>	Comment on Alternative
3/8/2016	Email	<p>In response to EA Info Sheet #3 and endless related meetings, I am writing to express serious concerns regarding the following situation involving Toronto and Region Conservation Authority ("TRCA") and the City of Toronto/Toronto Water ("TW").</p> <p>TRCA, at the direction of Toronto City Council, is conducting an Environmental Assessment ("EA") for the Scarborough Waterfront Project ("SWP"). However, it is Toronto Water that is funding said EA (due to be completed by the end of 2016).</p> <p>Discussions are underway between TRCA and City staff with respect to the actual "implementation" of the SWP, assuming satisfactory completion of the EA. Funding for the \$60 million-plus estimated cost to implement the SWP is NOT specifically identified within TRCA's 2016-2025 Capital Plan (unlike other similar projects).</p> <p>Furthermore, in the case of TW, SWP implementation funding is listed as part of its "Un-met Financial Needs" for at least the next four years.</p> <p>In fact, TW has the largest water-related infrastructure renewal backlog of urban centres in Canada at \$1.6 billion as of this year, with a \$261 million backlog still expected in 2025.)</p>	Funding/Cost
3/8/2016	Email	To date, the waterfront trail beneath the Scarborough Bluffs remains nothing more than a series of pretty pictures on numerous flyers and brochures.	Existing Conditions
3/8/2016	Email	The SWP, in various forms, has been on TRCA (formerly MTRCA) agendas, with ongoing public consultation and input, for two decades, http://www.trca.on.ca/dotAsset/217960.pdf ; however, competing TRCA projects (mostly in the western and northwestern sections of the GTA), have garnered significantly more political/council support (see Board Membership appended below).	Miscellaneous

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
3/9/2016	Email	So, to encapsulate, what you are saying is that after the first round evaluation, it is possible that one alternative could be presented for each of the subject areas of the study, correct? I certainly hope that this is not the case, but I think it is important to set out the possibilities at this stage very clearly so that everyone understands what is on the table as we move forward.	Process
4/5/2016	Email	As part of the TRCA's Scarborough Waterfront Project, can you advise if there will be a recommendation to move ahead with a sidewalk for pedestrians who want to enjoy Bluffer's Park?	Brimley Road
4/5/2016	Email	Year after year the volume increases of brave souls that make the literally harrowing walk up and down (often with baby carriages and children in tow) the hill at the edge of the very busy roadway.	Brimley Road
4/14/2016	Email	On the all of the alternatives for the West Segment it is great to see the purple 'proposed improvements' line from Kingston Road down Brimley Road to Bluffers Park	Comment on Alternative
4/14/2016	Email	I notice that even on the 'Do Nothing' alternative the City of Toronto is identified to potentially build a multi-use trail and that part of your SWP assessment indicators is the ability to accommodate the City of Toronto trail.	Comment on Alternative
4/14/2016	Email	Is there any reason that the City of Toronto could not commence building the multi-use trail based on TRCA guidelines as they stand today?	Process
4/14/2016	Email	do you have a sense for the time-frame for completion of the SWP assessment (now that its nearing 2 years in)?	Process
4/27/2016	Meeting	M. Mattson expressed concern that the federal and provincial governments are not sufficiently familiar with the Scarborough Waterfront Project. He suggested an increase in the role of the federal government in the project.	Consultation
4/27/2016	Meeting	M. Mattson indicated his interest in supporting the project from a high level. He indicated that the project was a good opportunity, especially if it is combined with water quality improvements.	Water Quality
5/9/2016	Email	A few weeks ago I went on the tour of the waterfront project. Today I had an idea that I think would be great. How about if we implemented a water taxi system sort of like at Harbourfront http://www.harbourfrontcentre.com/marine/watertaxis/index.cfm or in Vancouver http://www.granvilleislandferries.bc.ca/ I was thinking there could be a stop near the Rouge Hill Go Station, maybe one around the Guild, one near the Passage statue, one at the marina at the Bluffs and then maybe one in the Beaches and then finally at Harbourfront. I think this would be a low cost, extremely eco friendly, popular idea. Obviously because this part of Lake Ontario is more volatile than Harbourfront or False Creek in Vancouver the taxis would have to be bigger and more stable and if the weather was bad there could be a website that would let people know easily whether they were running or not. However, from May until September I believe it would be an excellent addition to Toronto and that the public would love it. Please consider the idea, thank you.	Access
5/14/2016	Shoreline Tour	<Tour> was billed as including the East Park beach to Copperfield Rd (FJ Hogan) which was not the case. Would like to see tours cover entire waterfront project EA in order to make better decisions by public and stakeholders.	Consultation
5/14/2016	Shoreline Tour	I would be very interested in the history of specific spots of the trail	Miscellaneous
5/14/2016	Shoreline Tour	More info on timing of access by public.	Access
5/14/2016	Shoreline Tour	Environment good but access equal!!	Access
5/14/2016	Shoreline Tour	Having tours during the summer would be nice. Maybe do it where you could walk or bike	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
5/30/2016	Email	<p>As usual, I also have an opinion about the Waterfront Trail and possible overflow parking solution for Bluffers Park for what it is worth.</p> <p>Overflow Parking Bluffers Park</p> <p>Noting space for parking is limited near Brimley road, perhaps the city can make arrangements for vehicles to park at Birchmount Arena, RH KING Academy, and/or Scarborough Village arena on weekends. By charging a flat rate fee per vehicle to park, perhaps the revenue generated may be sufficient to cover the costs of providing a shuttle bus which stops at all three facilities every half hour on weekends to shuttle patrons to the various points in Bluffers Park. The parking lots could have dedicated areas for Bluffs parking and I am sure enough revenue could be generated over time to even provide continued enhancements to the Park.</p> <p>The concept of shuttle buses is nothing new and is used extensively during the Canadian Open when it is held at the Glen Abbey Golf course. The situation is similar. A popular event attended by many but, the venue has limited parking. Glen abbey actually shuttles fans from 20 km away during the event and it seems to work well.</p> <p>Just at thought.</p>	Brimley Road
5/30/2016	Email	<p>So here is what Lina thought might work.</p> <p>Build a causeway to complete the connection from where the Waterfront Trail terminates now, to some point on the beach. This would preserve the natural beauty of this section of Bluffs for an indefinite period of time, and at the same time, would allow thousands of people to enjoy the natural beauty this area has to offer. (not sure though what real estate issues may be present atop the Bluffs in this area)</p> <p>It may even be possible to salvage the overhead concrete platforms from the Scarborough LRT to construct a causeway at the Bluffs similar to how the original pedestrian bridge from the CN Tower was salvaged and re-installed to provide a pedestrian walkway over the highway to a burger place up north</p>	Comment on Alternative
5/30/2016	Email	<p>The only thing we did not like was that if a connection was made in the same fashion (landfill along the shoreline) then the natural beauty of the bluffs in their current state would be lost forever as the stabilization of the bluffs occurs from shoreline erosion protection.</p>	Erosion
5/30/2016	Email	<p>Causeway to connect Bluffers Park Beach to the Waterfront Trail at Doris McCarthy Trail</p> <p>I have absolutely no idea of current discussions about this area but again, I have an opinion and idea. I do know I have heard talk about connecting these two points but, funding seemed to be the roadblock. Lina and I walked down the Doris McCarthy Trail a few weeks ago and thought how wonderful it would be to see the completion of a connection to Bluffers Park beach.</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/2/2016	Email	<p>1. While I am excited for improved access to the base of the bluffs, will this project and the resulting erosion control not damage the natural cycle of the Scarborough Bluffs?</p>	Bluff Geology
6/2/2016	Email	<p>And will this have adverse effects on maintaining the natural beauty of the bluffs?</p>	Bluff Geology
6/2/2016	Email	<p>Will we will see work done west of Bluffs Park, to build a trail from Bluffers Park to The Beaches area of Toronto?</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/2/2016	Email	<p>Thank you, and keep up the good work. It is great to see the progress being made, and I can't wait to use the trails.</p>	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/8/2016	Email	I am writing to voice my concern over the paving/bringing of rocks to the beach from Greyabbey Park to East Point in Scarborough. Erosion is happening far from shore; there doesn't seem to be a reason NOT to leave the beach as it is - sandy. I am a resident of Guildwood and live right by Greyabbey Park. Preserving this natural area is important to me and my family.	Beach
6/15/2016	Email	Vicki just finished briefing us on your awesome work along the Scarborough waterfront. What an incredible legacy you and TRCA are creating. Anything we can do to show our support, we do. Thank you and congratulations Nancy!	Comment on Alternative
6/16/2016	Email	I would like to propose having one of my art work pieces to be part of this waterfront environmental project. I have sold to Aquavista Waterfront Toronto Inc in 2015, and I really want to have my art part of these amazing changes happening to our city waterfront. My artwork has been displayed in the upper beaches and historic distillery district. My art resume is attached as well as the art piece I am thinking of. It's called "R.C. Harris Water Treatment Plant". Thank you for your time.	Miscellaneous
6/20/2016	Email	Suggestion for a bikers trail route for the eastern sector..... Complete the waterfront roadway/bikeway from Bluffers to Guild Park. Design and construct a useful and practical switch back pathway for pedestrians and bikers for easy access to the Guildwood Park parking lots. From Guildwood, bikers can enjoy an improved lake route via the north entrance of Grey Abbey Park directly to Copperfield Road. It is a safe almost car free route through the wilderness marshlands (approximately 1 kilometer) connecting to the extensive and beautiful waterfront pathways from Beachgrove to Frenchman's Bay and beyond. This bike route would negate TRCA's rationale to construct a costly and environmentally damaging paved waterfront roadway.	Access
6/20/2016	Email	Since only cyclists are unable to ride over a sandy shoreline trail, a paved over beach, it appears, would only benefit bikers.	Beach
6/20/2016	Email	At the official opening in June 2016 of Canada's newest National Park at the Rouge waterfront, Prime Minister Trudeau emphasized the importance of making "environmental protection a priority". We strongly urge you to consider all the above and initiate the right environmental protection practices from Guildwood to East Point Park while pursuing your self directed works project	Comment on Alternative
6/20/2016	Email	TRCA's favoured development proposal from Guildwood to East Point Park is to pave over 1-1/2 kilometers of sandy beach coastline. Erosion is no longer an issue in this Eastern sector.	Erosion
6/20/2016	Email	The shoreline erosion works from Guildwood to Grey Abby Park designed to contain beaches is substantial and recreationally useful. There are no homes or developments east of Guildwood that are in danger or require lake filling constructions.	Erosion
6/20/2016	Email	The natural beach coastline is an extension of the East Point Bird Sanctuary. This seasonal bird staging area is essential for the migrating bird populations that the TRCA should be obliged to protect.	ESAs/Species Protection
6/20/2016	Email	TRCA is violating their own advertised mandate i.e. "enhance the terrestrial and aquatic habitat".	Mandate
6/20/2016	Email	a) The terrestrial habitat is a 14 thousand year old unmolested heritage beach. This ancient shoreline is a healthy habitat for wildlife, birds and fisheries. It also provides useful recreational enjoyment for picnickers, swimming, small boat activities, hiking, dog walking, etc. This will be ruined if the roadways requiring massive lake filling with 10 deep water armourstone walls are imposed.	Water Quality

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/20/2016	Email	b) The aquatic habitat has already been compromised and diminished due to TRCA's 1980's shore erosion practices, constructing deep water armourstone walled roadways. TRCA acknowledges fish spawning requires beaches with shallow waters.	Water Quality
6/20/2016	Email	Myself and many other concerned individuals take issue with TRCA's determination of water front road building. It will eliminate another 1-1/2 kilometers of precious Scarborough beaches and aquatic habitats forever.	Water Quality
6/20/2016		I hope it and its beach can be preserved	Beach
6/20/2016		Title of email: Doris McCarthy Trail? Does the trail still exist?	Existing Conditions
6/20/2016		and the waterfront trail can be directed away from the trail and beach.	Comment on Alternative
6/21/2016	Email	My name is Kate Ippolito and I was in contact with Mayor Tory's office in regards to this issue and was directed to speak with you. I reside in the Cliffside area of Scarborough and would like to raise attention to the absence of a proper sidewalk down Brimley Road towards Bluffers Park. This road is steep, curvy and has little to no room on the sides for pedestrians. I utilise this park almost daily and see women with children and other community members of all walks of life braving this unsafe hill. Bluffers Park is a widely known, popular destination for residents of the city and tourists. It is an absolute shame that it cannot be enjoyed by all safely. I am scared that there will be a pedestrian accident (I have been witness to many close calls). If a side walk was made this prestigious park would be able to bring in more tourism, be better utilised and let members of the community walk down without fearing being struck by an oncoming vehicle. And to be frank it is ridiculous that community members should be expected to hike down gravel and grass to reach our serene park. I would like to see our government take action on this issue and look forward to hearing back from you.	Brimley Road
6/25/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for a bicycle-friendly trail.	Access
6/25/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project Vision and Objectives.	Comment on Alternative
6/25/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for a trail positioned along the top of the Bluffs at East Point Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/25/2016	External/Partner Event	Concern about potential impacts on the natural environment caused by the Project.	Ecology
6/25/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for establishing a trail that connects to the Port Union trail system.	Comment on Alternative
6/25/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for a trail positioned along the base of the Bluffs at East Point Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/26/2016	Email	And with minimal tree removal at the top of the bluffs.	Ecology
6/26/2016	Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs from Eastpoint Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/26/2016	Email	The "Top of the Bluffs" options would be too difficult for many, ourselves included.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/26/2016	Email	Tree removal in East Point Park is a definite "No No". An environmentally-sensitive area should remain untouched.	ESAs/Species Protection

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/26/2016	Email	We are OPPOSED to the suggested changes to the waterfront trail plan. The trail should be beside the water, as originally understood.	Comment on Alternative
6/26/2016	Email	The current trail on the lake edge near Port Union Road, and going westward, shows what a nice experience such a trail can be.	Access
6/26/2016	Email	Moreover, the proposed route would involve the cutting down of several trees and endanger an environmentally sensitive bird habitat area.	Ecology
6/26/2016	Email	I disagree with the proposal to build a trail along the top of the bluffs through East Point Park. This route would not give the community a direct access to the water's edge, as would a trail along the BOTTOM of the bluffs, right along the water's edge.	Comment on Alternative
6/26/2016	Email	I would strongly urge TRCA to abandon their unsatisfactory proposal, and route the trail along the bottom of the Bluffs, giving us a true water's edge trail.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	The waterfront trail should be along the water's edge at all times unless there is an engineering reason why that's not possible, which doesn't appear to be the case here.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	I am disappointed that information about this proposal has not been posted in advance, as other trail users would be equally concerned if they knew this aspect of the TRCA's proposal.	Consultation
6/27/2016	Email	While I am happy to see that the TRCA has plans to extend the waterfront trail between Highland Creek and Bluffer's Park, it has just come to my attention that you plan to place the waterfront trail atop the Scarborough Bluffs through the East Point Park, rather than along the water's edge. I don't understand why this particular section of the trail would not be along the water's edge when it's along the water's edge everywhere else and when it has been a long-standing objective that all new pieces of the waterfront trail should be along the water's edge wherever possible. It's going to seem very strange for trail users to be walking or bike riding along the water's edge, then go up a hill into East Point Park, and then go down a hill to reconnect with the trail.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	This is my most definite view. As a local resident, and a frequent user of the current "trail", with great pride and gratefulness, I believe it would be a major mistake to consider other "inland" options. Please arrange to consider this input.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	I wish to record with you that the Waterfront Trail should be at the "water's edge" -- below the Bluffs, at the East Point Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	Do not destroy what sensitive forest we have.	Ecology
6/27/2016	Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge on the BOTTOM OF THE BLUFFS! It seems absurd that we can go several miles right at the waters edge east of us but not in Scarborough!	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge!!	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	The waterfront trail should be a waterfront trail not a cliff hanger ! We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the water's edge at the bottom of the cliffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	For the upcoming study on a waterfront trail system, please take note that we want a waterfront experience with a trail at the water's edge at the bottom of the bluffs	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/27/2016	Email	We are unable to attend the meeting tomorrow evening but please include both my husband, Roy, and myself as residents who want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge from East Point to Bluffers Park. We currently walk from Beechgrove to West Rouge on a regular basis. It would be amazing to be able to travel along with waters edge to Bluffers Park rather than having to drive down Kingston Road.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the Waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	An upper path will not only disrupt the natural habitat but will also be close to "chemical alley" and the train tracks (will development include any expansion of the rail lines?) and the view of the lake and enjoyment of the water's edge will not take place if the trail is on top of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	I am a resident in Ward 44 and my family and I make use of the trails and pathways leading down to and along the waterfront. I will not be able to attend the information session on the 28th but would like to share my concerns/opinions. The users will have a better view of the lake and will be able to enjoy the water and have a great view of the bluffs to the north. I would like to see the new path follow along the path of the water/beach, in a similar manner as is in place near the Highland Creek and east towards the Rouge river.	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	Please extend the waterfront trail to connect the communities!	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2016	Email	Our family use the trail frequently and providing a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs would be the ideal situation to allow people to fully experience the trails. Having the luxury of a waters edge trail in Port Union Village has been a unique experience limited to only a few communities in Toronto.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Verbal Comment	Document geographical location of the 380 wildlife species and how they overlap with the proposed shoreline works	ESAs/Species Protection
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Allow people to see without being able to put their grimy feet and paw on everything. Encourage awesomeness.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Not just pedestrian remediation.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	It looks like the plan will homogenize the beautiful landscape of the Bluffs with tis marvellous variety. Will we have only headlands and beachers and an emergency Road?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with Overall? "No obviously"	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	It is not clear who does or did the evaluation. Committee members and back room boys?? Not good enough	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Yes. The criteria of preserving some of the awe and beauty of the Bluffs. This had disappeared __ to ____	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Should make this protect the best it can be and something Toronto can be proud	Miscellaneous
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Wave barrier 300 ft off shore to provide quiet water area for water sports	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Island off shore	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Must connect with trail running west from Highland Creek and water water's edge	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Waterfront trail must be at water's edge and not at top of Bluffs	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree? "No trail is no continuously at water edge	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Alternative 4B Propose more break waters especially a headland at East Point Park	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	With regard to East Segment suggest Alt 4B should have a headland at East Point Park at point into Lake Ontario. Move proposed headland to the west, right at the point where land protrudes into Lake Ont.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	As above, East Segment proposed Headland is moved to the west (where point of land juts out into Lake Ontario - this amy alsohelp solve trail issue with East Point Park folks. That is, if shoreline builds up with sediment deposit, trail at lakefront can be built up.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Like the proposed Alternatives very much especially	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	5B long awaited	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Alternative 1 Great hiking already, will be even better when completed	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with West segment proposal (West - 5B)	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with Central segment proposal (Central - Alt 1)	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Addressed all major issues such as habitat, shoreline, accessibility and long term impact, and viewing, viewsapes.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Very thorough ste of Alternatives with good opportunity for people to give their opinions and views.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Perhaps a summer bus route for Bluffers Park	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I liked the grade change at one exit/entrance being made acceptable to all.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I see a continuous shoreline with lots of quiet sitting areas. Lovely!	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	The interpretation of public feedback seems to have been seriously attended to. Ex. What would happen to private land? How would the Bluffs be protected?	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Large picture boards easy to understand	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	All addressed: animal habitat, shoreline changes, public use	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Staff is well informed and courteous. Seems to respect everyone's point of view.	Miscellaneous
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Don't forget: washrooms	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Lots of benches	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Maybe children's aquatic programs (like kids on canoes)	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Would small shuttle buses be feasible to take people from Brimley/Kingston Road to the park on west side or beach on east side?	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	What about pedestrian walkway down Brimley (very unsafe walking right now)	Brimley Road
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Looks good to me!	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Yes - Looks great (clean, neat, tidy) but also respects habitat. Saves the shoreline with breakers	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Looking forward to using new trails	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Enjoyed seeing the new plans.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Seem reasonable? "In most cases, no."	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	If something can be built outwards, away from land such as bridges, a boardwalk, pier, I'd prefer it BUT only with constant policing.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Too much wildlife will be disrupted.	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Bluffs are rest spot for migrating birds, butterflies etc. and a huge nesting area. Let them be. Too much habitat has been lost.	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	There is too much erosion on the top and bottom to do work, bring in heavy machinery.	Erosion
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Trails along the bottom are too close to the Bluffs for the most part. There are always landslides and trees going over the edge.	Existing Conditions
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Constant maintenance will be needed.	Management
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Also litter collection	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Full time bylaw needed for off leash dogs. Also need parks & swimming areas so people ust them isntead of habitat areas for their dogs.	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Washrooms WILL be needed if any of this goes forward. No washrooms means people will defecate/urinate inappropriately.	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Either bylaw officers or park rangers.	Safety
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	If this does happen, new areas will need policing. At Bluffers Park, any night you go down you will see people openly drinking and leaving bottles, cans, garbage. Then they drive. This will happen more often. There are no garbage/recycle bins on the west beach so the same happens. This will happen all along the way if a trail opens up.	Safety

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	More access to base of Bluffs gives more people a chance to climb the bluffs. When they get stuck, fire/police/paramedics are sent out. Not fair that tax payers have less services available because of this.	Safety
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with Overall PA? "No."	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Seem reasonable? "Yes"	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with overall Pa? "Agree"	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	No comments	Miscellaneous
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Overall great job and congratulations!	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Don't cater so much to the loud mouths who always seem to dominate these meetings.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	There should be a kayak launching area at the foot of Beechgrove. Need a small sheltered launching area.	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Yes they are very reasonable. I think they best treat existing conditions leaving the stable natural beach at the East Point section.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Having a completed plan will be important next step to seeing this project implemented - given time and money considerations. Will open up a unique section of the Lake Ontario shoreline to visitors (locals and others).	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Also there is a nice mix of waterfront experience, sand beaches, natural beach, headlands, top of bluff at East Pont.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with overall? " Yes. See comments in Question 1. Is in keeping with the Integrated shoreline management plans and results in net gain.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Yes they do. Job well done.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with Overall? "Generally yes."	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	The impact assessment for the preferred options should be careful to engage all stakeholders and affected city departments (TTC, public safety, parks & rec)	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Comments? "Yes. We still have projects (Fishleigh Du. Project) that appears to have a high potential of failure if building is not controlled by the building dept. On the south side of that street. The building dept is not currently one of your interface groups.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Keep up the public consultation. We need to treat this feature the best we can.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Hire riot police.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Only one suggestion try to extend the natural beach as far west as possible in the east segment.	Beach
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Seem resonable? "Yes."	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with Overall? " Yes."	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I believe you have done a good job striking the balance.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Comments? "No."	Miscellaneous
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Tough job.	Process
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	The East segment plan is not acceptable. Segments of the original 'soft' beach should be preserved	Beach
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	My main hope would be that the natural beach from Grey Abbey to East Point be preserved as much as possible.	Beach
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	The existing proposal will wipe out that beach with fill - unacceptable.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	We will have no Bluffs left because they dump loads of rubble and the cliffs stop calving. That would destroy a lot of the beauty of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	It may well be that the back room boys have all the right degrees and many good reasons. But they are going to make it look all deadly the same.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	TRCA must have more pictures of creatures of the bluff. That slide presentation could be used to show the beauty and variety of the Bluff.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Next time ask your guests to bring their own cups and give them a cookie	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	_____ (Don) presenting segments was a very uninspiring presentation. Not enough pictures. Not enough pictures. No good reasons for colouring. Presented option used the same pics.	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Cookies <to improve experience of meeting>	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Listen to us. Let us see that you listen (not as page 100 of appendix z). Pull some of the best critique and put them on the cover.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	P-PLEASE. TRCA is not as penny - onto operations. Don't make it look like that. Toronto publishes books with its local wild life. Borrow some	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Multiple/increased access to the waterfront is needed to allow people enjoy the waterfront (cars, bikes, walking, transit/shuttle). Project objective to "provide an enjoyable waterfront experience" requires people to have the ability to access this space with ease and with multiple/increased access points.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Please challenge yourself to use innovative ways to create more access that is beautiful and safe for the environment.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Increase social equity and access is needed for everyone to enjoy this space.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Evaluations seem good	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Preferred Alternatives seem okay	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	TRCA is creating an natural environment in an URBAN area.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Further detail is required	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Challenge yourself/project team to find innovative ways to allow interaction between humans and nature in a safe manner (not barricading off areas).	Miscellaneous
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Better access to the general public is required.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Art and spaces for inspiration should also be part of this project (like the Guild Inn).	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	By widening the shoreline here it can only help preserve the cliffs and again improve wildlif diversity.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	As fas at the west and central segments this seems quire reasonable.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	It seems to be me that the east segment is totally neglected. I feel that the trail should go along the shore.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Eastern segment and top of bluff path	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Overall, seems reasonable.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	haves' vs. 'have-nots' - neighbourhood concerns parking and traffic took over the last part of the meeting.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Prefer more detail in workbook	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree that some conflict between criteria (<upward arrow> access to public may <down arrow> impact sand natural beach wildlife)	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Interesting to hear that GO trains frequency will <upward arrow> to once every 7 minutes on the lakeshore line.	Other Initiatives
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Suggest posting 'the conversations/considerations by which these draft alternatives were reached' PRIOR to such meetings rather than afterward.	Process
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Hard beaches area also not as appealing as soft sand beaches.	Beach
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Yes, I think they do seem reasonable.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Do you agree with overall? "Yes, I do as long as a balance between, people, and the environment/ecology can be achieved."	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	East segment alternative 4A seems reasonable	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Keep the areas natural as possible	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	However, I do have some concerns about the human interaction with a previously unaccessable environmentally sensstive area.	ESAs/Species Protection
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	ESA & ANSI protection is important and man made shorelines do concern me.	ESAs/Species Protection
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I know that with the growing population, waterfront developments and access to parks and water bodies/waterfronts is going to increase. The kinds of projects are important and present many challenges.	Miscellaneous
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	No large parking areas	Parking
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Canoe and kayak access.	Park Amenities

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Yes, at this point I like what is planned generally.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	It is my wish to see as much as possible to remain as natural as possible.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	My preference: West - Alternative 3B	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	My preference: Central - Alternative 1	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	My preference: East - Alternative 4A	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Garbage control - Officers monitor	Garbage
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	No large parking areas	Parking
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Restrict fishing! - Officers monitor	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Access along East Point Park needs to maintain the ability of users to participate safely.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Evaluation seems reasonable? "Yes."	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with Overall? "Yes."	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	The trail needs to be wide enough and well mowed for cyclists and pedestrians to share the space. Cyclists should not be told to dismount as this is not a reasonable expectation of this modal user.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	If the two modes can be given distinct space, that is best.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Lines of sight need to be kept, especially if there are switch backs.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Central access - no work other than access for people.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Access along shore and top of bluffs	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Does not necessary mean access to all!	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	If you have walked the coast of Cornwall England many stairs - no ramps - you have to accept the natural wonders of any shoreline. Do not destroy for the sake of wheelchairs/walkers.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	But no boat dock	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	No boat access at east point!	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Evaluation seem reasonable? "OK"	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	East section - small out crop at access point at east point park	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Grey Abbey area - see picture - small path only to area that goes up to east point - keeping shoreline	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Island bridge may work in various areas on East segment	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Problems re: garbage	Garbage
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Problems re: parking	Parking
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Being close to "Do Nothing approach" and soft scape: what is required to prevent erosion, provide safe path to pedestrians and cyclist and enhance habitat and playground for the community, it's a fair option.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Would not have being my own option but I think public opinion brings option that aren't the most expensive and that to be minimalist and that's good!	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Make sure there are no waste what-so-ever in the filling material. - no asbestos tiles - no HC	Materials
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Lots of options include sands to get a safer environment and a playground for kids.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Evaluation seem reasonable? " Yes - great job and very thorough."	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Looks great	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with Overall? "Yes - overall"	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Thank you for your patience and professionalism	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Really great presentation	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	and well controlled, in spite of the challenges! Thank you!	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	But if Bluffs will continue to erode, perhaps the trail at the waterfront would be better (in the eastern section). Great blance.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	The balance of natural segments must be considered and doing nothing could be best alternative.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with overall? "No; leave the east segment alone!"	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I think you're doing an awesome job with a public assembly that have a wide area of option!	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I feel most of the evaluation is reasonable.	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	No. The results do not include the marina, for Eat Point Park. This marina was approved 3 times by government.	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Clearly, they do not include past work done for the shoreline. That should be corrected. Taxpayers already paid for work done, on the East Point Marina. That work should be brought to the community's attention and widely considered (at minimum). The work which was already done for East Point Park, should have been included.	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	It's not good enough to say that "empty slips" at Bluffers PK means there is no interest for a marina at East Point.	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Among other things, building the marina at East Point would make good use of tax dollars, improve the Park, increase accessibility of the shoreline and increase local property values. I'm going to tweet a picture of the marina @waterfrontTRCA tomorrow	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with Overall? "No. See #1"	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Toronto, like the Metro government should be building for the future - not the Present or Past.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Presently, East Point Park has been ignored and taxpayers deserve better!	Existing Conditions
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	In addition, the waterfront trail should go all along the water's edge, at East Point Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Include a trail that goes all along the water's edge, at East Point Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Resident of Guildwood - like the beach trail from Sylvan to Guild. Looking forward to Guild enhancement.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Yes, fully support all segments, including East. Very good balance.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Yes. Per No. 1. Agree that hard beach at Grey Abbey is balanced by orginial beach at East Point Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	No problem taking trail up to top.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Terrible moderator. Needs to be much less intrusive.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Comments of draft effects assessment? "No."	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I get scared when I hear about the emphasis on public access	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	public access will inevitably have a negative effect on the environment	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I felt like the concepts were contradictory	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	No really because I feel that this is a world heritage site that is going to be ruined.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Not enough weight is given to the crucial sensitivity of this world heritage site.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with overall? "No - because this is an extremely sensitive area and I believe access hould be restricted.	ESAs/Species Protection
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I'm not an environmentalist and I believe in public use of parks. I just feel strongly that this area is different and should be protected.	ESAs/Species Protection
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I feel like the relationship between these factors was not evaluated well or maybe I ignored prior to the process.	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with Overall? "No"	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	True long term environment impact has not been taken to heart.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Cost: project & operational cost is high. Money can be spent elsewhere	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Do not need to allow people to all pts of this area.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	People lead to cars, garbage, and vandalism	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Emotional for all. We love this area and as one lady said bringing people bring ++problems.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	You do not not need to provide safe beach walks for all.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with Overall? "No."	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Complete bluffers with safe access and trails and handicap access to Park and beach.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Why? Do we need to develop all 11 km - why not focus on access to Bluffers Park and develop sections eq. Guild, East Pt	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Leave the rest	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	We love the wild life that live here. Where will they go!	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Migratory route	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Cliffs are critical for swallows	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	We lived here 40 years and live with the old bluffs as they were. We understand the need to stabilize the bluffs for present people's property but beyond this NO.	Erosion
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	The Bluffs erode	Erosion
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	We need to ensure terrestrial access and protection of marine life.	ESAs/Species Protection
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Lake Ontario is a cold lake! Make it warm!	Water Quality
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Marshes are critical for the healthy of the environment	Water Quality
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Perhaps a gondola could be built to bring people up and down. This will offer an amazing view on the way down to the marina and beach	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Gondola should have a place to put bikes!	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Can also put a funicular or gondola at Guild Inn	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I agree with all the preferred choices. I can hardly wait until construction starts!	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Agree with Overall? "Yes. Lots of new animal habitat created and better access for humans who will be wanting to visit the shoreline whether there is a trail or not. This plan makes access safe.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	East point is great because of the wide variety of habitats and wildlife in a relatively small area. The construction will attract more fish and more water birds; the table lands are rich in life (beaver, birds) and the natural area of beach waterfront is a great place to enjoy the sand and the cliff swallows.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I am amazed at the anger that came out in the meeting. Know that there are many people who appreciate all the work you are doing to make this work!!	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Clipboards would help	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Parking has to be addressed at Bluffers Park. The new beach will attract a lot more people (great beach)	Parking
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	A great place for outdoor ed classes to experience nature!!	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I am skeptical of inviting more people into this area	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Look at the beaches well maintained respected, controlled - why the difference?	Beach
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	How do you determine cost:value?	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	How do you change people's attitude to be respectful and honour the natural environment?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	and use re-cycled construction materials?	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	I would like to go on a tour - please email me <included email address>	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Without saying anything too high level, a lot of corporate double speak. A lot of engineer words said	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Nancy' spoke well and knew her content	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	No need for the engineer to present, it was a waste of time.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Again, why destroy the flora and fauna of the bluffs?	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	Look at Bluffers Park. Traffic jams, garbage everywhere, loud music, disrespect to the environment, huge out of control picnics, etc. Why open the rest of this sensitive area up to more destruction?	Existing Conditions
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	How much money does each option cost?	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Workbook	What are the costs?	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want to be able to bike from port union all the way downtown.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Need more connections down from Bluff --> connections too far apart	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Cudia is a dead end - why bring people there?	Access

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want to see marina that was approved 3x previously by local government	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want somewhere to launch kayak	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want to be further out into the water to be able to see the Bluffs - want a bridge(s)	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	What are you doing at Hunt Club?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concern at tableland connections that look "new"	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Headlands are ugly and unuseable	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	You've been focussing on shore and have never discussed the tableland connections - where is the consultation; where is the evaluation?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Prefer to nothing - planet should come first	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Love everything at the most preferred alternatives. Wish it could be built asap.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Really like the preferred alternatives.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	LOVE! The top of bluffs alignment but still able to walk beach. Thought that beach was going to be made inaccessible.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Upset and thinks public comments aren't seriously considered.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Very well handled. Answered questions well. Great process.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want more consultation on recommended tableland connections	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Only build trail if operating money can be ensured.	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Where would parking be?	Parking
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Need bicycling and pedestrian access	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want to be able to walk the beach but don't need a formal trail. C. Moeser said beach would not be accessible.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concerned about dogs off-leash and people going down Bluffs and getting stuck	Safety
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want the top of bluffs for views of the lake.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Formalize informal trail along Grey Abbey ravine	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want to see a trail at water's edge - who cares about the beach?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	as long as I can ride my bike the full way	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Like the accessibility of the grade	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Make the trail for everyone - bikes, baby carriages	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Keep all sand beach	Beach
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Beach doesn't look good - if you leave it, better clean it up	Beach
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Logs that are on beach - use for fish habitat along the formal shoreline	Beach
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Looks great	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Switchback close to washrooms - great	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want it to start now!	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Wasted money on pavilion at East point Park	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Walking at top of bluffs a danger	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Switchback would take out a chunk out of bluffs	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Headland beach is ugly	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Leave it alone, don't pave it	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Like the recommended Preferred Alternative	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Like the nodes for public access	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Looks lovely	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Like having the trail at top of bluffs	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Like the top and base for biking	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concern about migratory birds	Ecology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Pond needs more maintenance. Gate covered with snow fencing	Existing Conditions
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	How is it being funded?	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Needs maintenance	Management
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	and more police presence. Worry that something would happen along whole route	Management
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Phone at base	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Bus access to East point park	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Washrooms - over by beechgrove	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Garbage cans along the way	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	220 GA needs to clean up their act	Private Landowners
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Like no steps down GA	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Or create an embayment behind it	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want a headland beach all the way along East Point Park	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Makes sense!	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	To make beechgrove AODA loop back to HC then along water back to East Point Park	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Why are people allowed to swim here --> not blue flag --> signs	Beach
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Don't want recommended connections to tableland trails (lives on street)	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Misunderstood - Councillor's office told me trail would be on Copperfield	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Would like trail at base	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Most people that live in the community want a trail in the water	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Would like to see east segment accessible to seniors	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	East point park - expected 1986 marina to be included in segment.	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Base of Bluffs trail is a safety risk	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Project Team has taken a very holistic view of project area and needs.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Need to build for future needs	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Very happy with all prelim segments.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Single headland right at East Point Park	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concern that waterfront home owners do not represent the needs of the community.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Ensure that project will meet the needs of residents that live north of Kingston Road.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concern over loss of habitat	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	You can't see the water because of buildup of vegetation.	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Has personally found litter, needles, fires left burning through central segmen	Existing Conditions
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	East point is not natural - farm land, golf course, dump, stop thinking of it as 'natural'	Existing Conditions
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Should be working at other rec venues for other activities	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Why are they using wooden posts instead of steel. Last longer and smaller footprint (park fencing)	Materials
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Has been calling City re: tree removal for years.	Miscellaneous
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concern that a bigger beach can't be used if there is no parking - happy to hear parking will be assessed.	Parking
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Rowling basin should be created, open water swimming, triathlon for kids - inner city kids need local activity space.	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Does not want path along base	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Bikeways trails implementation plan calls for path at 'water's edge'	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Too many people there already, our spot	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	All abilities - build for wheelchairs, etc.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Improve Brimley Road access	Brimley Road
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Bus down Brimley	Brimley Road

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	When will it be done? Want it	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want this to happen	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want expanded beach open	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Some support for "Do Nothing"	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Like west preferred alternative	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Outreach to grocery stores, schools	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Google sends people to top of bluffs	Existing Conditions
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Believes its to make jobs/economy "make work"	Miscellaneous
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concern about trail left informal --> City keep trails directed towards the recreational area.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Let it be.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	How is bringing in more people a restoration process?	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Need bylaws to enforce good behaviour - example to follow	ByLaw/Enforcement
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Very supportive	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want this to be very open & public process - that they have input and not feeling like it is a done deal of what TRCA & City want	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Waterfront Regeneration Council willing to provide support	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concern with the # of trees would at the Doris McCarthy access trail	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Image of trail in the vision panel --> Should be changed to reflect something more natural --> lots of people commented that they hoped it wouldn't look like that (manicured area to the Bluffs edge). Is this a Toronto example?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	No more humans - no public acces	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Barrier to keep people from going over the edge (voltage fence - do not hurt humans)	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Project addresses safety at bottom, but not top-to-bottom access	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Chicago - access to water's edge	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Shouldn't be doing it	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Increase number sensitive species, nesting birds	ESAs/Species Protection
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Traffic and lack of parking at Bluffers Park	Parking
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Cost of road exceeds medical - every service cost	Funding/cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Access all the way along the	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Question about emergency access throughout	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Don't like additional traffic due to garbage from additional.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	possibility of more formal access points	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Older residents worried about change in grade	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	accessibility an important issue	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concern about not having a boat launch	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Apart from East Point Park people are happy with idea of headland	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Want to see project done right away	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Happy	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Don't go through East Point Park	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Prefers revised "3B" connecting to Port Union	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	On map headland beach looks imposing, but provides	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Thinks the headland beach is overkill (size)	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Don't like the look of headland beach - not enough trees, too exposed	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Consider structure so that there is cover	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Rock appearance - too many weeds come through	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Would be better if greened up more	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Likes the fact that some of the sand beach as been preserved	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	A lot of habitats that visitors (e.g.) students can experience with Alternative (4B)	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	headland beaches are good for habitat creation	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Beach expansion in west would help with bottlenect	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	People want more nature	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Geese and swans will benefit from headland beaches	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Likes exisiting frog ponds	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Willing to spend more	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	A lot of funds spent on plans that don't progress	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Policing	Safety
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Worried about kids on the beach (safety)	Safety
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	safety - biggest issue is people getting stuck on bluffs as people keep getting stuck - neds to be better addressed	Safety
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	100% of people calling for base of Bluffs connection	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Worried about loss of continuous view of water	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Don't want to leave the water	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	All e-mails and visits have called for water's edge	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Access routes - guildwood parkway only?	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Gondola up/down Brimley (charge per use for revenue recovery)	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Look at promoting option to get there that don't involve driving (TTC)	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Will tableland connections be explored in more detail? --> Traffic impact assessment	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Shoreline is not eroding at East Point don't need a 'headland' solution	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	How long will trucks be coming in?	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	When can this be built? (anxious)	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Photos of Prefered Alternative should not be used as they don't represent the conditions on this shoreline (Port Union vs. Bluffs) - confusing to people	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concerns about impacts to wildlife	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Does City have the resources to operate and maintain full time/long term?	Management
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concerns about fill material (quality and control) along with disruption of existing materials that may be contaminated	Materials
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Parking - need to address current issues at Bluffers	Parking
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	What type of surfaces will be used in sandy areas --> options for boardwalks vs pavement	Materials
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Doesn't agree with promoting more human activity or providing emergency access through this area	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Feels the area should be fenced off (suggested an electric fence) and left alone	access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	such as a gondola up Brimley Rd and/or East Point Park which could potentially generate revenue	Access

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Alexis, Bill Snodgrass and I also spoke to <name and email>, a paralympic athlete who trains at Variety Village nearby. You probably spoke about her during your debrief yesterday, but I had just mentioned to Violetta that this project needs to find the 'neighbourhood champion' for this project, and then Kim spoke about the importance of accessibility along the waterfront to her and people like her with mobility issues and it dawned on several of us that she could be one of these champions for this project. I asked her if she thinks we could get a Letter of Support from herself and/or Variety Village, and she seemed very supportive, and advised us to follow up with Karen Stintz, who is apparently now the CEO of VV. Bill also asked her if she would participate as a member of the Stakeholder Committee and I believe she accepted.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Likes the "do nothing" option with the addition of fencing	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Suggested more innovative approaches to the terrain be given consideration	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Seemed agreeable with Alt 5B	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Likes the look of the wide beach (5B)	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	The meeting was really well organized and many people complimented the renderings of the preliminary preferred alternatives	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	This is all smoke and mirrors, TRCA has already decided what they're doing	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Overall the meeting went well, I would say more people were supportive than opposed, but the opposing voice is usually the loudest	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Along the same vein as getting support from organizations like Variety Village, I was thinking that cyclists and other recreational users (dog walkers, pole walkers, etc, rollerbladers, etc) would love this project, but especially the cyclists. They are usually very vocal as they have had to fight hard for bike lanes and their own personal safety on our busy streets. Surely a trail that lets them get off Kingston Rd for several kilometres would be attractive to them. There are several bike clubs in Toronto, and I thought perhaps we could link up with some, like the Toronto Bicycling Network (https://www.tbn.ca/) and have people sign a letter of support electronically via these websites for a continuous, accessible trail along the Scarborough Waterfront (to counter the Jane Fairburn petition).	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Concerned about pollution and displacement of wildlife	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	The waves are over 6 ft high in this area, the beach will just erode	Existing Conditions
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	but says it will attract alot of people and wants to know what infrastructure upgrades will also be included to accommodate them (parking, washrooms, etc)	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	concerned about parking and traffic congestion, noting that the beach will attract alot more people and the area is already overcrowded as it is	Parking
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	concerns re: parking and congestion	Parking
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Lives on the tableland and expressed concern about parking congestion on side streets in the summer and on weekends, which is already a problem	Parking
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Will there be a bike lane on the boardwalk? (re Alt 5B)	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Panel	Also suggested that future signs regarding safety, sensitive areas, etc need to be simpler with pictograms (i.e. universal signage) to recognize the diversity of people that will be coming to Bluffers Park in an effort to reduce injuries and destruction of sensitive habitats	Signage
6/28/2016	PIC	I am sure it has been reviewed but we should revisit the shoreline treatment in some areas to see if we can do an offshore island/bridge treatment - especially at the west end of East Point Park (area that seemed to fuss the non side the most).	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC	Staff did an excellent job of preparing for and staffing this event	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC	In future we need a stronger City presence (I don't believe all City staff had name tags), including having them say some remarks in support of the project.	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC	We need to speak to net gain versus mitigation	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC	We need more fulsome responses to how we reconcile habitat disruption versus protection, especially in relation to the monitoring document that was referenced	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC	We need to ensure we have visible political support for the project - I know there was a conflict with schedules, but maybe have statements from the politicians who can't attend	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC	We need to marshal the pro forces, and ask them to attend or send representatives	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC	We need to strengthen the aquatic habitat aspects of our information (both on placards and in presentation), as it is assumed the near shore are is this excellent habitat when it is not	Ecology
6/28/2016	Email	Get it done already!!!!	Process
6/28/2016	Email	Regarding the trail along the bluffs between Bluffers Park and East Point Park: We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs. Just like the rest of the city enjoys along the lake. There are no valid reasons for it not to happen. We can't join the meeting Tuesday but we are in full support of this position.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	Please leave the original plans alone. We have all looked forward to a beautiful water's edge to walk, cycle, just enjoy. It would be a pleasure to see this water's edge path all the way downtown. Why change that plan. It makes me wonder who is trying to benefit from this proposed change... Not people, not animals, and certainly not the environment. Too many wonderful plans are approved and then changed by politicians... I FAVE OUR WATER'S EDGE PLAN ALONE.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	The existing waterfront trail from Rouge Beach to the Highland Creek bridge is to my mind the single best investment of public money in the health and welfare of Toronto citizens that I have witnessed in my nearly 60 years here. I have used it for years to bicycle to and from work and continue to use it to bike several times a week from Rouge Beach and beyond into Guildwood. The existing waterfront trail draws all sorts of people for multitude activities. It is great to see people outside and active, enjoying Toronto's precious waterfront. The trail should be continued along the waters edge, at the bottom of the bluffs to maximize the use and enjoyment of this precious resource	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	Please no cutting of trees.	Ecology
6/28/2016	Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs. As a proud citizen of the west rouge port union community I would like to eventually ride my bike with family and friends all the way along the waterfront trail into the Bluffs. We have one of the most scenic trails and green environment worthy of the Prime Ministers visit recently. Please lets get this right and continue to develop this trail to world class status.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	linkage to other existing/planned pathways along north shore of Lake Ontario	Access

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	Email	increased accessibility/exposure for more Torontonians and visitors to the unique Scarborough Bluffs and the shoreline of Lake Ontario.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	Email	continuous close-up views of the fantastic Bluffs from below, where the visual impact is the greatest	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	easy access by cyclists to open, recreational areas and parklands as well as beaches and other amenities at or near the water level	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	safe mobility, almost totally separated from vehicular traffic (except at crossing points)	Safety
6/28/2016	Email	I would suggest that every effort be made to incorporate a continuous bicycle path along the Scarborough waterfront below the bluffs and extending from the R.C. Harris Filtration Plant in the west to Rouge Riv�er Park in the east. The benefits of such a path would include:	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	As I explore more of Toronto and vicinity paths and parks I recently utilized the west side of Toronto shore in the area of Park Lawn Drive south of the Lakeshore Rd. The park areas of; Humber Bay Shores, Sheldon Lookout Park, and Sir Casimir Gzowski these are excellent spaces.	Access
6/28/2016	Email	I would omit the road allowance for cars.	Access
6/28/2016	Email	With the lack of access, useable space and paths, unsafe asphalt it is time for a change for improvement.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	Email	The development presently has bicycle and walking paths, gardens, water filtration stages similar to the Bluffers Park. Lets get going.	Process
6/28/2016	Email	I frequently walk this area , being a resident of cliff rest community . I. Want this to remain a natural shoreline	Beach
6/28/2016	Email	and protect the threatened bank swallows .	Ecology
6/28/2016	Email	I would also like to see the endangered act applied to this threatened species.	Ecology
6/28/2016	Email	We'll look forward to notification on the results or indications from this evening's meeting.	Consultation
6/28/2016	Email	We favour this approach <trail at bottom of Bluffs> as it appears to be the most environmentally friendly alternative (through preservation of the sensitive forested and bird habitat area above the Bluffs).	Ecology
6/28/2016	Email	Further, it <trail at bottom of Bluffs> seamlessly connects both park areas, hopefully in an effort to ultimately connect the entire city's waterfront. My family, friends and neighbours actively utilize the lake access from East Point Park, direction east. This would only serve to enhance the experience.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	This serves to indicate support for a 'water's edge' trail system between Bluffers Park and East Point Park. <in a subsequent email a few minutes later> To be clear, we support the connecting trail system at the water's edge at the bottom of the Bluffs. Thank you in advance.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	We live in the Rouge area and use the waterfront trail almost daily for bicycling and/or walks. Unfortunately, we have to head East to Pickering, Ajax and beyond for biking as the trail does not head far enough West along the waters edge. I understand there is an initiative to extend the trail along the waters edge to the bluffs. Appreciate if the trail can not only be extended but also connected to the Beach Waterfront trail downtown	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	I am unable to attend the meeting this evening at Blessed Cardinal Newman Catholic High School and want to register my open for keeping the shoreline open and accessible.	Accessibility (AODA)

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	Email	I am a resident of Scarborough East and wish to state categorically my opposition to any change in the waterfront access to our beautiful shoreline. Unlike in the United States where residents are denied access to their Lake Ontario shoreline, we are definitely different.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	In all my years living in areas adjoining the Scarborough Bluffs and Lake Ontario shoreline, I have not witnessed any major act of vandalism to the waterfront.	Miscellaneous
6/28/2016	Email	As a retired teacher with the former Scarborough Board of Education, I have used the waterfront shoreline and the Rouge Park for many of my outdoor education classes. By creating a "top of bank" path, really denies the public open access to the shoreline.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	As discussed at the public meeting I am writing to ask for more details about the engineering efficacy of the headland proposed for the west segment alternative 5 A and 5B. Milo stated he has material he could send subject to your approval.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	Email	Also, I wonder if there is a way that I can display the nine alternatives for the west segment that were presented at the meeting – perhaps a power point presentation, or a link.	Consultation
6/28/2016	Email	Congratulations on the meeting last night! They are indeed complicated and emotional and you managed it well.	Consultation
6/28/2016	Email	As mentioned I will be in Stockholm for a month, so if there is anything you are interested in I may be able to find a link or take some pictures. Attached are some people enjoying the "waterfront project" in downtown Stockholm.	Miscellaneous
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Would like to see TTC access along Brimley Road to Bluffer's Park? Currently, visitors park along the local residential streets when Bluffer's Park is at capacity.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	In order to reduce the likelihood of user conflicts, can you consider separating cyclists from pedestrians on the future trail?	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Consider improving access down to the beach along Beechgrove Drive.	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Can other parts of Scarborough be designated as greenspace (without access to the beach); thereby taking pressure off of the Waterfront?	Access
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Access to Lake Ontario should entail more than just walking along the shoreline. I would like to see more opportunities for multiple uses, including increasing accessibility for people with disabilities.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	In the West segment sand beaches are being expanded; however, the Project will result in the loss of sand beaches on the East Segment. Is it not possible to integrate infrastructure that does not result in a loss of the existing sand beach?	Beach
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	A marina has been approved for East Point Park three times and an approved plan exists for this.	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Why is the option of a marina at East Point Park no longer being considered?	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Does the Project consider boat launching?	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Considering the opposition to the Overall Preferred Alternative, and the concern about the Project's impact on beaches and ravines, why was the Preferred Alternative in the East Segment selected?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	I believe the Project is an excellent proposal to extend the beach and to accommodate more people.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	The proposed Overall Preferred Alternative provides the best of both worlds: waterfront access along the base of the Bluffs to East Point, then along the top of the bluffs at East Point. The stretch of sand beach along East Point Park will be maintained, allowing people to continue to experience a beach walk.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Paths can improve the natural habitat.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	How would fill be transported down to the shoreline?	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	How can the Project propose new connections along residential streets without sufficiently consulting the local residents and elected officials?	Consultation
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	The TRCA's Terrestrial Biological Inventory report recommends reducing shoreline works where possible; this Project does not take this into consideration. To proceed in this way would destroy the last remaining natural beach in Toronto.	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	The Project could potentially negatively impact Wood Thrush in Guildwood; I did not observe any Wood Thrush there this year.	Ecology
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	This Project doesn't seem to be doing enough to protect the wildlife.	ESAs/Species Protection
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Data is incomplete moving forward with the EA, and the Minister should not make a decision with incomplete data. Our group have identified the presence of over 18 species that are at risk, while the EA identifies less.	ESAs/Species Protection
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	What is the approximate cost of the Project?	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Is this cost reasonable considering the fact that the trail will be used during only part of the year?	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	What will the funding sources for the Project be?	Funding/Cost
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	With regards to enlarging beaches in the Study Area, what substrate will be used?	Materials
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	What is the projected increase in train frequency along this corridor?	Other Initiatives
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	What coordination is being done with other City of Toronto departments?	Other Initiatives
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Is this Project a done deal, or can it be stopped if there is enough opposition?	Process
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Who makes the final decision regarding whether or not this Project goes ahead?	Process
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	It appears that the majority of the people attending this meeting don't want this Project. Why are you proceeding with this?	Process
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Will the Project provide opportunities for public education? Given the sensitive nature of the area, people should be educated about what is present there.	Park Amenities
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	When will implementation of the Overall Preferred Alternative begin?	Process
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Where is the starting point in the West Segment to connect to trails in the west?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	How close will the tableland trail in the East Segment come to the Metrolinx tracks?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	Can you explain why a trail is being proposed for the top of the Bluffs in some areas and for the bottom of the Bluffs in other areas, despite the fact that conditions are the same along the shoreline?	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	The vision for the City of Toronto has always included a trail that goes along the waterfront. The residents of Ward 44 who have contacted the Councillor's constituency office have overwhelmingly requested a trail at the base of the Bluffs along East Point. [The Office of Councillor Ron Moeser] is formally requesting that a revised version of Alternative 3B (Island-Bridge with Base-of-Bluffs Connection) be further considered. We would like to present a petition calling for a waterfront trail along the base of the Bluffs that does not touch East Point Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2016	PIC 2 Meeting Summary	How will this Project affect water quality?	Water Quality
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I attended the public meeting last night and mentioned the PENRITH ROWING CENTRE in Australia. Please find attached a photo link to the venue. https://regattacentre.nsw.gov.au Sydney International Regatta Centre Sport and Recreation	Accessibility (AODA)
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Regarding the extension of the Bluffer's Park Beachfront, there is opportunity to improve the plan to make it 'all abilities' accessible right down to the waterfront. Currently there is no boardwalk or supporting pads at the water's edge to permit children with disabilities to access the water. These kids are sidelined to the roads unless their parents have the ability to carry them to the water. For children that require walkers, navigating sand is a challenge. TRCA staff should be aware that Variety Village is only 2.5K away from Bluffer's Park Beach. As a member of the VV Swim Team (Flames), I would like to think that the users of VV have a vested interest in this project too. I'd like to speak on their behalf because I am not only a member of VV. I live at 7 Brooklawn Avenue (top of the bluffs)	Accessibility (AODA)
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I am contacting you at this time to voice my disapproval at the plans to destroy the remaining natural beach along the Greyabbey Shoreline.	Beach
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Understanding that the erosion control along Guildwood was done to save the homes along Guildwood Parkway and protect what was left of that shoreline, why the Greyabbey shoreline? There is no erosion of the bluffs at the water, yet paving the area, or building fortifications makes no sense to me. Why? Let nature do what is what meant to do, has there not been enough damage done to the shoreline already? At this particular spot, the water is not causing any erosion. What do you plan to do about winds and rain? Build walls next? There is absolutely no reason that I can see to destroy this natural beach area, it is just a way to spend money on something that does not need it. Please leave this area. leave it natural for our future generations	Erosion
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	As for all purpose access as I person voiced - You can not provided access to all people at all times!! N.T. paths in Cornwall England along the coast are only good for walkers - no bikes, accept a various locations and beach area where access is allowed Thank you again and good luck	Accessibility (AODA)
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I felt the central view should have nothing added to what it is today.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I left my pamphlet with comments. Basically, I liked your western view of the project.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I like your east view accept I don't like all the projections into the water from were it is to day to the up walkway suggested.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I don't think the path should hug the beach front to highland creek has some suggest. To me the cost and safety would met my concerns with my suggestions.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I would like to thank all who put on the presentation at last nights waterfront meeting. It was informative. The information boards around the auditorium where well documented and the staff where knowledgeable and helpful. The 2 video presenters were strong & provided me with what I needed to understand the project.	Consultation
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	The Q/A was started well, but once again some groups and people only pushed for what they wanted without being respectful of others input and got out of hand for me and I left at 9:00 pm.	Consultation
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Possibly a small path at the base of the cliff at the Galloway entrance so that people walking/biking don't have to go up and down a hill.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Just wanted to support: a waterfront trail at the waters edge, at the bottom of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	It is also very important to avoid disrupting forest, woods and other natural areas inhabited by birds and animals as much as possible.	Ecology
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	There is a meeting at Blessed Cardinal Newman on Tuesday June 28th, which I will be unable to attend. However, I wanted to let you know that in our opinion, it makes much more sense to have the waterfront trail actually run along the waterfront, at the bottom of the Bluffs. This is where people want to be.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	My feedback/comments concerning the West Segment are as follows: I'd like to see a shuttle bus running from Kingston/Brimley to several drop off points to the east and west of the marinas.	Access
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I'd like to think the shuttle bus service could be monetized (people have to pay to park at the beach so the money saved parking should be spent on transportation). The shuttle doesn't have to be TTC busses...it could be taxis contracted by TTC (as is done when shuttle service is enacted at a station).	Access
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I'd like to see TRCA reach out to the taxis companies and/or Uber to encourage drivers to service this area; this should be done immediately since the demand exists today with people walking the Brimley hill due to a lack of parking.	Access
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I'd like to see the creation of a Bluffers Beach Express Bus from the Kennedy TTC station. People could ride the subway and access the beach via the Express Bus, alleviating traffic congestion. I believe the Express Bus should be monetized with riders paying extra to access it. Alternatively, people could park at any number of the subway commuter parking lots; proof of payment of parking could provide access the Express Bus for free. Either way, TTC should create a special revenue stream for this service to justify the expense...a TTC token is cheaper than a parking ticket.	Access
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Note that TRCA could/should use the beach sand dredged from the Bluffers channel to infill the beach at the Cudia bluff; studies and environmental testing aside, it is very clear the sand migrated from the beach/bluff into the Bluffers channel.	Beach
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	The pedestrian traffic on the Brimley hill is unsafe and I can't imagine the physical stress endured by some people.	Brimley Road
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	As mentioned above, Option 5B has my support, reason being it extends the headland adjacent the navigational light house. I often access the bluffs via boat and the channel depth has become unsafe due to beach sand migrating into the channel. I hope the headland would be designed to capture and retain the migrating sand and I also hope the beach extension would stabilize the Cudia bluff.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I attended PIC #2 last night and wanted to share my support and comments. I'll focus my comments on the West Segment since that is the area I access. I liked what I saw last night, specifically West Segment Option 5B.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	The presentation by TCA and Dillan was very informative.	Consultation
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I did not enjoy the public comments and decided to leave part way through after some people in the front of the room became aggressively vocal, spouting off about conspiracy theories and tax-payer dollars. I personally felt their behaviour was rude and unproductive.	Consultation
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs. It makes the trail much more unique when you can view the water. We have many trails in the Toronto area but we only have the opportunity of having one trail along the edge of Lake Ontario. Surely it is a no brainer to keep on the waters edge.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the 'BOTTOM OF THE BLUFFS'.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	From a biking standpoint it is more practical <to have trail go along Copperfield> anyways then putting a path on the beach, since going down to the shore means at some point you are going to have to cycle a large hill back up.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	This being said, I am aware of accessibility needs. And there are plenty of areas of the waterfront that are thankfully accessible, but in all reality, for this area to be fully accessible, it wouldn't be this area anymore. It would just be another monotonous section of trail - looking the same as the Port Union trail before it. The area is what it is because of the natural landscape, and to change it into a fully accessible trail would not allow more people to access it, but rather for no one to. Because it would no longer be the same trail.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	If there could be a way to have an accessible path along Copperfield Rd (like a sidewalk right beside) that would allow people with accessibility needs to continue to travel along the trail and still experience the view of the fields of East Point park, much like cyclists can do on the road now, that would be the best option in my opinion.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	In addition, if the mulch trails in the park are maintained smoothly, a wheelchair or bike is able to navigate these sections if needed.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	In addition, the following link shows examples of compacted dirt trails that can be made wheelchair accessible: http://www.moderntransit.org/expy-pdf/ada-trails-coarse.pdf A similar type of path could be a good option to explore.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I am from the Port Union section of Scarborough, and my comments are about the proposed trail expansion through East Point Park. I have gone point to point on this, and it is a bit long, but thorough, so I thank you for your time reading it. I feel that this section should be preserved in its current state - the 'Do Nothing' option.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I hope my comments are taken into consideration - in summery, I truly feel that East Point Park, above and below the bluffs, should be allowed to thrive as the hidden gem that it is, and I would love to work with you and the community at large to help that happen in a way that works for all.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	We need to preserve at least a small section of the waterfront in its natural state. And East Point Park with its bird sanctuary, bluffs, and sandy beaches is one of those perfect places to just let be. As a filmmaker, I have permitted various natural areas with the City of Toronto for (non-obtrusive) filming and they are invaluable to have access to - places that look natural for scenic shots, with no paving or unnaturally added rocks.	Ecology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	The erosion that occurs is also a natural process that continues to shape the land and, when safe, should be allowed to occur. For example, in East Point park, there are no houses above in danger of falling and so long as the fence (as it is now) shows people how far from the edge is safe, I feel it is a larger detriment to the ecosystem to try to change it.	Erosion
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	The dirt paths are also a welcome break as a runner, to not always be jogging along hard asphalt. Plus it provides a good training spot for us trail runners.	Materials
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	as well as maintenance of the mulched sections (but leave the mulch - no paving). If anything, the section of beaten path furthest west along the bluff fence could be mulched as well, but without cutting into the fields wider than the other mulched sections are.	Materials
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	When you are in East Point park, you can feel as though you are in the countryside and it would be very saddening to lose that.	Miscellaneous
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I do understand the need for safety as well, but people need to use common sense too. For example, ample signage along bluffs is necessary, but it is up to people to follow that and not go near the edge - the same way it is up to a cyclist to wear a helmet on a asphalt trail and refrain from going 50kms an hour alongside walkers. Even with a newly constructed section, there would always be those few people who jump every fence and stand near a drop off to get a selfie - it happens at Niagara Falls and other areas and is impossible to stop. My point being, safety comes from common sense, and I honestly believe that we CAN keep a natural section and still through education allow the public to use it safely. That's part of being in nature - learning to respect the land.	Safety
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Should the park be opened up a bit more, I would just suggest better signage along the existing trails,	Signage
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	As a cyclist I utilize the trail networks in Toronto a lot and have ridden all the way downtown and back. I have always used Copperfield road to get as far as Manse and feel that the trail should just run along here as the cycle path instead.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I also feel that a path should not cut through the beach in this section as it is one of the few remaining spots of natural shoreline - just beach, no added paved paths, rocks, or breakwaters.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	This being said, I would NOT want to have a paved trail running THROUGH East Point Park, as this fielded area with its dirt paths is a unique experience for hikers, and also a habitat for birds that would be disrupted with the increased foot traffic a paved trail would create. This park is different from other ones in Toronto and I like it for that.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Once this is complete, the trail could continue along the bluff fence behind the factories at the section where it is out of the park and just a grassy hill.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	This section that is currently the grassy hill behind the water treatment plant COULD be paved as far as Grayabbey Ravine.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Essentially, only pave what is not in the middle of the very forested section of East Point Park (keeping this park as off-road mulched trails). You could have a paved path down from Copperfield Rd that re-picks up a paved section AFTER East Point Park as I suggested above. If you would like, I can send you an artists rendering of what I am describing. This would allow road cyclists and those who need a smooth path to continue along after the park and enjoy this part of the bluffs views.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	PLEASE leave the waterfront as is. Humans in Toronto have lots of places to visit & ride. Unfortunately not all animals and birds have that choice. Let nature do its' thing!	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I did note many comments about the current access issues with the Brimley Road entrance to the park area and must add my voice to that of others. The current road way is not conducive to the volume of vehicle, pedestrian and bicycle traffic and this is creating a significant public risk. The volume of traffic, particularly foot traffic, has increased significantly over the past 5-7 years and only continues to grow as more Toronto residents learn of the beauty of the park and surrounding areas. Unfortunately, I fear that a tragedy is just around the corner and urgent action to develop a plan of action is needed. I urge you to ensure appropriate attention is being paid to resolving this as an adjunct to your Waterfront plan.	Brimley Road

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	and most importantly, the proposed alternatives, particularly that which related to the West segment.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	As a resident of the community directly above Bluffer's Park as well as a regular user of the Bluffer's Park waterfront and park area, I am enthused at the proposed option (alternative 5B). This proposal in my mind, meets the project objectives best and will result in the 'lest intrusive' impact on the current Bluffs area east of Bluffer's Park. I do hope this will be the 'chosen' one and look forward to supporting it as you move to the next steps.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Last night I attended your 2nd public meeting regarding this project and must tell you how impressed I was. I was impressed with the quality of the presentations, the effectiveness of the chair in managing the public Q+A	Consultation
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	You may want to consider adding a lookout at East Point top of bluff to discourage people from straying from the designated path.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	The results of the evaluation seem reasonable, and I agree with the Overall Preferred Alternative,	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	including Alternative 4B for the East Segment with the top-of-bluff beginning at the baseball diamond. In my view, it is NOT necessary for the trail to be at lake level around East Point. A trail through wooded sections is also an enjoyable experience, much better than the existing "waterfront trail" which uses noisy streets shared with cars and trucks.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Please consider more eco friendly alternatives to asphalt surfaces.	Materials
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I have the following comments to offer on the material presented at the public meeting of June 28, 2016: I have lived near the Scarborough Bluffs for the past 30 years and would like to see this project completed in my lifetime. I recognize that it is difficult to find the "right" solution which provides public access yet protects the beauty of the bluffs and waterfront. The wrong approach would destroy this jewel. The solutions which were implemented at Port Union are not necessarily appropriate for the bluffs.	Process
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I was at the meeting last night at Cardinal Newman. My first concern about the project is I don't think many people will want to walk down to the water between the Guild and Greyabbey if they have to use Galloway Road. I have done it and the it's not a pleasant experience. I have noticed that most of the people who do use Galloway take their dogs down to the water and don't necessarily have them on a leash.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Also, people have been known to build fires on the beach east of Galloway. not a safe idea.	Existing Conditions
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Along the water edge in that area, various construction debris has been dumped.-concrete, pipes, garbage- not pleasant to look at.	Existing Conditions
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	My other concern with the project is 220 Greyabbey Trail. It is such an eye sore. I wish it could be cleaned up sooner. FYI-when 220 Greyabbey built that road down the bluffs, my son and I walked down Galloway and east along the shoreline to where 220's property was. I took a photo of the mess they made-it's on my phone!! I'll e-mail again when I think of more concerns	Private Landowners

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I would rather walk along Guildwood parkway than walk along the water's edge. If I do want to walk along the lake, I drive to Bluffer's park and walk the trail there!! Bluffers already has washrooms, BBQ pits, and yes some construction fill but it's not as bad as beneath the Guild.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	We want our waterfront trail on the water	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I come to these meetings wearing several different hats as I am a resident of Cliffcrest, a sailor out of Bluffers Park, a hiker and a geography teacher. Simply trying to decide what I think is the best alternative often creates conflict for me personally and so I appreciate the challenges of trying to keep all of Toronto's citizens and organizations happy. While it would be nice to keep the Bluffs as our local 'best kept secret', avoid the lineup of traffic on weekends and so on, it is important to recognize that this waterfront belongs to everyone in Toronto. I am fortunate enough to live in a great area, own a car that will get me down the hill and have a parking spot waiting for me at the yacht club. However, I love the Bluffs and would like to ensure that as many people of all ages have access to enjoy the area without spoiling the features that are drawing Torontonians to the waterfront in the first place.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	When it comes time to analyze the traffic flow down to Bluffers Park, I would emphasize that improving Brimley Road for pedestrians and adding public transit must be a priority.	Brimley Road
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I think that the TRCA's preferred alternative in each of the three areas does represent the best compromise of all the competing desires.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I think the option of a split between the trail at the bottom in the western most section and then a switchback up to the top of the Bluffs, leaving a section of natural sandy beach in the east end is a realistic compromise.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	The westernmost Bluffs is the section that I make the most use of and I support the extension of the headland to reduce the sedimentation in the gap as well as the work to widen the beach at the east end.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Let me begin by complimenting you on the very professional handling of Tuesday night's Public Information Meeting at Cardinal Newman High School. All of the people involved were knowledgeable, gave detailed answers and perhaps most importantly, remained calm under pressure.	Consultation
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	I have attended most of the SWP public meetings, including the initial meeting which involved setting Terms of Reference and Objectives, so I know how much work has gone into preparing the alternatives and attempting to objectively evaluate each one.	Consultation
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Simply listening to the different voices discussing East Point at last night's meeting highlights the challenge - one person wants a marina, one person wants nothing done, a councillor wants the trail along the foot of the Bluffs and yet another person wants the trail at the top.	Consultation
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Thank you again for all of the work that has gone into this project and for all of your efforts to ensure that the public is an important part of the planning. I look forward to the next steps in this process.	Consultation
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Opinion: I would be content with shoreline improvements that provide access to the waterfront robustly suitable for taxpayers and visitors using manual wheelchairs - good enough for that tribe would be adequate for everybody else. Note: that essentially precludes stairs and sand, and constrains path grade.	Accessibility (AODA)

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>If it is not that valuable to preserve the foot of the bluffs around East Point as natural, then a reasonable accommodation would be to extend the armoured shoreline and Waterfront Trail around the base of East Point at a generous, appropriate safe distance from the bluff face and craft a new sand beach outside of the armour stone so that the beach would appear to be natural to wildlife and people. Seasonally it might still be desirable to close the beach to people during shore bird nesting season.</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>2. Do you agree..?</p> <p>Yes: overall I am willing to live with professional guidance since I am not strongly qualified at anything other than at paying taxes :)</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>4. Do you have .. comments?</p> <p>Based on the commentary during the Q&A I sense there are two streams of thought expressed:</p> <p>i) safe access to the Scarborough Waterfront, and ii) wildlife preservation</p>	Consultation
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Where we desire, as a priority, to preserve the natural environment for botanical or wildlife purposes, then the protected zone should be closed to human use - no mixed use, full stop. Many wild animals adapt well to living in an urban environment .. raccoons and pigeons come to mind - other animals, deer, bears, turtles, frogs and many shy birds, for example, do much better with no interaction with people. So if it is valuable to preserve the foot of the bluffs around East Point as "natural" - fine: close it to human use</p>	ESAs/Species Protection
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>1. Do the results .. reasonable?</p> <p>For the most part, yes. One assessment seemed fragile - the option bubbled up as preferred or most preferred because something was deemed "more enjoyable." Joy is a subjective perception - if you have a metric that quantifies enjoyable, explain how you measured that; if you don't, then it would be better to stick with objective criteria.</p>	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Opinion: it would be reasonable to reconsider your evaluation methodology so that you do not end up with multiple "most preferred" ratings for a single objective. In common English "most" doesn't work that way - the term is supposed to be a superlative, not a comparative group.</p>	Evaluation of Alternatives

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>3. Do you have .. Draft Effects Assessment Criteria?</p> <p>Oops - I don't recall seeing or hearing about the criteria for the Effects Assessment - I thought that was future work. I assume the staff recommended criteria will be fine; it's the detail metrics and methods that we can quibble about.</p>	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Carry on, and try to get this project completed before I get too old to care.	Process
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	Or alternatively, are the alternatives proposed last night for the west segment any different than those presented a few months ago that are on the website.	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2016	PIC 2 Email	When will the presentations from last night be available on the website? I wish to discuss them with other people to facilitate feedback to the TRCA.	Consultation
6/29/2016	Email	Hi there, great job last night on a tough crowd. As promised, here's some info on how to manage resistant stakeholders in a working session. The kind of behaviour I watched was nothing less than bullying by some audience members. While the information was important to be heard, the "delivery" from these people certainly detracted from their points.	Consultation

DRAFT

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2016	Email	<p>I hope I can help. Before I start, Just a bit about me - wanted to share support for getting the right solution for the community. I'm a Sr. Consultant, currently in the financial services industry. Much of my job involves Program Management, Change Management of resistant stakeholders, running working sessions (up to 200 people, and global teams up to 300 people), and above all - managing difficult participants and unruly contributors, using a fair but "rigidly flexible" process to ensure everyone is heard and air time is kept useful and fairly allocated. I was responsible for putting in post-implementation review processes at BMO, and processes to re-track troubled projects (valued 10-20 million) involving current state evaluations, including cost avoidance opportunities, event analysis, re-tracking action planning, then execution of the plan to ensure all needs were met, and the business and project moves forward on an easy integration. You can find me on linked in.</p> <p>Using DR GRAC at the beginning of your session, will help control the crowd.</p> <p>Develop a slide and accompanying handout which addresses:</p> <p>Desired Results for today's session</p> <p>Guidelines for today's session</p> <p>Resources involved today</p> <p>Accountabilities today each of the contributing groups - i.e. Dillon, and the audience, any coalitions etc.</p> <p>Consequences of "getting it wrong today".</p> <p>Get agreement - up front - with the audience - that this is the "contract" for today. Post it on a wall. Have everyone vote on it, record objectives, make changes based on the majority in the room. Have a role (secondary facilitator) to count hands, enforce these objectives, and the guidelines below, throughout the session.</p> <p>Putting this out at the front (it was "sort of there" but not specific enough, and not "on a wall" to continually be referred to, to manage the scope of the session and not allow participants to drive you off track.</p> <p>I've attached a little ground rules flyer i use in my sessions - not all of these apply in your context, its for project working sessions.</p> <p>Heres how I would adjust the content of my flyer, if I was applying it to your meeting -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Put together a ground rules communication, similar to the flyer, for display and distribution at the beginning of the session. 2. Get another agreement from the audience that the ground rules are the right ones, give 10 minutes for people to respond, make changes if the ROOM agrees. 3. Make sure to include these as part of the rule set - this will manage the difficult people.... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Facilitator has the floor and will ensure the discussion is kept on scope because B. there is a "3 volley rule" and it must be enforced for the health of the session - if the same issue has gone back and forth 3 times, or if it's an issue that should be captured but is not related to the project (like Marinas which have been stricken from the scope list) it will be removed and put into a parking lot visible to all participants - (extra projector, extra laptop for parking lot); agree to review the parking lot 15 minutes before the end of the session. 	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2016	Email	<p>Please contact me if you would like to chat about this. I can certainly provide support behind the scenes to help get the meetings optimized.</p> <p>Also , There are some very effective organizations in Toronto which specialize in facilitations such as these. "Facilitation First" is one of them. Michael Goldman is a brilliant, unbiased facilitator. I was trained by his organization, and can connect you.</p> <p>I also have a series of feedback models and calculators that I have built over the years, and might be able to retrofit them to help classify and calculate statistics on feedback.</p>	Consultation
6/29/2016	Email	<p>I care about everything which will be touched during the transition of the waterfront, and would like to help rationalize thoughts - what I heard in the audience was perhaps some "not listening" to information that was clearly there - I'd like to chat about how to get those kinds of things dealt with, reformat the information so it makes a more personal connection. There's a lot of good in the proposal but people can't hear it - there's a guiding principle in the attached form about "Celebrate what's good today, first, so you will have the energy to accept the change." There was some of this in your presentation, but not enough - but it was not clear enough for the participants on the "do nothing" side, to grasp.</p> <p>Would love to chat, and offer some collaborative conversations with you Nancy. I really think I can help.</p>	Consultation
6/29/2016	Email	<p>See the numbers below for the principles on the sheet, that I think are critical to the management of the audience. Everything else before it, sets the tone to build trust with the facilitator.</p> <p><7, 8, 9, 11, 12></p>	Consultation
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	I agree with the path being along the water edge	Comment on Alternative
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	Providing good access to the trail with as little disruption to neighbourhoods is also important. TTC and shuttles sound like good options.	Access
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>I attended the meeting on Tuesday and have the following feedback.</p> <p>My husband has difficulty walking long distances and on uneven terrain, but can ride a bike. We bike the trails in Scarborough and Durham from April to October each year. We also walk on the trails year round.</p> <p>We strongly support construction of a trail, that would provide more access to our beautiful shoreline by our growing and aging population.</p>	Accessibility (AODA)
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	My husband must stop regularly to rest when we are walking. Please don't rule out all benches.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>We are very grateful for the Port Union trail. We understand the need for rocks and stones to stabilize the newly created trail area. However the more natural sections of shoreline are more pleasing. We recommend keeping natural shoreline wherever possible in the Scarborough project (where bluffs and shoreline erosion allow).</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	I wondered if opponents to the trail are more concerned about sharing the shoreline with other people than concern over wildlife. They are a well organized, passionate and vocal group but I doubt they represent the majority of residents.	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	Protecting wildlife is very important. I agree with meeting attendees that expressed these concerns. However I don't agree that the project should be cancelled for this reason.	ESAs/Species Protection
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	Thank you for your work on this project and look forward to moving forward.	Process
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	We love the variety of trail terrain available now in Scarborough and Durham, and we urge you to provide variety on the new section. We love the trails along the shoreline, high up on the bluffs in Ajax, along marshes and rivers and through wooded areas. They are all beautiful in their own way and keeping our trails interesting is far more desirable than hugging the shore as some residents are requesting.	Comment on Alternative
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	We have noticed that painted lines in the middle of the trail encourage all users to stay to the right. In sections with no lines people tend to spread across the trail and there are more problems between toddlers, strollers, dog walkers and bikes.	Comment on Alternative
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	Question 1: Do the results seem reasonable? Answer: Yes. An excellent job. I was very impressed with the amount of thought and expertise that went into the options.	Comment on Alternative
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	I came to the meeting a bit concerned with the eastern section going into East Point Park but your team had an excellent explanation and I now see the wisdom in this decision.	Comment on Alternative
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	Question 2: Do you agree with the preferred alternatives? Answer: Yes. Each preferred option seems to balance the needs of the environment, cost and public access.	Comment on Alternative
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	I would like to thank you for putting on such a professional meeting. I am sorry so many people who attended couldn't see the amount of care and time your team took to put together such an excellent draft. It is my hope that by providing this feedback your team will know that there are many people who applaud your efforts. I look forward to attending future meetings.	Consultation
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	The criteria seem appropriate. I am not an expert; I am confident that your team has many.	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs	Comment on Alternative
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	Thanks for your response - I have reviewed the materials at the link you sent from the meeting, and do agree that Alternative 4B would be a good compromise.	Comment on Alternative
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	I would also suggest keeping the trail through East Point to the minimum width needed to be in compliance with accessibility codes, in order to minimize loss of surrounding brush area. I believe that is around 4 feet.	Ecology
6/30/2016	PIC 2 Email	As the next phase is addressed, I would really like to reiterate that it would be great to see a natural trail surface (as opposed to paving) for the East section through East Point, which would maintain the more natural qualities of the area. Perhaps a compacted dirt surface or something similar that fits well with accessibility for those with disabilities.	Materials
7/1/2016	PIC 2 Email	Your idea of essentially paving over a beach is one of the most repulsive and outrageous ideas I've heard in quite some time. I've been to this beach many times over the past twenty years, and it is excellent just as it is.	Beach
7/1/2016	PIC 2 Email	Yes I would like to see the shoreline remain natural!	Beach
7/1/2016	PIC 2 Email	Thank-you for your lively and informative info session.	Consultation
7/1/2016	PIC 2 Email	Can you please move the trail as far south as possible? Here is my rationale. 1. Going south is safer. The current trail along East Point Park and Grey Abbey is very dangerous 2. Going south improves air quality. The current trail is too close to Toronto East CAER emitters 3. Going south is quieter. The current trail is too close to the sirens http://torontoobserver.ca/2009/10/08/sounding-the-alarm-in-west-hill-and-east-scarborough/	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/2/2016	PIC 2 Email	This shoreline should remain natural! We have enough pavement in Toronto and wildlife such as it still remains needs to be protected. By paving access roads and further changing the environment there, it becomes too accessible and more vulnerable.	Beach
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	As previously expressed, this healthy shoreline, a unique habitat for wildlife and bird sanctuaries, needs no lake filling enhancement.	Beach
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	One speaker suggested paving over the entire shoreline of East Point Park. Although this would accommodate all wheeled vehicles that cannot travel or ride over soft sand, it would deprive all others who enjoy the natural beach and water activity experience.	Beach
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	However, the eastern section from Guildwood east toward the East Point Park bird sanctuary was of high concern. Opposition to the proposed hardening of 1-1/2 kilometers of sandy shoreline as expressed by several speakers, revealed major environmental shortcomings.	Comment on Alternative
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	TRCA's waterfront trail proposal from Bluffers Park east to Guildwood Park was well presented.	Comment on Alternative
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	The question and answer period was extended to accommodate input by well informed public speakers. One speaker had a petition of over 500 people who are opposed to TRCA's proposal to pave over the sandy beaches.	Consultation
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	At the next public meeting, we strongly urge the TRCA to present a refined waterfront development plan that reflects public input for shoreline preservation.	Consultation
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	Proper stewardship of the 14 thousand year old heritage beach must again be prioritized. It is unacceptable to eliminate any of the stabilized sandy shoreline east of Guildwood.	Ecology
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	Another speaker, Jane Fairburn, questioned TRCA's violation of their own constitutional conservation mandate. I also question the authority of TRCA to propose destructive environmental development that is contrary to the conservation mandates of The Royal Commission on Waterfront Development, The Toronto Waterfront Report and TRCA's own mandate to protect and conserve bird, wildlife and aquatic habitats.	Mandate
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	This recreationally useful waterfront used by pedestrians, picnickers, swimmers, hikers, dog walkers, small boat usage, etc. should always remain an enjoyable waterfront experience.	Comment on Alternative
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	Alternate waterfront options for cyclists are available via tableland routes. North Grey Abbey Park and Copperfield Road offer scenic forests and marshlands directly to the waterfront trail entrance at Beechgrove.	Comment on Alternative
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	gravel is good but I know many need to have a paved trail to have access.	Materials
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	Thank you for collecting input from the community on the development of our shoreline. Having been a part of the Bluffs area most of my life and delighted to see the population reconnected to the lake by the development of the Bluffs stabilization project and the Lake Ontario Waterfront Trail.. I would really like to see the shoreline segments all connected by a continuous trail,	Comment on Alternative
7/3/2016	PIC 2 Email	The people of Whitby, Ajax, Pickering, Toronto, Mississauga, and beyond all make good use of their portions of a paved Waterfront Trail. Could we not complete the links to make the entire Bluffs part of the Waterfront Trail - ALL of it by the water's edge. Make it continuous.	Comment on Alternative
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	Please add my comments & voice to the record as a NO vote (or if you prefer: a vote for Do Nothing). Thank you.	Comment on Alternative
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	Should people have access to nature? Of Course! BUT (yes, that is intentionally a Big But) We need to educate people to respect nature, learn how to safely interact with it ... learn how to experience and enjoy it while leaving nothing but footprints. People need to be taught how to fit in with nature - we must never alter nature to fit people. Imagine if the logic that is being used by the TRCA was applied to driving. Instead of driver education, testing and licencing we would allow anyone & everyone to drive a car then to make it safe we would put up walls of padding on every lane like bumper cars, everyone would be safe. And all roads would be covered with speed bumps to prevent high speeds. Doesn't that sound smart & fun?	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	TRCA – if you really want to conserve our beautiful waterfront why not seek out the endorsement of a non-partisan organization such as the David Suzuki Foundation? I am going to guess that you are already aware that this project, as is would never receive their endorsement –	Consultation
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	I am personally urging everyone I can to not be fooled by the smooth talk & dangling of shiny objects you are presenting... as Canadians we each have a voice, and the power to influence positive change – so do you!! Please use it today (and everyday). Think through the consequences of every choice you make and we, our children and grandchildren, can continue to enjoy all the beauty our Canada has to offer!	Consultation
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	Of note as well is my comment about the process and procedure at the public meeting: I was appalled! It became very clear that it was designed specifically to attempt to minimize the time available for public comment and discussion.	Consultation
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	Not a single child was in attendance, yet we were all subjected to being treated as such. With precious little time as it was – Why on earth was it necessary to waste half of it by repeating each persons comment or question?? There are ways to manage moderation without being offensive, as I found it to be.	Consultation
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	All sorts of species will continue to become at risk if People don't make significant changes to their attitudes, behaviours & perceptions ... Every piece of nature (whether you personally like that animal, insect or flower (etc), whether it is beneficial to the humans in the environment or a nuisance, is an important part of the Earth's naturally balanced ecosystem.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	People have managed to disrupt & destroy so much of it! Nature keeps trying to heal itself (which it is usually quite adept at doing) but can only do so if humans stop interfering. Historically, people have recognized some of these problems (in isolation) long after the fact. And while many have good intentions to fix what we've done ... they interfere with the fixes that Nature itself is working on.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	When I read about the TRCA's Scarborough Waterfront Project's Plan, citing goals that include "enhancing" the natural environments ... I became very worried and angry. What ignorance to suggest that we (the very humans who have & continue to destroy nature) can improve or enhance it ??? When will we actually walk the talk? Let's stop paying lip-service to nature & conservation and actually conserve it!!	ESAs/Species Protection
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	What does that say about your integrity as a 'Conservation Authority'?	Mandate
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	We might not have the power to change the world, but we have the power to change things here at home. Can you imagine the ripple effect around the globe if all of Canada's biodiversity became strong and healthy? "Be the change you wish to see in the world" Canada. That means each & everyone of us need to be willing to think & act differently.	Miscellaneous
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	2. Do you agree with the Overall Preferred Alternative? If not, why? No. Do nothing! If you want to spend money, work on decreasing human access & doing authentic conservation work. People do not need access to all places just because they want to go there.	Comment on Alternative
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	1. Do the results of the evaluation seem reasonable? If not, why? No, not really. I am tired of constant over development of all things everywhere....and yes, even in Toronto. The growth here is out of control with no real thought for the future. In my mind, this project is no exception. Let's leave some actual natural spaces and wildlife areas for future generations. Something we can be proud of. Before it's only in a story book from days of old.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Just because the word "conservation" is in your name, does not mean by default that you are conservationists. Your name from the very roots of hurricane Hazel and reason for coming into being is misleading at best. You could do better by acting the part you claim to be. Conservation means to conserve what is...in it's natural state...and / or rehabilitate it, if it was destroyed in times past to what it once was as best you can. Do you feel you are doing this? Honestly? Imagine if when the U.S. National Parks were put into place, they acted the way you are on this project right now? What would Yosemite look like today? And would you be proud of that?</p> <p>If that seems unfair...it's not. This area, though much smaller is equally significant and important for a number of reasons. Stop treating it like a place that needs to be "entertainment" for mindless urbanites. Nobody is worthy of this....period.</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>3. Do you have comments on the Drafts Effects Assessment Criteria? Are any criteria missing? Is there anything else that should be considered?</p> <p>See # 1 and 2. That sums it up.</p>	Evaluation of Alternatives
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	We want a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the bottom of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	It seems that a lot of hot air could be avoided by applying a little science to the project to determine what is necessary to produce a satisfactory compromise between "natural" and safety.	Consultation
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	Over the past months the on-going discussion about the shoreline has been interesting and amusing to read. Despite statements to the contrary the primary issue should be slope stability (the ability to maintain a stable angle of repose). In the case of clayey soils that angle or ratio is one vertical unit to two and a half horizontal units. If memory serves that is an angle of about 15 degrees. Judging from the number of landslides along the bluffs it would seem that the soil is very clayey. Nature will continue to push for its angle of repose and the steepest slopes are at the greatest risk of sliding if the soil is reasonably homogeneous. The other force of nature which is working against slope stability is the erosion of the toe of the slope. In the case of the bluffs the natural shoreline will continue to be eroded and cause further sliding. In both cases the harmful ingredient is water. Precipitation on the slope and wave action at the toe.	Erosion
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	If the shoreline is to be left "natural" there will continue to be erosion and sliding. The time frame between events of erosion and sliding will depend on the weather conditions but it will happen regardless of statements declaring that the shoreline is "stable". No natural shoreline is stable! Time and nature will determine when theses events occur.	Erosion
7/4/2016	PIC 2 Email	I would like to know the exact Westerly start point for the East Segment of the Scarborough Waterfront Project. In the discussions presented thus far, it has been stated the the west boundary for this segment is "Greyabbey". However in the drawings it is clear that it begins somewhere on Guildwood Pkwy. A more precise location would be much more informative, and assist myself and the public in formulating our opinions.	Comment on Alternative
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Please watch this. I am totally opposed to hardening of the natural shoreline and beach in any environmentally sensitive area.</p> <p>http://omnyapp.com/shows/tasha-kheiriddin/plan-for-scarborough-bluffs-goes-to-the-public</p> <p>Don't pave over of the last remaining areas of natural beach east of the city.</p>	Beach
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	I believe therefore that any development should be focused on Bluffers Park, Galloway Road access and East Pointe Park.	Access
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	and probably consideration of some sort of shuttle system especially on weekends.	Access
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	With the Guild Inn development, I believe that better pedestrian access should be sufficient to allow for walking and biking.	Access
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	This area can be improved to allow for disabled access	Accessibility (AODA)
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	I do not believe you need to provide this for the whole 11 km	Accessibility (AODA)

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	Bluffers Park needs improvement in terms of pedestrian access,	Brimley Road
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	The proposed hard scape for a beach head for the Mid section may work but I think this is low priority.	Comment on Alternative
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	I am not as familiar with East Pointe Park but I support your recommendation to provide the path at the Cliff top	Comment on Alternative
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	We have watched the bluffs below Galloway level off to to 45 degrees and its total transition to a wooded incline. The Toronto Star reported that the Bluffs, one of Toronto's natural wonders is disappearing!	Erosion
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	This area is still used by wildlife and is an important part of the migratory route.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	I attended your information session on June 28 in order to learn more about the proposed development for the 11 km stretch of the Scarborough Bluffs. We have been residents of Guildwood for 39 years and so are very concerned about plans for this fragile area. While I understand the need to stabilize the bluff to preserve personal property (why they ever developed along this unstable ridge boggles the mind) I believe that every effort should be made to leave as much as possible in its natural state.	Comment on Alternative
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	There must be a way for humans to live in harmony with wildlife.	Comment on Alternative
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	improved parking acces	Parking
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	I do not think that this whole area needs to be accessible as one complete trail.	Comment on Alternative
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	and believe that which is the natural remaining portion of the bluffs be left to be natural!	Comment on Alternative
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	I'd like to raise again that pedestrian safety on the steep roadway down to Bluffer's Park must somehow be considered priority.	Brimley Road
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	I've looked at the alternatives -- for the Western segment, your Most Preferred option looks very positive from my perspective.	Comment on Alternative
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	I see that coordination with other stakeholders is part of your project consideration, and also noted that the timeframe for completion could be as long as 2027.	Consultation
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	Do you have a sense after this phase of your project work where consideration for multi-use trail improvements are at?	Miscellaneous
7/5/2016	PIC 2 Email	We would like a waterfront experience with a trail at the waters edge at the "bottom of the bluffs". It just makes sense to keep the trail consistent along the waterfront.	Comment on Alternative
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	But in this case, I strongly believe that we preserve the natural beach section as best we can!	Beach
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	I really enjoyed the presentation at Cardinal Newman meeting and found it very informative. The question period was also very interesting and as is the case with most public issues, there are always two sides and I understand the difficulty of trying to meet the demands of each side.	Consultation
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	As well, just a compliment on the awesome job done at Gate's Gully, Doris McCarty Trail. I run up that trail at least 3 times a week and the team did a great job!	Existing Conditions
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	I'm writing about the proposal regarding the section from Guildwood Pkwy to East Point Park. I took a hike along the full section yesterday, since it's been a couple years that I've been along the beach. I must say that the beach section is beautiful in its natural state and hope that the majority of this section be kept as is or enhanced somehow with too much disturbance to it natural beauty!	Comment on Alternative
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	As well, I couldn't find the section of the proposed exit from the trail section along to lake going east, to get to the top along the south edge of East Point Park. Is there any landmarks or distance reference to finding that area?	Comment on Alternative
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	Access to the beach should also be maintained.	Comment on Alternative
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	I live in the West Rouge community. Some people in my community, including my city councillor, would like to see the beach at East Point Park paved over with a trail and an armour stone revement. I wanted to let you know that I oppose this opinion. I would like to see this beach remain in its current state with alternative erosion control measures, ones that respect the natural landscape, put into place. Therefore I agree with the proposal to align the waterfront trail with the existing path through East Point Park, on top of the bluffs.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	A large portion of the trail is in fact already there, but not paved, and I'm struggling with how the people that oppose this project think this section is "untouched pristine shoreline". The soil remediation work was done to protect these sections of the bluffs from falling into the lake. We (the tax payers) have funded this project and the clear benefactors of that project were the homeowners (and some parkland) who's homes were at risk. Now that that project is over, the homeowners now want to restrict access to the very people who funded the project to protect their homes and parkland.	Access
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	At the public meeting I was frankly aghast when one of the homeowners suggested that "the low income people" should not have access because they bring down bar-b-ques and make a mess and the city should encourage them to go to other parks to bar-b-que. Growing up on the bluffs, unfortunately this attitude is quite frankly too common among many of the homeowners on the bluffs. The feeling that owning property on the top entitles them to restrict any lake access and keep it for themselves. Many continue to build without permits and use it to dump their compost all the while condemning people (some throw stones) that dare walk on "their" shoreline.	Access
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	But it is not only the disabled or mobility constrained persons that will benefit. Thousands of people use the current bike trails along the waterfront, and many use it to commute. If we believe we are trying to make our city greener, we should encourage more cycling access. As someone who spent three months cycling through Europe (6000+ km's) we have a lot of catching up building bike trails. The fast majority of this trail is already in a position to be made bike friendly at a very low cost.	Access
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	Currently the only people that get to these trails are mobile people like myself that are able to deal with sometimes challenging parts of the shoreline or bluffs. This is unfortunate because there is a whole segment of our (tax paying) population that are not mobile. The look of joy of seeing someone blind, in a wheelchair, or even a mother pushing a stroller with their excited child is enough reason that this is built.	Accessibility (AODA)
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	I currently use the entire area proposed for the Scarborough Waterfront Project. I think the proposal is an excellent compromise of allowing for coordination with the continuation of the waterfront trail in other parts of the city, yet allowing our beautiful waterfront to have a "untouched" appearance.	Comment on Alternative
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	It will definitely be a negative for everyone to have to go up a steep hill (100 feet vertical?) only to go back down a kilometer later. But if this is the compromise to get this project done, I guess better than no trail at all.	Comment on Alternative
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	Clearly the goal would be a continuous trail along the bottom of the bluffs for the entirety of area, but I appreciate that there is an attempt to appear to have an area that's "untouched".	Comment on Alternative
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	Is this the email address to submit a comment of support for the project or is there a link you can provide?	Consultation
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	It was mentioned at the public meeting that there is an online source of all the commentary submitted so far. Can you please provide the link to this?	Consultation
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	It seemed like a small but vocal group is trying to get this project axed and I'm wondering if you or your supports have reached to the various cycling groups and accessibility groups (facebook, etc) to get their support.	Consultation
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	I have lived on the top of the bluffs for 43 years and have been blessed with the wide variety of terrain, wildlife, and fauna in the area. I do not see why this development will adversely affect this. I believe the experts consulted on this project that state that as a whole many of the conditions will improve.	Ecology
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	The problems with this compromise is that the bluffs will continue to erode unabated and the East Point Bird Sanctuary will continuously erode into the lake and become smaller each year. Already the pond floods nearly every rainfall, and the portion of the bluffs below it is eroding at an alarming rate.	Erosion
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	If the trail does go ahead, the garbage bins that will be in place will help protect this greenspace. Currently, there is large amounts of garbage down as a result of not having anyplace for people to put their garbage.	ESAs/Species Protection

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	To address some of their concerns about how do people get to the trails, there should be co-ordination with Metrolinx to have regular shuttles from GO train lots (Guildwood, Eglinton, and Scarborough stations) which are huge, and are largely empty at the ideal times to be utilized (weekends and evenings). People can either take GO or transit or park at these lots and take shuttles or bike to get to the access points of the trail.	Other Initiatives
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	Perhaps there is a way to have garbage bins in that "untouched" area because there is way too much garbage for it to appear "pristine" as some would claim.	Park Amenities
7/6/2016	PIC 2 Email	I could go on and on, and would like to but fear this letter is already too long, but would like to help in any capacity so that I might one day soon might be able to share this beautiful shoreline with our all of our citizens and visitors to our great city.	Process
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	We (people) do not need 24/7 access to everything.	Access
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Coordinate. TTC, parking, GO trains, etc.	Access
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Except for Eastern Portion -- > way too many hard surfaces	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	The basic assumption we need a waterfront trail is flawed.	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	I have no objection to path not being directly on the water. Move it away if incline too steep or habitat delicate.	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Agree with Overall Preferred Alternative? Yes.	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Karla's reframing of ?'s seemed to be an irritant to the group. It was unnecessary and demeaned the person's ability to appear competent. I believe it was the ___ Nancy. Very unfortunate and most unnecessary - please consider a different person for this role or not filling this role at all.	Consultation
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Seem reasonable? Yes. Not icredibly original or unique in design or style. I know --> you want nature to speak for herself.	Evaluation of Alternatives
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Keep natural!	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Mother Nature doesn't give us a multitude of natural, walkable sandy beaches along the Lake Ontario waterfront. Please save the one we have from Morningside Ave. to Highland Creek. I'm sure most cyclists and people restricted to wheelchairs can understand that an interesting sandy beach is worth preserving even they cannot use their wheels on it. Of course cyclists always have the option of walking it, just like most of us.	Beach
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Extending the waterfront trail along the beach from Bluffer's Park to the mouth of Highland Creek would destroy the beach.	Beach
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	I think a better alternative would be to have the trail proceed east along the top of the bluffs, with beach access where it would do the least amount of damage to the environment.	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Until that big area, east of the baseball diamonds, is made truly-safe, that section of East Point Park should be closed and, at least, appropriate signage should be erected around the place.	Access
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Shown below is the plan, for the marina. This plan has already been approved 3 times! So, it has already been supported, by the Community and this should be respected. TRCA spokespersons said the marina was recently "ruled-out", though, because other marinas would not recommend it. "There are still some empty slips, at those other facilities", they said. Yet, why should the other marinas have to be working, at capacity, before additional capacity is built? TRCA should be building for the future. A recent study, for example, forecasted a doubling of Toronto's population, over the next 15 years! Also, a visitor, at the June 28, 2016 meeting admitted that he would keep his boat, at the East Point marina, if it was available, because, presently, he had to keep his vessel stored, at Frenchman's Bay. So, we do need the marina! <includes picture of East Point 1989 master plan>	Boat dock/launch/marina
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Along a similar vein, repeatedly during the June 28, 2016 event, residents from or around the Brimley Road South area, complained about predictable traffic congestion plus lack of parking, at the nearby Bluffers Park. So, clearly, more then just a few empty boat slips should be considered. The proposed 1989 East Point Marina would help to elevate that highly-negative problem.	Boat dock/launch/marina

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p><Picture Comment></p> <p>Figure 13 Above: Location of Metro's Proposed 1989 Marina. Pic taken by <name>, on July 4, 2016. Although, in the distance, there were pleasure crafts visible, about 1 mile south of this location. So, they would probably benefit, from the proposed marina, too!</p>	Boat dock/launch/marina
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>TRCA staff, however, suggested the marina, at East Point, was not necessary, because a suitable boat-launching facility could be constructed "at Port Union".</p> <p>Do staff members, at TRCA, work in a vacuum?</p> <p>The Community and MTRCA already looked into this possibility, 20 years ago, and concluded, at that time, it would not work. So, TRCA staff members, at the June 28, 2016 meeting, should have already been aware of this and set the record straight rather than waste more time plus money, on it (more evidence of TRCA's poor judgement)!</p> <p>To review:</p> <p>! Despite the name and history, Port Union's waterfront is too shallow, for a modern marina.</p> <p>17</p> <p>! Port Union's waterfront is also inaccessible, for such repurposing.</p> <p>! Similarly, the local \$10 million pedestrian tunnel (est. 2000), under the overhead CNR tracks, will not accommodate cars pulling boats plus their trailers; the facility was designed to only accommodate pedestrians, bicyclists, and the occasional emergency vehicle.</p> <p>! The nearby Chesterton Shores coast is also too shallow.</p> <p>! Furthermore, there would be insufficient space, for cars and boat trailers, at Chesterton Shores. This would create a predictable bottleneck and likelihood of trains running into vehicles that would get trapped, on the neighbouring CNR line. During the June 28, 2016 meeting, a local resident mentioned that Metrolinx was apparently going to increase train traffic, in the area. "They're going to run trains every 7 minutes", he warned.</p> <p>So, that left East Point Park - which, incidentally, MTRCA already concluded was deep enough, for such a marina.</p> <p>In addition to the above, though, installing an ill-advised boat launching facility, at shallow Chesterton Shores, would likely also involve permanently damaging this area's vegetation. Given the ignoramus's lack of opposition to that showed they were not actually fighting for the environment, but were and as already alluded to, engaging in a kind of misinformed 'NIMBYism'.</p> <p>Furthermore, would destroying Chesterton Shores's vegetation be less important than removing the</p>	Boat dock/launch/marina

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Also, during the June 28, 2016 meeting, TRCA showed a proposed small boat-launching facility, at East Point Park.</p> <p>Again, do that agency's staff members work in a vacuum?</p> <p>Were they not listening to Bluffer's Park's neighbours repeatedly complain about the predictable related traffic congestion, there?</p> <p>Foreseeably, too, building a small boat-launching facility, at East Point Park, would create another bottleneck and parking disaster.</p> <p>The 1989 marina, though, would provide needed parking, for nearly 500 vehicles, plus make plenty of space for them to safely turn around, available.</p>	Boat dock/launch/marina
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>So, again, the 1989 approved marina makes sense!</p> <p>MTRCA also concluded that the East Point Park coast was not a significant fish-spawning area.</p> <p>Numerous historic aerial photos, MTRCA and the Community also concluded that East Point Park was not vital, for migratory birds.</p> <p>MTRCA plus the Community concluded that once-believed "rare plants" - which were growing beside the neighbouring CNR line - were not rare elsewhere, in Canada. Also, we determined that such vegetation was from seeds which predictably blew off passing train cars. Moreover, TRCA's apparent total disregard for much vegetation and new-found respect, for beavers (as shown above), demonstrated that the agency was not actually concerned about saving so-called "rare plants", at the park.</p>	Boat dock/launch/marina
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Shamefully, TRCA let its June 28, 2016 waterfront trail EA meeting, in Scarborough, get hijacked by a bunch of ignoramuses.</p> <p>They thought the former solid-waste dump, chemical-waste dump, 9-hole golf course and farm (now called "East Point Park") was natural. Clearly, that group did not even bother to view the historical aerial photos which obviously-debunked such nonsense and were on display, in the hall leading into the meeting's room.</p> <p>Since they mistakenly viewed the mostly-artificial site as natural, however, they wanted to save it, as-is, and, largely, thanks to them, the meeting went about 40 minutes longer than what was scheduled.</p> <p>Frankly, I blame TRCA for what happened, because, since the agency was hosting this event, it should have been in control of it. In addition, TRCA knew better than those ignoramuses, but neglected to set the bunch straight. So, as already alluded to, the meeting dragged on and on while the ignoramuses needlessly-hogged the microphone and seemed unwisely-hysterical about stopping progress.</p>	Consultation
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>So, why is TRCA and the City maintaining hazardous circumstances that will likely cost both of them plus the tax payers, a lot, due to foreseeable/preventable negligence? Furthermore, with no actual improvements being made, odds are that, still: "It's not a case of 'if' some one will get injured or worse, but 'when'." So, why hasn't the City been removing those hazards, despite TRCA? Why have Toronto's elected officials been derelict in their duty? Why have they passed responsibility, for managing/mitigating/eliminating unnecessary significant risks to unelected bureaucrats, at TRCA, who have a long history of making bad judgements?</p>	Ecology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<Picture Comment> Figure 2 Above: A Big Lie. One of several erroneous TRCA signs - designed to scare away improvement - showed the cliff had a dangerous overhang which would break away and cause people to tumble to their injury/death, if they did not leave the area alone! Nothing, however, could be further from the truth! Pic taken by <name>, on July 4, 2016.	Erosion
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<Picture Comment> Figure 3 The Truth: No overhang! The above pic shows the cliff face, behind TRCA's erroneous warning sign. Moreover, the cliff - actually more like the side of a long steep hill - goes down to the neighbouring beach, at approximately a 50° angle. Furthermore, look at all the vegetation helping to hold the land, in place. There was a lot more foliage elsewhere, along the "cliff's" face, but the point is that, despite TRCA's exaggeration/lie, the bluff, at East Point Park was largely unremarkable and stable. So, for the most part, at least, this area was not eroding and non-threatening! Similar signs, for instance, were not posted at the bottom of the bluff, to warn people on the beach. As already alluded to, too, such stableness was typical of the bluff face, all along, south of/behind the similar warning signs, at East Point Park. Pic taken by <name>, on July 4, 2016.	Erosion
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<Picture Comment> Another Erroneous TRCA warning sign! Yet, a person could walk down this slope without climbing gear! Figure 4 Again, Not As Steep As TRCA Would Have Us Believe - despite a nearby minor over-hang! Pic taken by <name>, on July 4, 2016.	Erosion
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<Picture Comment> Figure 5 Again, Not Too Steep! Above: Bluff face south of/behind one of TRCA's misleading signs. Lots of vegetation, though! So, again, little to no erosion happening here! Pic taken by <name>, on July 4, 2016.	Erosion
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<Picture Comment> Figure 6 Above: South of/behind one deceptive TRCA warning signs, the bluff's face was so gently-sloping and vegetated that well-worn paths scarred the so-called 'cliff'. Clearly, no more erosion was happening here. Also, again, there was no dangerous over-hang. Pic taken by <name>, on July 4, 2016.	Erosion
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<Picture Comment> Figure 7 Above: Looking West, From A Path, Part-Way Down East Point's Bluff Face, South Of/Behind A Deceptive TRCA Sign! Pic taken By <name>, on July 4, 2016.	Erosion
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<Picture Comment> Figure 8 Above: Looking West, At Guildwood, From South Of/Behind A Deceptive TRCA Warning Sign! Again, the Bluff face was gently-sloping, well-vegetated and stable. Pic taken by <name>, on July 4, 2016.	Erosion

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Putting a paved path through environmentally-sensitive areas is the usual and proper way Toronto handles actual environmentally-sensitive places - and the method is highly-successful.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	So, nothing was done to control the predicably and largely-out-of-control growing vegetation. A fence was constructed to keep visitors well-away, from the cliff. Highly-intimidating erroneous signs were even posted, along this fence, to warn the unenlightened that the cliff was about to drop away, any minute, if they even just ventured too close to it. Marshes were planted, in the nearby man-made settling pond, specifically to create the illusion 1 that everything was peaceful, natural and correct, as-is.	Existing Conditions
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Most of what MTRCA/TRCA was trying to achieve, of course, was a lie. The park was approximately 99.99% unnatural. It was largely a neglected industrial wasteland, golf course and farm site. Plus the vegetation was allowed to grow uncontrollably almost wherever it wanted, throughout the area, and some wildlife, predictably, took advantage of such neglect.	Existing Conditions
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<Picture Comment> Pesky beavers allowed to create dangerous swamp & kill many trees! Figure 1 ABOVE: Looking West, At A Significant Problem, From Beechgrove Drive. According to a TRCA staff member, sometime during the last few years, destructive beavers moved into East Point Park, where they were - during this time of West Nile, Zika and the like - allowed to predictably build a damn, flood a big area, kill large trees, create a 'mosquito incubator' plus, thereby, endanger the Community. At what point will TRCA stop being derelict in its duty toward keeping people safe? Not all wildlife is harmless! Pic taken by <name>, on July 4, 2016.	Existing Conditions
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Before Waterfront "Czar" David Crombie came along and needlessly stopped progress, that former solid-waste dump, chemical-waste dump, farm plus 9-hole golf course - now called "East Point Park" - was well on its way to being made into a badly-needed plus highly-accessible family-friendly place. That work should continue despite heated opposition, from TRCA and the stubbornly-dumb few! The top tableland of the park was for highly-needed sports facilities. Years ago, for instance, a City study showed that Toronto - even then - was "short" by, among other sports-related places, 99 soccer fields. The foot of the cliff was for the long-overdue waterfront/water's edge trail plus marina	Existing Conditions
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Along a similar vein, although largely-covered by thick over-grown vegetation, old vehicle tires, plastic and the like, from East Point Park's former solid-waste dump, can still be found sticking up out of the ground.	Existing Conditions
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<Picture Comment> Figure 10 Above: Old plastic still sticking up out of the ground, at East Point Park. Pic by <name>, on July 4, 2016.	Existing Conditions
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	75 large drums of chemical waste had to be removed, from the site, before the baseball diamonds could be installed.	Existing Conditions
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	There is a big waste water drainage ditch running through much of the park and connecting to the man-made settling pond, at the east end of the place. Apparently fooled by the TRCA-planted marshes, the June 28, 2016 ignoramuses seemed to mistakenly-assume that they were natural, too!	Existing Conditions

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	There were also large pieces of asbestos pipe, at East Point Park. They led from that pond down, to Lake Ontario. For years, such pipe was used, for draining the pond's excess waste-water into the lake. When the pipe's top entrance got plugged, by an old car tire plus discarded wood, branches and other debris, about 20 years ago, the water, predictably, ran over the pipe, and washed out much of the cliff's face.	Existing Conditions
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<Picture Comment> Figure 11 Above: Remnants from the big wash out are still visible, at East Point Park. Pic by <name>, on July 4, 2016. Although the pieces of piping material shown above, were not asbestos, <name> took many shots of the original asbestos pipe, when the big wash out was underway. If that piping was merely covered up - as the above pic suggested - then that would make East Point Park into an illegal asbestos dump.	Existing Conditions
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Without any evidence, though, some of the ignoramuses claimed that East Point Park was still "environmentally-sensitive" - which, as I repeatedly pointed out, above, was nonsense. "It's the last natural green space, in Toronto!" a loud ignorant lady repeatedly insisted. Yet, as I mentioned during the June 28, 2016 meeting, a study showed that putting a paved path through environmentally-sensitive land was one of the best things that could be done, for it, because, then, people tended to stay on the path rather than wander off into the surrounding environmentally sensitive area, disturbing wildlife and the like. "Oh, sure!" the woman bellowed, with contempt	Existing Conditions
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	of the paved path, through the large lower half of the neighbouring environmentally-sensitive Colonel Danforth Park. This path enabled the park to connect to Port Union's waterfront trail. To demonstrate this, on June 29, 2016, I rode my bicycle along the path and took several photos. Notice how, predictably, people were staying on the path and contrast that with East Point Park, where people were just wandering all over the place - including venturing across the supposedly environmentally-sensitive areas! The ignoramuses did not complain about that, during any of the June 28, 2016 meeting. So, clearly, they were not actually interested in protecting environmentally sensitive space! They did not appear to know much about East Point Park. However, it was clear they were relying on many unfounded assumptions	Existing Conditions

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p><Picture Comment> Figure 14 Above: Bicyclist riding on paved path through environmentally-sensitive Col. Danforth Park. (Pic by <name> (June 29, 2016).)</p> <p><Additional 3 Pictures with Comment> Above: Pics of paved path, through, environmentally-sensitive Col. Danforth Park were all taken by <name>, on June 29, 2016.</p> <p><Picture Comment> Figure 18 Above: More bicyclists riding on the paved path that went through environmentally-sensitive Col. Danforth Park. (Pic by <name>n (June 29, 2016)).</p> <p><Picture Comment> Figure 19 Above: More of the paved path which goes through environmentally-sensitive Col. Danforth Park. Pic by <name> (June 29, 2016).</p> <p><Picture Comment> Figure 20 Above: Toronto had even used the paved path, through environmentally-sensitive Col. Danforth Park, for the PAN AM Games, in</p>	Existing Conditions
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>I doubt such antics were mere coincidence, though. Instead, the event later reminded me of the improper propaganda 'war' powerful TRCA staff had been, essentially, waging, at East Point Park, for years. Like the ignoramuses, they wanted the east half of the facility to be left untouched. So, they embarked on a campaign to create the illusion that the area was so-sensitive, that nothing could or should be changed and they catered to others who shared this view.</p>	Mandate
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>So, neither TRCA nor the ignoramuses should be trusted.</p>	Miscellaneous
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>This means that, among other things, work on the remaining long-overdue sports facilities and approved marina should continue.</p>	Park Amenities

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Despite the obvious benefits which would come with those sports and marina projects, clearing away much of East Point Park's excessive scrub brush and the like would contribute to making the place safe. Despite parks are supposed to be for tax payers/people, at various times, over the years, for instance, women told me they would not venture into the east half of East Point Park's table land</p> <p>10</p> <p>Figure 9 Many of the weeds, above, were approximate my height and effectively absorbing the sound around them. (Pic by <name>, on July 4, 2016.)</p> <p>alone, because they feared the much non-essential vegetation was providing too many hiding places, for rapists and the like.</p> <p>I can appreciate that.</p> <p>I am a nearly 200 lbs man who is 5'9" tall. Yet, on July 4, 2016, I had a scary experience, which made me feel highly-vulnerable, while in the park, alone.</p> <p>While looking for things to photograph, I ventured down a well-used trail. It started off appearing safe, but after a while, I noticed that the trail had narrowed and I was surrounded by large dense weeds plus other tall vegetation which, effectively, blocked out sound; it was very quiet.</p> <p>Not realizing the danger, however, I remained on the path and went back to photographing. Everything still seemed peaceful.</p> <p>After several minutes, though, I looked up and was startled by a large unfamiliar man who had appeared, on the path, behind me, and was walking toward me.</p> <p>"He could over-power me", I thought.</p> <p>Then it occurred to me that this would likely be a good place at which to murder some one.</p> <p>I was spooked.</p> <p>I wanted to increase the distance, between him and myself. So, I turned and then quickly walked away.</p> <p>After a while, though, I periodically turned around to see if he was keeping up to me or getting closer, but the dense brush made it impossible, to tell.</p> <p>A long time, though, passed, before I was finally in a relatively-open area, again. It was a long time, after that, when I had arrived back to the safety of my car - which was parked, in the lot near the HCTP incinerator building.</p> <p>The next day, it occurred to me that, if the man was nefarious and working with an accomplice or, say, was part of a gang, who/which was coming, at me, from the direction in which I was walking,</p>	Safety
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Seems to me that Toronto does not allow sidewalks to be fenced-in, to avoid trap situations. So, why is the City and TRCA maintaining, at East Point Park, what, essentially, may be a highly-dangerous human trap? At minimum, the park seems to be below standard.</p>	Safety
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>NO ONE SHOULD GO INTO THE EAST HALF OF EAST POINT PARK, ALONE, WITHOUT A GUN OR SOME OTHER SERIOUS WEAPON, FOR SELF-DEFENCE!</p> <p>THE EAST TABLE LAND IS NOT SAFE!</p>	Safety
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p><Picture Comment></p> <p>Many of the weeds, above, were approximate my height and effectively absorbing the sound around them. (Pic by <name>, on July 4, 2016.)</p>	Safety

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	In addition, though, years ago, during various TRCA-conducted East Point Park tours, I experienced, at East Point Park, the staff members warned everyone not to step off the path. They explained that anyone who did leave the trail might step into one of the park's old plough troughs and twist an ankle. As already pointed out, among other things, much of the park used to be a farm. Accordingly, for instance, aerial photos showed large ploughed fields. Moreover, the Staff warned that some one had already stepped off the path and twisted an ankle. So, even TRCA had admitted knowing that the table land was hazardous!	Safety
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Common sense dictates that, if the place is not safe, for adults, then it is also not safe, for children. So, maintaining the dangerous status quo - as the ignoramuses wanted - would keep a significant negative problem, in place. Moreover, the liability would be huge, if, as predicted, somebody did get hurt or go missing or get killed due to TRCA's plus the City's negligence - especially since the pair had long known about the potential problems, but ignored the warnings! Clearly, unaccountable bureaucrats, at TRCA, have chosen to sacrifice public safety for an illusion of nature. That is crazy and proof that they are the wrong people, for leaving in charge of public policy! Incidentally and as already alluded to, this is not the first time I have raised the issue of hazards, being at East Point Park, with TRCA; thanks to me, the agency has been aware of potential unnecessary dangers at the area plus female complaints etcetera for many years.	Safety
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	Also, East Point Park needs to be made entirely safe and accessible.	Safety
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	<Picture Comment> Beauty at its best <see also Comment 275>	Miscellaneous
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	I attended this very informative meeting on June 28th and was quite impressed with the magnitude of the study area and project. The preferred options for each section were, I believe, well thought out and I look forward to watching these projects unfold.	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	I asked a question during the Q&A about the impact of building on the Bluffs as I was under the impression the Environmental Assessment study had, as one of its mandates, (Stakeholder meeting Dec 2010- Dillon Engineering), assessing the impact of local development on bluffs erosion. The presenters answered that there are no local developments taking place in the study area.	Development
7/7/2016	PIC 2 Email	I live on Fishleigh Drive, which is at the extreme west end of the project area, and during the meeting on the 28th came to realize that it is not really on the radar in such a large project. There is a revetment and buttressing project due to start this month along the shoreline of Fishleigh Dr. which appears to be a stand alone and to not be part of the larger waterfront project. Can you confirm if this is the case? I have been conversing with Patricia Newland, the Fishleigh Dr Project manager, about this revetment project and she directed me to the public meeting and the Waterfront Environmental Assessment to get an answer on the geotechnical study's findings re the affect of local development (building) on the erosion the revetment project is trying to stop. Could you comment on this please or refer me to the individual who may be able to answer my concerns.	Erosion

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Thank you for providing an opportunity to express ideas and concerns about the project.</p> <p>I am a boater. I do not keep my boat a marina. I trailer my boat and launch it each time that I head out. Unfortunately, there are times when I have had to turn around and leave Bluffers Park without launching because all of the parking spots were occupied. So, I am disappointed that the plan does not include additional access for boaters. I understand that you have determined that we do not require more seasonal docking space for boaters; but we definitely do need more places to launch a boat.</p>	Boat dock/launch/marina
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>I know that there was a proposal for a launch at East Point Park that is no longer being considered. I actually think that the access point near the Guild Inn is a better location. There is already a road way down to the shore. This road way roughly aligns with Galloway Rd. This location has some traffic advantages over East Point Park and Bluffers. There is access from the west along Guildwood Pkwy to Kingston Rd, from the east along Guildwood Pkwy to Morningside, and north along Galloway Rd. Have three (four including Poplar Rd) possible routes for traffic means that no one route will be overwhelmed with the volume of traffic. Plus, having an additional access point means that no one location will have to face the same volume of traffic that is currently faced at Bluffers Park. Guildwood Pkwy is also reasonably close to the shoreline; this is much shorter walk than the access point at Bluffers. This opens the area to public access even if it not feasible for the TTC to run a bus right to the shore. If the TTC was able to run busses right down to the water front that will even be better.</p> <p>This area also has an environmental advantage over the East Point Park location. This area also has a hardened shoreline. And it is a poorly designed featureless shoreline. So you wouldn't be destroying any quality habitat by building a launch, parking, and picnic area. The East Point Park location has a natural beach that would have to be replaced with hardened shoreline.</p>	Boat dock/launch/marina
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Even if there are no plans to build a marina in the current phase, I think an access point at the Guild Inn should either include a lagoon or bay that could be used for boat docking in the future; or be designed in such a manner that these can be added in the future. I would expect that demand for boating space will increase over time that additional marina space will eventually be required.</p>	Boat dock/launch/marina
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>There are enough access points between Bluffers Park and East Point Park for most people to access the beachfront area.</p>	Access
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>We have safe public access at Bluffers Park, The Guild Inn, and EastPoint Park.</p> <p>Within this several kilometre stretch, that makes an access point approximately every 3 kilometres. That is plenty!</p>	Access
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>We don't even have that many access points from East Point Park to the Port Union Waterfront which is approximately the same distance from Bluffers to East Point Park.</p>	Access
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>I realize that you want to create a waterfront haven for ALL. There are plenty of access points for the elderly or disabled to access the waterfront. We don't necessarily have to adjust all the wonders of the world to accommodate for ALL. When I myself get to the point that perhaps I need to ride a scooter or wheel myself in a wheelchair, never would I expect that all green spaces be accessible to myself. My elderly mother loved walking along the sandy beaches that I am talking about. Later when stricken with arthritis, she thoroughly enjoyed riding her scooter along the lakefront from accessible areas such as Petticoat Creek or Bluffers Park. She was dismayed to hear the rumours many years ago about paving the waterfront. Surely some natural areas are intended to be left as are. Should we design ways for ALL to access the Grand Canyon? Do we need to make paved pathways along Hawaii's pristine beaches so ALL have accessibility? Do we need to adjust ALL natural wonder to make access points for ALL?</p>	Accessibility (AODA)
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>We do not need 10 deep artificial water headlands that would replace our unique, pristine, sandy shoreline....</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	The beautiful stretch of unspoiled beach should remain unscathed from the MTRCA's ideas of "improving the natural environment of the area" Let me reiterate, there is NOTHING to improve. Leave the beach as nature has intended it and as MTRCA's mandate dictates....preserve and conserve!	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	There simply are places that need to be left UNTOUCHED! The beach below the bluffs is one of those places that needs to be left untouched!	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	On another note, MTRCA should consider the wide array of wildlife that exists in the area. Surely the animals such as deer, coyotes, racoon etc., find it difficult to access water along the artificial cobblestone beaches.	Ecology
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	One might argue that erosion control is necessary here. These beaches are wide in expanse, I have walked them for over 40 years and have not seen significant erosion here. This is not a residential area where homeowners may worry about their homes.	Erosion
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	The deep water stone walls that have been constructed in the past remain barren and void of vegetation. They appear far from natural. The walls built at the Port Union Waterfront are the same.	Existing Conditions
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	The city workers continue to slash any vegetation that may crop up on the waterside of the trail, eliminating any prospective growth of vegetation that may give relief on a hot summer day.	Existing Conditions
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	I have noticed that these walls allow for a much greater accumulation of algae along the shoreline as the algae remains trapped in between the walls and has nowhere to flow. The stench in the summer can be horrific! The new pier built at Frenchman's Bay is doing the exact same thing. The once pristine beach now has huge amounts of algae accumulating along that corner. There is nowhere for this algae to flow... it gets trapped.	Existing Conditions
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	not to mention, senselessly toss out millions of dollars of tax payers dollars.	Funding/Cost
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	The MTRCA's mandate I thought was to preserve and conserve.	Mandate
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	You encourage the transformation to a 'green' economy within the city. How is this consistent with the tampering of a natural wonder.	Mandate
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	Your recent article in the July 2016 Bluffs Monitor caught my eye. After reading the article I have to say that I was very much disappointed with the fact that you want to 'create a waterfront haven for all'. First of all I would like to agree with you that the Scarborough Bluffs are "a wondrous natural jewel". Along with the Scarborough Bluffs lies a pristine stretch of unspoiled beachfront, that makes this area a marvel. Your title states that you wish to "create a waterfront haven for all". Let me assure you, there is absolutely nothing to create as nature has already created it's best! You can't get any better than this natural preserve of land and beach. It is absolutely breathtaking!	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	MTRCA needs to protect and respect the natural features of the area, as your article states.	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2016	PIC 2 Email	If one wishes to connect to the 'Waterfront Trail', one can use the side roads of Coronation St or Copperfield Rd. to access the rest of the trail. One can also access a trail running parallel to the train tracks, off Morningside Ave., that connects to Copperfield Rd.	Comment on Alternative
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	My understanding of what I have read is that the preferred plan for the Scarborough Waterfront Project is to get rid of our beach here in Guildwood (and beyond). To do this would be such a great loss. I enjoy going down to the lakeshore throughout the year to see the ever-changing beach and the variety of wildlife that lives there. I fear this will be lost if the beach is destroyed. Would it be so terrible if people had to ride their bikes to the trail along the tracks to get to East Point in order to allow our piece of nature to remain as it is?	Beach

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Where will all the garbage go? Since September 2013, I have coordinated shoreline cleanups, as part of the Great Canadian Shoreline Cleanup, at Guild Park. We cleanup along the Lake Ontario waterfront at Guild Park and to the western part of the beach. Most of what is found is pieces of plastic, glass or foam. In less than three years, our cleanups have picked up more than 21,500 pieces smaller than 2.54 cm (1 inch) and almost 5,000 larger pieces of trash.</p> <p>Whatever plan is chosen, I do hope that you consider having sufficient garbage & recycling containers and schedule regular, timely pickups. Some numbers of what has been found on our shoreline cleanups:</p> <p>more than 2,000 beverage bottles & cans, 29 tetra paks, 19 juice pouches, 600 paper, foam, or plastic cups & plates, 1,550 straws & stirrers, 560 pieces of plastic cutlery, 460 plastic lids, 5,400 bottle caps, 1,500 food wrappers, 100 take out containers, 425 plastic & paper bags, and 142 fireworks. Some of these items have been placed on the beaches and by the water by Mother Nature; the rest, have been left behind by visitors.</p>	Park Amenities
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	Perhaps better accessibility could be achieved by improved access at the foot of Beechgrove Dr down from the parking area, as was once considered when the Port Union Trail was being put in.	Access
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	Surely there are methods of protecting the natural sandy beach in the area that could be employed and keep the area safe for walkers and bikers while still making it handicapped accessible.	Accessibility (AODA)
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	While costs may increase beyond where TRCA has estimated for the trail through East Point Park, the area would have the best of both worlds a water's edge trail and a beautiful natural park. This would enhance the vision of a true waterfront trail and a great city.	Comment on Alternative
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	I do not agree with putting the trail through East Point Park which would require the removal of many trees and the disruption of wild life and birds.	Ecology
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	The bank swallows would be disturbed, I believe, by the cutting of a switch back up the bluffs to reach the top.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	Some costs are worth it!!!!	Funding/Cost
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	If the trail is put through East Point Park, there will still be those who will try to get to the water's edge putting themselves and EMS personnel at risk.	Safety
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	I have always thought that the Waterfront Trail envisioned by the Federal and Provincial governments (and myself) was a water's edge trail. This already exists in the Port Union area and in Pickering. This should be continued in the area from Bluffers Park to Port Union Rouge Beach.	Comment on Alternative
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	Please leave East Point Park alone. It is true natural park.	Comment on Alternative
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	I hope the right decision is made to continue the water's edge for all to enjoy all the way from Etobicoke to Pickering.	Comment on Alternative
7/10/2016	PIC 2 Email	I am a resident of the East Point Park area and want to let you know that I prefer and feel that the best option is to have a water's edge trail at the bottom of the Bluffs. I believe that the only way to really enjoy or experience the water's edge IS to be actually on the water's edge, not looking down from a cliff.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	I was unable to attend the June 28th meeting. I feel very strongly that removing trees to create a trail system is NOT appropriate when the far better option of a trail at the water's ledge at the bottom of the bluffs is a valid and far preferred option. I am NOT in support of the TRCA top of bank bluff option.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	I understand the people wish to have access to the shoreline. In this case they already do - and many people at this moment are taking the opportunity to enjoy this natural shoreline in its pristine state. Access is not an issue here. People are walking the shores, swimming, paragliding, and enjoying the peace and tranquility of what such natural beauty has to offer - in a manner that is having little environmental impact.	Access

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	So much natural shoreline has been lost already. This is the last significant natural and undeveloped shoreline left in the Greater Toronto Area. We have no right to destroy what future generations should also consider their right to enjoy what nature has created.	Beach
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	This is an open response to the recent Scarborough Waterfront Development proposals of the TRCA (Toronto Regional and Conservation Authority), to link up the various segments of the East Scarborough lakeshore paths into one continuous bike and pedestrian path. I am deeply disturbed that with so much environmental destruction taking place around us that the TRCA is determined to go ahead with this extensive alteration of the Scarborough shoreline. Make no mistake, this is a massive construction project that will significantly affect the existing areas where shoreline hardening has taken place in the past, and completely destroy the sandy natural shoreline stretching from the foot of Grey Abby towards East Point.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	Stop this Scarborough Waterfront Development Projection and redirect your resources into conserving what little we have left and create nature awareness initiatives so that our society might be enriched by the experience and pride of protecting this precious natural treasure.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	However, this is not the main point I am concerned about. It is the unnecessary destruction and degradation of our environment for our short sighted idea of human recreation. Projects of this form should no longer take place at the expense of the wildlife and vegetation. A shoreline is part of a continuous link between aquatic and terrestrial habitats. Its natural state is necessary for the ebb and flow of life to exist and is an integral part of a larger ecosystem whose full flourishing and health ultimately supports all human life.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	It has long been my desire to have EASY ACCESSIBILITY TO THE WATER'S EDGE from Bluffer's Park to Highland Creek.	Accessibility (AODA)
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	including provisions for the physically handicapped.	Accessibility (AODA)
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	Thank you for holding a public meeting on 28 June to discuss the water's edge from Bluffer's Park to Rouge Park in the east. The presentation, particularly the question and answer portion, was very informative.	Consultation
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	Our current councillor is very receptive to the Water's Edge Trail. On Copperfield Rd. there is the main entrance to Rohm and Haas (Dow Chemical) at the foot of Manse Rd. They have a railroad spur line off the main line for the movement of chemical tank cars across Copperfield Rd. into the Rohm and Haas plant siding. There are also several large tank trucks (some pulling extra tankers) crossing Copperfield Rd. at Manse Rd. Many of these vehicles and rail cars are loaded with CHEMICALS. Metolinks are proposing to build another rail track along side the existing tracks that will cross Manse Rd. within a short distance from the Rohm and Haas plant front gate. The purpose of this is to increase the GO line traffic which will reduce the use of the Manse Road crossing creating problems for vehicles and pedestrians. There is also the traffic in and out of FJ Horgan Filtration Plant and the (Morrish) Regional Sports Facility on Copperfield Rd. All of this information illustrates the danger in using Copperfield Rd. as part of the Trail System. I am confident that you are aware of these dangers but others may not be.	Existing Conditions
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	This has been a matter of discussion with our former councillor and a local Parks Supervisor who is now retired. I was always told the trail would travel along Copperfield Road which I found most disturbing.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	I support a Trail along the water's edge continuous from Bluffer's Park to Highland Creek without going through East Point Park. The Trail should connect with the Port Union Waterfront Trail	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	The armored shoreline that's replaced the natural beach in below much of Guildwood is a perfect example of what NOT do to a shoreline. I understand that it was done to combat erosion and it does provide much needed access to the shoreline, but that doesn't mean the same should be done to little natural shoreline remaining!	Beach
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	In addition to the destruction of natural beach, I'm also concerned that the TRCA's plans are destined to destroy the bluffs as they've existed for the past 10,000 years.	Bluff Geology
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	I'm writing to voice my shock and dismay at the prospect of the TRCA's plan to irrevocably destroy the last stretch of natural beach along the Scarborough bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	I urge you to reject the headland beach "most favored option" and reconsider nature. Paved paths along the lakeshore make sense in some locations, but not at the base of this natural wonder! Rethink this and preserve what's left of the beach in its natural state!	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	Erosion will continue "for the foreseeable future" but we can already see the erosion slowing to the point that the bluffs are becoming slopes, rather than cliffs. Nowhere is this more evident than the contrast at Bluffer's park, where a very small segment of the shore remains as it was -- where the lake comes right up to vertical bluffs, contrasted to the rest of the shore to the east, where the bluffs are now becoming 45 degree slopes.	Erosion
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	Again, I understand the erosion concerns, particularly where homes exist at the top of the bluffs, but that's not the case everywhere.	Erosion
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	Destroying what's left of a natural beach in favor of a headland beach system and an accessible path seems to be 180 degrees removed from what a "conservation authority" should be doing.	Mandate
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	The TRCA should be considering themselves stewards of the land and the lakeshore, NOT engineers bent on remaking it as they best see fit.	Mandate
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	This natural sand shore is a treasure worth preserving for the benefit and value it can bring to many future generations into the next century. What could be more splendid than a natural sand beach along a fresh water lake, lined with ancient bluffs?	Beach
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	This letter is in response to the recent presentation of preferred alternatives for the Scarborough Waterfront Project. I understand your mandate of increased access and enhancing the experience of nature in urban settings and I'm sure the Waterfront Project has good intentions. The shores of Lake Ontario are a tremendous natural asset and one that should be enjoyed by all. What I am concerned about is your complete disregard when considering a less destructive option for the eastern section from Grey Abbey to East Point Park. Your choice of shoreline hardening is not the best treatment for this area and it is very disturbing that this option is even being considered by an organization that is a public authority on conservation.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	The outcome of this option will have far reaching negative impacts on the natural environment (animal habitat, access to water, bird migration, nesting colonies and lake ecology), recreation and cultural history.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	Please go back to the drawing board and find new ways to enhance access.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	I urge you to leave this shoreline in it's natural state.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	While I recognize that not all people will share the same view, I would like to point out an interesting observation that I discovered when talking to people since first learning about this project earlier this year. The majority of people that support your view of a hardened shoreline in place of the natural sand beach are people that have either completely misunderstood your project or they are people that want the shoreline altered as a means to an end; essentially they want to use the paved road as a way to get from point A to point B. My question is, if that is the incentive behind your project, then how is that addressing the objectives of your mandate?	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	The 3 km natural shoreline of the east segment as it exists today far surpasses any shoreline in the Toronto area as it not only provides a multitude of valuable uses to the general public but it also provides a healthy natural habitat for animals, birds and fish along the shore.	Ecology
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	In addition to the obvious value as a natural environment, the 3 km sand beach has extensive value to the public. In my first hand experience, here is what I have observed along the shores of the east segment over the past couple of years. the area is well used by the public in all seasons, days of the week and time of day public of all ages and demographics both local and from outside the city use the area recreational activities that I have seen along the shore include walking, jogging, hiking, dog walking, swimming, sunbathing, picnicking, camping, boating, kayaking, and paragliding the shoreline in it's natural state allows for a meaningful connection with the water and in addition to recreational activities, it encourages passive activities such as quiet contemplation and reflection the natural beauty of this landscape has been an attraction for photographers, videographers and local artists that continue to visit the area for inspiration bird watchers and nature enthusiasts visit this area from across the city the geological features of the bluffs along the full stretch of this shore offer a cultural and historical look back in time to the last ice age	Existing Conditions
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	This 12,000 year old heritage shoreline in it's natural state, is a regional treasure and one that the general public recognizes as an area worth preserving. The shoreline in its natural state as it is today is in perfect balance with nature and when looking at the value it has to people, it far surpasses the objectives of your project.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	Or better yet, go to East Point Park, walk down to the lake and take a stroll along the shore. You will see first hand that this natural area is perfect just the way it is!	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	PIC 2 Email	On Sun. afternoon, July 10, I led a group of 14 hikers on a hike from the Guild park east along the lakeshore past Eastpoint to approx. 1 km. west of Highland Creek. We passed a few swimmers and many sunbathers enjoying the natural sandy beach on a warm sunny Sunday afternoon. That sandy beach should be preserved so we can continue to enjoy it the way it is.	Beach
7/11/2016	Email	I would like to reiterate my comments regarding the proposal to build a roadway on the beach around the East Point. I am a biker and use the Copperfield route along with all other bikers that access it from Greyabbey Trail Park. This route saves the beaches.	Beach
7/11/2016	Email	People are satisfied with the present erosion control along Guildwood but are concerned with the loss of an area that is not only aesthetically pleasing but provide city dwellers with a walk on quiet beaches.	Beach
7/11/2016	Email	If the new MetroLinx plans interfere with the Copperfield route south of the railway then a viable alternative that can still follow the lake is to run your roadway along the beach past Rohm and Haas and the Water Treatment Plant until you get to the western end of East Point Park. Then run a switchback path up a ravine there much like you did with Bellamy. The trail can then continue on the top of the cliffs and the beach is preserved.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2016	Email	Natural erosion maintaining what is left of the bluffs can occur at East Point without endangering property.	Erosion
7/11/2016	Email	The mandate of the Authority I believe is to manage and conserve. In this case conservation of this ancient geological feature for future generations to enjoy should be our goal.	Mandate

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>3. We support improved access, but only when that means celebrating and respecting the integrity of the Bluffs</p> <p>Population growth combined with growing interest in waterfront activities means that more people are going to try to visit the Bluffs. In the last decade, the number of Ontarians visiting the water nearly doubled. Defining where and how people can access the Bluffs and the shoreline below is the key question for the SWP design.</p> <p>By improving access to the Bluffs, we can make it easier and safer for people to learn about, enjoy, and appreciate the area's unique natural features. Of the 9 separate parks currently dotting the Bluffs escarpment, only one has public access to the lake <insert link: http://www1.toronto.ca/wps/portal/contentonly?vgnextoid=0519dada600f0410VgnVCM10000071d60f89RCRD> . As a result, people climb down the Bluffs wherever they can, becoming trapped or injured and harming the Bluffs in the process.</p> <p>To highlight the natural value of the region, we envision a "Bluffs Nature Park" a park featuring improved access to clean water, educational opportunities, and sustainable development and use. If development undermines the ecological integrity of the Bluffs, then it will also undermine the enjoyment people have the area as much.</p>	Access
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>The TRCA's stated vision is to create a system of greenspaces along the shoreline that respects and protects the Bluffs, enhances the terrestrial and aquatic habitat, and provides safe and enjoyable waterfront experiences.</p> <p>Because this is the first phase of the assessment process, Waterkeeper's comments are focused on the overarching purpose and direction of the project.</p> <p>1. The Scarborough Bluffs are natural treasures</p> <p>The Bluffs on Lake Ontario are iconic geological features. Formed over 12,000 years ago, these giant glacial deposits stretch over 15 kilometres of shoreline in Toronto's east end. They dominate the shoreline and provide us with unique highquality exposure of Pleistocene geology <link to: https://trca.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/ScarboroughShorelineFebruary2012.pdf>. Nowhere else in Toronto is such a large area of coastal habitat endowed with such biological and geological diversity, and scientifically recognized natural features.</p>	Bluff Geology
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>The best way Waterkeeper knows to move forward is the following:</p> <p>1. Ensure that the natural integrity of the Bluffs is respected and maintained?</p>	Bluff Geology
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>2. Understand that TRCA is creating a type of nature park, not simply a path or trail, and that the character of this "park" needs to be every bit as inspiring and valuable as the Bluffs themselves?</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Postproject monitoring should continue for at least two years as well, to understand the impact of the project on water quality.</p>	Construction & Implementation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Waterkeeper also notes that the proposal for the East Segment has been controversial. There are concerns that the project work here will harm, rather than protect, the integrity of the Bluffs and the shoreline below. These concerns are valid. As stated by the TRCA in 2012 <link to: https://trca.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/ScarboroughShorelineFebruary2012.pdf > , "further hardening should be restricted to areas where it is absolutely necessary for erosion protection. Natural shoreline conditions and beach habitats should be maintained, for example, across much of the eastern part of the study area (e.g. East Point Park and vicinity)."	Ecology
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	The Bluffs that we know are eroding, partially due to weather and partially due to land use and development in the area. Waterkeeper recognizes that intervention may be required to protect the Bluffs and offers this guiding principle: Any project proposed for the Bluffs region should, first and foremost, protect and celebrate the ecological integrity <link to: http://www.pc.gc.ca/eng/progs/np-pn/ie-ei.aspx > of the bluffs.	Erosion
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	2. The Scarborough Waterfront Project is a onceinageneration opportunity - Think Hopewell Rocks The Hopewell Rocks <link to: http://www.thehopewellrocks.ca/ > are celebrated iconic landmarks in New Brunswick. Like the Bluffs, Hopewell Rocks are eroding with each powerful tide in the Bay of Fundy <link to: http://www.novascotia.com/explore/top-25/bay-of-fundy >. While the rocks remain, they are flagship destinations for tourists and residents alike. Visitors experience the wondrous and evanescent sight of these rocks from the ocean floor. They also have ample opportunity to learn about water and land with educational programs, activities, and informative park signage. Officials in New Brunswick have done a wonderful job using the beauty of Hopewell Rocks to promote tourism, regional pride, and improve residents' knowledge of their local environment. Given the need for water literacy <link to: http://www.waterkeeper.ca/blog/2016/6/29/canadians-love-their-waterways-but-is-love-enough-to-protect-them > in Toronto, Waterkeeper sees a onceinageneration opportunity to protect the Bluffs and promote environmental education and ecotourism at the same time.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	3. Take advantage of this opportunity to educate people about the magic of the Bluffs region and this stretch of Lake Ontario?	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	4. Improve and safeguard water quality More people coming to the shore means more people will be using the lake. Swimmers, paddlers, surfers, and others won't stop at the trail edge. When they get to this beautiful nature park, the water that greets them needs to be clean.	Water Quality
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	While there are no official city beaches in this stretch of waterfront, Waterkeeper knows that people use the beach to access the lake. Recreational water quality is not regularly tested or communicated to the public.	Water Quality

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	This should change, for two reasons. First, when the waterfront is made more accessible and more attractive, more people will start using the lake. The plan should account for increased recreational water use now, and ensure that development activities take advantage of this opportunity to improve or protect water quality.	Water Quality
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Second, certain project designs could actually lead to water quality problems, for example by interfering with natural water flows, creating stagnant areas, etc.	Water Quality
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Good water quality must be an important project goal, to protect the health of future park users and to ensure that the project remains worthwhile. It would be a shame to invest in this project without prioritizing water quality, only to discover in a few years' time that water along the most beautiful stretch of natural shoreline in the city has been irreparably compromised. Improved water quality is not currently an objective or even a criteria of the SWP. Instead, the current SWP objective is Protect and enhance terrestrial and aquatic natural features and linkages and its one water qualityrelated criterion is the following: "Ability to contribute to improved water quality following objective" (SWP, 2016 <link to: http://www.trca.on.ca/trca-user-uploads/EvaluationCriteria_website.pdf >). But we need more than just the ability to contribute? we need improved water quality to be the goal.	Water Quality
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	In order to support this objective, Waterkeeper recommends that extensive water quality sampling along the proposed area of shoreline be performed to establish baseline data. Without baseline water quality data, it will be difficult for the EA process to function properly. Baseline data will allow for a better understanding of the potential impacts of the proposed alterations.	Water Quality
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	4. Ensure that water quality is protected or improved, because people are going to go in the lake and they are going to need the water to be clean. If those principles are followed, the final project design will be fantastic.	Water Quality
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Conclusion Waterkeeper believes that smart planning in Toronto along Lake Ontario considers water quality and access to water. These two considerations are key to boosting prosperity, mitigating negative environmental implications, and increasing climate resilience . To protect our city, we must connect with our water and this means that projects such as the SWP must support quality access to quality water in Lake Ontario. Think water quality. Think recreational water use. Think Bluffs Nature Park.	Water Quality
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Do not destroy the homes of the swallows or disturb their habitat. They are on the decline.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Please keep the waterfront trail natural from east point to bluffer s park.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	We feel that the access route that currently exists at the bottom of Beechgrove Rd. could be graded to make it easier to access the beach trail or the park.	Access
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	If a switchback were to be built, we assume it would have to be at a low grade and would therefore be a massive structure. Even at a low grade it would be difficult for strollers, bicycles, and people with disability vehicles. We assume it would also need safety netting or sides which would make the bluffs a real eyesore.	Accessibility (AODA)
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	We don't want to disturb or change East Point Park by having a trail going through it. The wildlife, trees and foliage should not be disturbed by building a trail through it.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	The noise from constant human traffic with bicycles, strollers etc would also not be conducive to keeping it a natural park.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Having the access route <at bottom of Bluffs> would allow those who want to enjoy the beauty and serenity of the park to access it, while giving those who want to be at the water the opportunity to stay at the water's edge. We feel this solution would provide the best of both worlds.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	We do not like the idea of defacing the bluffs with the building of a switchback.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Although we do not know the details of what it would do to the bluffs, we feel that it would disturb the soundness of the bluffs	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	and disturb the swallows that live in the ground at the top.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	We also feel it would be make it difficult for emergency personnel to help a person in difficulty quickly.	Safety
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	We are long time residents in the East Point Park area. Our vision of the Waterfront Trail is to have a continuous beach/water's edge trail from Etobicoke to Pickering. We feel the trail should be at the BOTTOM of the bluffs at the water's edge at East Point Park. Our vision is a continuous beach/water's edge trail with the access route to East Point Park at the bottom of Beechgrove Road.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Cyclists will already be at the top to pass the Cathedral Bluffs so could stay up there until the next pathway down. Pedestrians may follow the same route, though granted it would be less attractive.	Access
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	There are four areas where access is already done or in progress. These ways down are welcome to stay as any harm to flora and fauna has essentially already taken place and they do allow public access to the wonderful shoreline. All seem to need improvement so I would approve of work being done to make these functional.	Access
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Gates Gully may be a problem. Could very narrow ways for each can be created?	Access
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Access to most of the shore exists now, although it does not provide a continuous path from Beechgrove to Bluffer's park.	Access
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Therefore beaches should be left natural	Beach
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	First, I believe the Bluffers Beach should be left alone for many reasons.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I heard that part of the rebetment below Rosetta Park has been washed out. If so, the ongoing power of the lake to ruin some of the constructions should be carefully considered, both from the initial costs and future maintenance costs.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I strongly question why the natural beach west of East Point Park needs rebetments? If needed, can a pathway be created at the north edge of this beach?	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I am so happy that East Point Park, the current bird sanctuary, will be kept natural and free of rebetments!	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Please consider a "do nothing" area here.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Doubling the Bluffers Park Beach is being considered. I saw in a programme that sand is a special commodity and environmentally sensitive areas are being destroyed to get it. As a conservation group, I hope TRCA is considering this point. <name> was on a team for, I believe Ashbridges Bay development, and learned a lot about movement of sand. I gather movement of the sand by the lake is a major concern so why spend time and money on this?	Construction & Implementation
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	How will construction affect the infrastructure already in place along the 11 km?	Construction & Implementation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	We were told on the fish research boat outing that there are many drains and conduits (the correct term for conduit escapes me) along the way and the Grey Abbey lady broke a big one when she dumped the rocks over the cliff. How many drains are there? Would they be damaged or rebuilt in areas being repaired or constructed?	Construction & Implementation
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I include comments from <name> , who is on holiday: It seems that the conflict in the room at the last public meeting was between those pushing to prevent a trail going along the beach in east point and Ron Moeser who wants the trail to go along the beach.	Consultation
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I worry about the term "habitat restoration" in your written material. Again, I feel the focus is always on fish, with some wet land along the pathways.	Ecology
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I would appreciate seeing more focus on doing absolutely minimal impact on all flora and fauna, thus less or minimal construction.	Ecology
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I think TFN wants both safe access (but is willing to accept more risk than the TRCA is) and protection of the flora and fauna.	Ecology
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	But I think we need to push protection of flora and fauna and natural beaches to counter the views of the local Councillor.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	On this basis, I think my comments would be: Protection of flora and fauna should be the overriding goal	ESAs/Species Protection
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	All alternatives proposed would increase traffic through, and damage to, sensitive environments in East Point park and turn significant stretches of natural shoreline into very artificial shoreline to the detriment of the flora and fauna that lives there now.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Second, the Cudia stretch is cliff meeting water. Why disturb this beautiful area which can be seen by going west from the Gates Gully access?	Funding/Cost
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I think Stakeholders should be given detailed information on the projects costs and land acquisition specifics, such as how far along are negotiations at this point and what is ahead. We need them to make an educated input on value for cost at the meetings. I noted all projects mentioned on June 28th were high cost. What are the benefits that justify this?	Funding/Cost
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Now that we are far more aware of the care we all must take of the environment for us and for future generations, can the projected construction be justified?	Miscellaneous
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Please review my e-mail of June 8th, points three, four and five. <See comment 738 - pt abt fish habitat, East Point Park, TRCA's report>	Miscellaneous
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	What cultural heritage is being protected?	Miscellaneous
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I have had much to think about. Why must the plans from 1967 be honoured? Did they insist that the entire shoreline be used? Did they expect hard core additions that project into the lake?	Process
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Could a boating company offer short shoreline trips to admire these unspoiled cliffs?	Park Amenities
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	with separate paths for pedestrians and bikes on all.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I feel we have safe access to all we want now, even if it doesn't allow us to walk along the shore from East point to the Guild, or from Gates gully to Bluffer's park. Unfortunately that would put us outside the terms of reference.	Safety
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Must there be a link all the way at the foot?	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>A number of participants at the public meeting also expressed a strong interest in increasing accessibility to the waterfront, particularly making the access points more pedestrian-friendly and improving TTC access to this part of Toronto's waterfront. One aspect of the mission of the Toronto Field Naturalists is to help people enjoy Toronto's natural heritage. Enhancement of access at the four points identified at the public meeting and a road/trail at the base for some of the length of the study area would enable appreciation of this significant land form and the opportunity to observe unusual species such as nesting bank swallows, a species at risk. A continuous road/trail at the foot of the bluffs (especially with the groyne extensions) detracts from the natural appearance of the bluffs and is undesirable.</p> <p>Therefore, I cautiously support the TRCA compromise which places a road/trail at the base of the bluffs from Bluffer's Park to Glen Abbey and a trail at the top of the bluffs from Glen Abbey east (Alternatives #5B for the West Segment, #1 for the Central Segment, #4B for the East Segment). This compromise provides a continuous publically accessible trail along the waterfront, while preserving the beach and bluffs at East Point in a more natural state.</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	and so I would support the TRCA identification of the "most preferred" overall alternatives as those that provided the strongest support for the protection and enhancement of natural features:	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Overall, I was impressed by the poster presentation of alternatives for each of the shoreline segments and the evaluation of alternatives using the assessment criteria.	Consultation
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	As an example of the kind of problem that will be created, the road already at the base of the bluffs at the Bluffer's Park beach has created an ad hoc wetland as water seeps out of the bluffs and washes across the road which partly acts as a dam. The invasive reed grass Phragmites has been quick to colonize these wetlands, forming a much degraded habitat from the perspective of birds and other animals using them.	Ecology
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Therefore, my questions are:</p> <p>1. I haven't yet seen the 2012 TRCA assessment of the natural heritage systems found along the Scarborough Bluffs. Will this made available to the public as a basis for determining the best course for protection, especially for achieving the right balance with access?</p>	Ecology
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	The perspective of the TFN is that the first criterion, "Protection and enhancement of terrestrial and aquatic natural features and linkages" should take precedence in evaluation,	ESAs/Species Protection
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	As you know the City of Toronto's Planning Department has designated four Environmentally Significant Areas that overlap with the study area: Scarborough Bluff Sequence ESA (# 68), Bellamy Ravine/ Sylvan Park (ESA #4), Guild Woods (ESA #35) and East Point (ESA # 25). These four areas were identified as having any of the following characteristics: habitat for vulnerable, rare, threatened or endangered plant and/or animal species; rare, unusual or high quality land forms created by geomorphological processes; habitats or communities of large size or unusually high diversity; or areas where ecological function contributes to the maintenance of a healthy ecosystem such as a migratory stopover site or water storage area. In addition, East Point is a candidate for an Area of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI) at a regional level. At the public meeting on June 28, there was very strong sentiment to protect the natural heritage of these features. I believe that leaving the bluffs and beach in a natural state is the best way to protect the fauna and flora of these ESAs.	ESAs/Species Protection

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	My primary concern is that the emphasis has been on physical infrastructure for the project, with very little information on how natural features will be protected and enhanced. The cost of the alternatives above is generally higher than other alternatives and I am concerned that too much of the budget will be spent on construction with little left over for enhancement of habitat or stewardship once the project is built.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	2. What measures will be taken to protect and enhance the natural features of East Point along the new public access trail?	ESAs/Species Protection
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	As the TRCA well knows, increased walking, bicycling and dog traffic impacts trail erosion, soil compaction, and the spread of invasive plants.	ESAs/Species Protection
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	3. What measures will be taken to protect terrestrial habitats along the Glen Abbey section of the trail where significant geomorphological features will be altered from the present state and shoreline habitat destroyed?	ESAs/Species Protection
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	4. Will the proposed project include funding for stewardship work during and in the years following construction? This is particularly important for establishing native plants and preventing the spread of invasives.	Funding/Cost
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I am writing as the current president of the Toronto Field Naturalists. We are an all-volunteer charitable organization with 700 members that has been active in Toronto since 1923. Our mission is to connect people with nature in the Toronto area and to help people understand, enjoy and protect Toronto's green spaces and the species that inhabit them. Anne Leon is the TFN representative on the Scarborough Waterfront Stakeholders Advisory Committee and I believe that she is providing her feedback separately. I attended the June 28 public meeting and am sending my comments, based on what I heard at that meeting, along with a few questions.	Miscellaneous
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	I just want to reiterate, I hope there are plenty of spots along the trail where we can put our feet into the water without breaking our neck to get to it. Hope you will keep this in mind.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	The proposed alternative will also support increased and enhanced access to the adjacent beach experience for all the citizens of Scarborough, East York and Toronto made possible by the larger beach area.	Access
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	We likewise support the introduction of public transportation options to enable safer and greater access to the beach.	Access
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	We recognize the parking constraints at Bluffers Park and the resulting number of adults and children who therefore must walk up and down the hill to access the park and the possibilities for injury this present.	Brimley Road
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	We, the Bluffers Park Boating Federation Gap Depth Committee, on behalf of our member sailing and boating clubs, strongly support the TRCA's selection of West Segment Alternative 5B-Beach expansion (wide), for further design development. This alternative has numerous benefits.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	The proposed headland will drastically reduce the silting of the entrance to Bluffers Basin, and mitigate the ongoing risk of groundings and personal injury to both basin-based, and visiting boaters.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Boats continue to aground in the gap, even within the buoyed, navigational channel. The cost of the now almost annual dredging that is required to maintain depth in the gap as per contractual agreement will be drastically reduced or eliminated with the reduced silting envisioned if this alternative is pursued.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	As taxpayers as well as users of the Scarborough waterfront, we are conscious of this annual cost and welcome the proposed headland as a more viable long term solution.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	The headland would also create additional shelter from wind and waves for vessels that cannot enter the harbour owing to mechanical failures or onboard emergencies.	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	We thank you for the opportunity to respond to the proposal and look forward to further developments of this file.	Process

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	The TRCA has also been made aware of a petition that opposes the practice of further shoreline hardening in relation to Scarborough's remaining beaches. (I am advised that there are over 550 signatures online and in handwritten form to date). <Link to petition: https://www.change.org/p/east-point-shoreline-and-bluffer-s-beach-keep-our-shorelines-natural-waterfront-trca-on-ca >	Beach
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	COMMENTS 1. The public's viewpoint The petition noted above and other comment received by the TRCA, both in advance of June 28, 2016 and at the meeting, indicates that a vast and growing number Torontonians have no appetite for obliterating a natural beach in favour of an elevated roadway of "clean fill" and armour rock.	Beach
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	It is true, the opposite viewpoint (paving the beach) was uttered by some who attended the June 28, 2016 meeting. It is my respectful view however that public opinion should not be the ultimate driver of the matter of whether the beach is destroyed or saved. A matter as serious as the destruction of the majority of the last remaining natural beach on the mainland of Toronto should not come down to a popularity contest between the parties who would save it and those who would destroy the beach.	Beach
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	3. Conclusion Mr. Worrell, it is inimical to the public interest to destroy the beach when alternatives exist to remediate it (if indeed remediation is even necessary).	beach
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	History shows that the natural environment never wins, that wildlife never wins, and that people never win when our natural beaches are destroyed.	Beach
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	Please do the right thing and save this beach.	Beach
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	In this era of climate change, and with the effects of global warming all around us, we need twenty-first century solutions to waterfront development.	Climate Change
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	2. The real issue In my view, the real issue to be decided is whether the process of shoreline hardening proposed by the TRCA will cause fundamental and irreversible damage to the shore and near shore areas of the subject area. If serious environmental damage is the likely result, the further issue is whether the TRCA is justified in committing such harm to the natural environment.	Ecology
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	a) Fundamental and irreversible damage The environmental damage caused by shoreline hardening is well recognized, including the destruction and/or displacement of local vegetation and animal life, and increases in local water turbidity, see: www.torontonaturalshorelines.ca/#facts-on-shoreline-hardening/poll6a	Ecology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>The Scarborough Shoreline: Terrestrial Biological Inventory and Assessment is a detailed and considered report, authored by the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority in 2012. In the Recommendations, the report recognizes the rich biodiversity of the Scarborough Shore and explicitly calls for its protection as a, "natural heritage system" within the City of Toronto:</p> <p>5.2, Site Recommendations, p. 28,</p> <p>In order to maintain a healthy level of biodiversity at the Scarborough Shoreline, the overall integrity of the natural heritage system that includes the site must be protected.</p> <p>1. The first priority should be to focus on maintaining conditions that allow existing communities or species of conservation concern to thrive. This is especially true of East Point Park, and also the beach habitats that are threatened by shoreline hardening</p> <p>The Recommendations also recognize the ill effects of shoreline hardening and state that the practice should be severely curtailed. Further, the natural shoreline conditions and beach habitat at East Point Park and vicinity should be largely maintained:</p> <p>c. Shoreline hardening has been necessary to protect property (and tableland habitat) from erosion along much of the Scarborough Shoreline. Further hardening should be restricted to areas where it is absolutely necessary for erosion protection. Natural shoreline conditions and beach habitats should be maintained, for example, across much of the eastern part of the study area (e.g. East Point Park and vicinity).</p>	Ecology
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>At the June 28th meeting, the TRCA put forward the concern of erosion, and the dangers that the natural process presents to the public, as the primary reason(s) for the removal of the beach. (It is interesting and somewhat curious to note that the TRCA does not seem to have the same concern about erosion with respect to the portion of the beach they intend to save).</p>	Erosion
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>If erosion is indeed a legitimate and pressing concern, there are innovative and creative ways of addressing its harmful effects that do not involve the destruction the beach.</p>	Erosion
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>For example, please consider the approach proposed by the TRCA in the West Segment at Bluffer's Park.</p> <p>Bluffer's Park is just to the east of the highest point of the Scarborough Bluffs, where the cliffs rise to well over 200 feet. (East Point and vicinity are near the termination point of the geological feature of the Scarborough Bluffs, and the cliffs are far lower in height, and accordingly, less dangerous).</p>	Erosion
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>At the eastern portion of the shore at Bluffer's Park, the lake laps the toe of the Bluffs, increasing the rate and severity of erosion, yet here the TRCA is proposing a widened sand beach, rather than the removal of the shore. (At East Point the TRCA proposes to destroy the beach, even though the Bluffs are well recessed from Lake Ontario and are not eroding into the lake).</p>	Erosion

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>The comments below are focused on the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority's proposed destruction of over half of the last remaining natural sand beach on the mainland of Toronto, from the Grey Abbey shore, eastward to the western boundary of East Point Park. This proposal was put forward at the public meeting held on June 28, 2016, at Blessed Cardinal Newman High School.</p> <p>The comments should be read with reference to my earlier submission to the TRCA, dated February 12, 2016, as well as the multiple reports, environmental designations and protections that are apposite to the subject area, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regeneration: Toronto's Waterfront and the Sustainable City: Final Report • Scarborough Waterfront Project, Environmental Assessment Terms of Reference (specifically see reference to the City of Toronto Official Plan that designates the Scarborough Shore as a Natural Heritage System, p. 42) • Scarborough Shoreline: Terrestrial Biological Inventory and Assessment, and • The ESA and ANSI designations applicable to subject area, as stated in the Scarborough Waterfront Project, Environmental Assessment Terms of Reference, 7.2.7, Significant Natural Areas, p. 20. 	Evaluation of Alternatives
7/12/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>b) Is the TRCA justified in destroying the shore?</p> <p>Nancy Gaffney, Waterfront Specialist at the TRCA, indicated at the June 28, 2016 meeting that, "the first objective" of the TRCA mandate is conservation. Given the TRCA's stated mandate of conservation and the obvious harm that would result from the ruination of the beach, what is the overriding reason presented for its destruction?</p>	Mandate
7/13/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>West Segment: The preferred plan here is to enlarge the beach and provide a boardwalk across the beach. I understand that this boardwalk would not be suitable for cyclists and that an additional trail behind the beach area would provide the bike path. While as a cyclist I would like to see the Waterfront Trail be improved as it passes through Scarborough. If it is to be mostly down by the water, I don't see any plans in this project to improve the access.</p>	Access
7/13/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>The same can be said about the road at the Guild Inn; steep and dangerous.</p>	Access
7/13/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>It suggests fortifications and does not provide easy access to the water.</p>	Access
7/13/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>I have walked the path along the top many times from Beechgrove Drive to the Guild inn. While I enjoy the natural condition I wish the path could be maintained at a higher standard. Especially in the spring that path is interrupted frequently by puddles and wet sections that could be eliminated with short sections of board walk or filling with a suitable material.</p>	Access
7/13/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>Finally there was some discussion of a small dock at Beechgrove. I understand that that is not going to happen but that the path from the parking lot down to the beach could be improved. My interest (I assume others share my feeling about this) is to get a kayak (or other small watercraft) to the water. To this end the path would need to have a compacted surface of some kind (it doesn't need to be paved) and not have sharp corners. A better path would help everyone wanting to get down to the beach.</p>	Access
7/13/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>I remember someone at the meeting spoke about rather than just providing more beach, that a separate smaller area of somewhat contained water (that would warm up) could be provided to ease access to the water for those who are physically challenged. I think particularly at the Bluffers Park end of the project this is an opportunity not to be overlooked.</p>	Accessibility (AODA)
7/13/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>The Brimley Road hill down to Bluffers Park is beyond many cyclists as it exists now.</p>	Brimley Road
7/13/2016	PIC 2 Email	<p>There may be plans to change that in the distant future but for the time being the Waterfront Trail should stay on the top of the bluffs.</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/13/2016	PIC 2 Email	Central Segment: Much of the work has been completed in the form of the major stone construction(is it called armored stone?). This is no doubt very effective at keeping the erosion of the bluffs under control. There is a lot of this stonework between Highland Creek and The Rouge. It may be effective but it is not very attractive.	Comment on Alternative
7/13/2016	PIC 2 Email	I would like see a more tempered approach when possible.	Comment on Alternative
7/13/2016	PIC 2 Email	Here the beach and the bluff top are relatively natural and I would hope they can stay that way.	Comment on Alternative
7/15/2016	Email	Although we are cyclists & walkers and like the concept of a safely walkable and cyclable beach level waterfront trail, we are also an environmentalists (as best as we can be in this modern world) and also would like to see as much of the natural beach preserved as possible. So, what we suggest is that the beach be left natural where it currently is natural	Beach
7/15/2016	Email	Looking forward to a balanced approach by TRCA in this matter.	Comment on Alternative
7/15/2016	Email	and that the paved trail be routed above the bluffs over to the Highland Creek junction with the Pam Trail. This will protect the cliff swallow habitat as well as leaving some natural legacy of the original beach environment.	Comment on Alternative
7/15/2016	Email	There is lots of scope in public land available for the trail to be above the beach in this area.	Comment on Alternative
7/20/2016	Email	We need a better way down the bluffs to the beach "safer" with a walk way for pedestrians and a bike path for cyclist , with a much improved road way .	Access
7/20/2016	Email	and the beaches are what the people want , not a trail .	beach
7/20/2016	Email	The Bluffs don't need anymore breakwater armour stone .	Comment on Alternative
7/20/2016	Email	Stop the project !	Comment on Alternative
7/20/2016	Email	Stop this project now	Comment on Alternative
7/20/2016	Email	Water cannot be tamed , Your armour stone is already falling apart at bluffers park west point and Lighthouse point . Fixing it every ten years does not make sense , costly tax payers money wasted .	Existing Conditions
7/20/2016	Email	Money better spent is what I want !	Funding/Cost
7/20/2016	Email	and put the money in the right spots.	Funding/Cost
7/20/2016	Email	Let it be , the sand coming off the bluffs is what formed the beaches along the shore	Comment on Alternative
7/20/2016	Email	The TRCA is spending money foolishly on controlling waterfront and is destroying the natural beauty of the bluffs .	Comment on Alternative
7/20/2016	Email	I have lived in south Scarborough all my 60 years and have walked Eastpoint and the Bluffers Park most of them , enough construction it's time for Conservation !	Comment on Alternative
7/20/2016	Email	Also a bigger beach parking lot .	Parking
7/20/2016	Email	Don't hook up the two or you will have over use of Bluffers parking which is at capacity already.	Parking
7/20/2016	Email	Let nature takeover the Guildwood shoreline and make a hiking trail in that area.	Comment on Alternative
7/20/2016	Email	The waterfront trail can be put on Kingston road to the city around the Hunt Club , they will never release there land to you !	Comment on Alternative
7/20/2016	Email	FYI, this past Sunday we rode the trail from East Point park to Guildwood, via the University of Toronto Scarborough Campus and tried to follow the waterfront trail map to connect to the trail downtown. Part of the trail that had been dug up just North of East Point Park a few years ago still remains unfinished.	Existing Conditions
7/20/2016	Email	As a City of Toronto tax payer it is embarrassing to note that we use the Waterfront Trail from Rouge Hill to Oshawa frequently as the Cities of Pickering, Ajax, Whitby and Oshawa seem to be on the ball and perform trail maintenance on an annual basis.	Park Amenities
7/20/2016	Email	Also, it is impossible to connect to the Waterfront trail in the Beach and it really should not be called a waterfront trail as it goes in and out of roads close to Kingston Road.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/20/2016	Email	<p>If there is an issue with trees, etc., why don't we focus on finishing the trail to Guildwood from East Point Park and then look to constructing a trail parallel to the railway line from Guildwood GO all the way to the Danforth GO station. From Danforth GO it is easy to bike downtown via Main Street and Kingston Road.</p> <p>This would be a better and cheaper option if people want to use the GO train with their bikes and there is less residential property next to the railway line.</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/22/2016	Email	Let the beach just be natural.	Beach
7/22/2016	Email	The road down to the beach is already dangerous.....you need to address this first.	Brimley Road
7/22/2016	Email	<p>Your plan for the Scarborough waterfront is all wrong. Why, why why do you want to destroy a natural beach shoreline? This is not conservation. This is development.</p> <p>I'm always so happy to bring a friend down to our Bluffer's Beach who has never been there before. They always comment on how natural and rugged the area is. Why change that?</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/22/2016	Email	I suggest that you spend the 80 million dollars on another cause.	Comment on Alternative
7/22/2016	Email	There isn't enough parking now, why ask for more cars.	Parking
7/22/2016	Email	If you do make these changes you will have even more people coming to the beach.	Traffic
7/23/2016	External/Partner Event	Much of the Scarborough waterfront is not currently accessible.	Access
7/23/2016	External/Partner Event	If the Project is implemented then I will access the waterfront more regularly.	Access
7/23/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for a continuous trail.	Comment on Alternative
7/23/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Overall Preferred Alternative.	Comment on Alternative
7/23/2016	External/Partner Event	The Project should be completed sooner so that I can use the trail.	Process
7/25/2016	Email	In the following image from PIC3 Panels, what is meant by "agency input"?	Consultation
7/29/2016	Email	Also, regarding the headlands at the shore off Guild Park, we understand that these are to accommodate a marina - otherwise, what would be the point in creating them? Could you please confirm that this is the purpose for these headlands.	Comment on Alternative
7/29/2016	Email	<p>I am learning more about TRCA's plan to harden the shore of Lake Ontario between Bluffers' Park and East Point.</p> <p>Several years ago, I wrote to someone else at TRCA to ask how, if the entire shore is build up with armour stones, deer can get to the water to drink. At that time, I was told that there was a plan in place to ensure there would be water access for deer.</p> <p>It is completely unacceptable, cruel even, to block deer from drinking at the shore. Could you please let me know how TRCA plans to address this?</p>	Ecology
8/2/2016	Email	The city did a great job in repairing the McCarthy Trail - it was well over due	Existing Conditions
8/2/2016	Email	<p>Like every situation there are those that are determined to take an advantage in an inappropriate way. For every good there is a counterforce. The trail is to enjoy nature at least from my perspective as a home owner with my backyard facing the path.</p> <p>On Sunday there were motorcycles going up and down the trail which clearly was not the intent of repairing the pathway.</p> <p>Please be advised as to the legal agreement the City has with David Baird of 49 Pine Ridge that the trail "would never be used for vehicular traffic".</p> <p>We know that it is no one's fault but there must be some way of terminating this activity on the bluffs. Signs help but no one reads. I do not know what the solution is otherwise I would suggest it.</p>	Existing Conditions

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
8/2/2016	Email	More importantly, those families that decided to park at the top of the hill and walk down to the beach were in extreme danger from the traffic because there are no sidewalks. I saw large families with strollers and toddlers in tow walking on both sides of the road and they were completely exposed to cars and the crazy cyclists who speed down that stretch of road.	Brimley Road
8/2/2016	Email	Please help us get safe pedestrian and cycle access to the park before someone gets seriously hurt.	Brimley Road
8/2/2016	Email	At the very least, a few signs telling pedestrians to always walk facing the traffic, would help...	Brimley Road
8/2/2016	Email	As it is, on a busy long weekend, emergency access to bluffers would be very problematical.	Brimley Road
8/2/2016	Email	If you were in the area this weekend, you would have seen the incredible traffic mess on Kingston Rd at Brimley South caused by families attempting to get down to Bluffers Park. Unfortunately this year the City has not managed very well the access to this very popular beach and boating area. The traffic snarl blocked KR almost to Midland and north on Brimley..	Traffic
8/2/2016	Email	I have reviewed the ToR for the SWP and I noted that public access from the top of the bluff to the waterfront was cited as a key element in need of development. In the 11 km stretch, there appear to only be just 4 (?) access routes to the waters edge from the top of the bluff (i.e., Brimley Rd, Ravine Dr, Guild Inn Construction Rd and East Point Park). This kind of spread does not accommodate pedestrian access and I have not seen any new access routes being proposed.	Access
8/2/2016	Email	Can smaller ravines be cleaned up and so that small dirt or gravel footpaths could emerge? For example, the ravine beginning at the corner of Broadmead Avenue and Brooklawn Avenue is littered with garbage and even discarded furniture. But if it was cleaned up, it could serve as a small, quaint, informal pathway to the waters edge.	Access
8/2/2016	Email	Will there be a sidewalk added along Brimley Rd?	Brimley Road
8/5/2016	Email	The referenced 2005 AODA act was initiated to assure the handicapped fair and reasonable access to public walkways, buildings, parking, parks, etc. The act was not intended to provide access to difficult to reach locations such as wilderness areas down 300 foot cliffs. For example, handicap access is available to the top of Toronto's tallest building the CN tower but it would be unreasonable to provide handicap access to the publically accessible adventure walk at the top of the CN tower.	Accessibility (AODA)
8/5/2016	Email	I am sure, the handicap community would not agree to TRCA's reverse discrimination practice against the non-handicapped. The physically capable would be denied the enjoyment of hiking along the sandy beach walking trails at East Point Park vicinity where exit or enter requires climbing 20-100 meter heights.	Accessibility (AODA)
8/5/2016	Email	Our Request – Please stop the rush to harden the waterfront adjacent to the East Point bird sanctuary.	Beach
8/5/2016	Email	Honour the public's "conservation" input	Consultation
8/5/2016	Email	A bigger picture is emerging that would justify TRCA's 80 million dollar project development that is contrary to all "conservation" mandates. The success of this waterfront roadway project would provide unrestricted waterfront access for all future development proposals.	Development
8/5/2016	Email	Past proposals at East Point Park include commercial marinas and condo developments.	Development
8/5/2016	Email	<Honour> ... and your own 2012 environmental report recommending "Leave the beach as is" option.	Ecology
8/5/2016	Email	"East Point Park and vicinity" is a wildlife habitat to hundreds of species including several species at risk.	ESAs/Species Protection
8/5/2016	Email	It is troublesome to level minded folks that a "conservation" authority whose sole purpose was created to identify, preserve, protect and maintain natural wildlife habitats along flood plains and waterfronts, is now determined to develop an environmentally sensitive sandy beach shoreline.	Mandate
8/5/2016	Email	It is difficult to comprehend why TRCA is determined to develop a paved waterfront roadway over a healthy natural heritage trail.	Mandate
8/5/2016	Email	Also, why would private investors be interested in this waterfront project?	Miscellaneous

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
8/8/2016	Email	I read with alarm in The Bluffs Monitor about the TRCA's plan at the East Point park shoreline to alter it with armour rocks and paved access roads. As a near neighbour I have walked this entire shore and am familiar with the bank swallow nests that are under threat and I urge you to leave this area in its natural state for the sake of the wildlife and future generations of park visitors. East Point park is a bird sanctuary, after all.	ESAs/Species Protection
8/15/2016	Meeting	many members of the community are not aware of how to access the Scarborough waterfront. For instance, many people are not familiar with the Guild Park and Gardens and are not aware of how to access this site.	Access
8/15/2016	Meeting	barriers to access including lack of TTC access, parking and signage	Access
8/15/2016	Meeting	Galloway Road is a potential corridor that could be highlighted to access the waterfront.	Access
8/15/2016	Meeting	the project implementation could engage the local workforce so that members of the community could also benefit from the project implementation in this way.	Consultation
8/15/2016	Meeting	programming could be developed to bring members down to the waterfront (e.g. for learn to fish initiatives). She said this could be modelled on similar initiatives being developed with Rouge National Urban Park.	Park Amenities
8/15/2016	Meeting	there were opportunities to partner with educational institutions to offer future courses along the Scarborough shoreline.	Park Amenities
8/15/2016	Meeting	this could be accomplished through the addition of signage. <Galloway Rd access comment>	Signage
8/16/2016	Email	I also want to once again raise my concern with regards to access to the Bluffs. Foot traffic along Brimley has increased significantly (as well as vehicle traffic) and the recent paving (2015?) has made it even more difficult to walk down. I have voiced this concern many times to both my councillor and at previous meetings on your development and my understanding is that this is an expensive fix. Perhaps alternatives need to be reviewed before someone gets killed.	Brimley Road
8/16/2016	Email	Perhaps making the road 1 way at a time with a signal at either end and allowing the other lane to be foot/bike traffic could be a temporarily consideration.	Brimley Road
8/16/2016	Email	Hello, I am a long time resident of the Scarborough Bluffs area (over 50 years) and have noticed the significant impact that social media (and regular media) is having on the neighbourhood. Over the past few weeks I have witnessed a tremendous increase in people trying to access the bluffs (and its various surrounding parks) because of the great press it has been getting. I am writing to you today with regards to suggestions to help limit some of the impacts. Unfortunately with the increase in people comes garbage (as well as danger). The focus of my email to you today is with respect to the garbage. I believe there needs to be a lot more emphasis on this and the responsibility the public has to do there part.	Garbage
8/16/2016	Email	Signs should be posted advising the public of the importance of managing the garbage and exactly where they need to put it. Consequences should be identified; i.e. fines, impact to the environment and wildlife. Every picnic table should have reminders for the public to do their part!	Garbage
8/16/2016	Email	By laws on littering need to be enforced. This likely would require increased monitoring which in turn would require additional resources. A suggestion would be to increase revenue through the elimination of free parking Monday to Friday before 5. Or perhaps the time could at least be changed (to perhaps before noon?).	Garbage
8/16/2016	Email	Better facilities need to be put in place for barbecues (or barbecues need to be prohibited). People are just dumping the charcoal wherever they wish. The municipal, provincial and federal climate action plans all call for a significant reduction in GHG emissions by 2020. It would be prudent to start putting steps in place to eliminate coal use in barbecues particularly in public places. Alternatively, but not preferably, better disposal facilities for barbecues could be provided	Garbage
8/16/2016	Email	These are just a few suggestions which I am passing along since I was out of town when you held your last meeting and could not attend.	Miscellaneous

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	I plan to bike and walk the trail once it is completed.	Comment on Alternative
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	I prefer the trail location at the top-of-Bluffs at East Point Park.	Comment on Alternative
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	I don't mind the trail moving from the top-of-Bluffs to the base-of-Bluffs in the East Segment;	Comment on Alternative
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	Would like to see the Project Area on the ground to make a more informed decision.	Consultation
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	The Project sounds great for wildlife.	Ecology
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	Engage all three levels of government for funding.	Funding/Cost
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	The timeline for completion is too long.	Process
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	Begin construction earlier than 2018.	Process
8/21/2016	External/Partner Event	Worried that the Project will lead to increased numbers of people using the trail.	Traffic
8/25/2016	Email	How come there is no direct walking and/or biking path way on Brimley to the beach/bluff area?	Access
8/25/2016	Email	I don't get it because such a beautiful place should be accessible - not just by cars.	Access
8/25/2016	Email	and people are forced to walk down a dangerous side road shared with moving cars.	Brimley Road
8/25/2016	Email	There are so many people who wants to visit this hidden area but with the lack of a path way, I don't want to chance taking my kids on the side of the road even though I live very close by and don't need a car.	Brimley Road
8/25/2016	Email	Does anyone know why there is a paved area for cars to go down but not a walking path for people or cycling path? Perhaps one in the future that I am not aware of?	Brimley Road
8/25/2016	Email	If there is none in the future, how can this be made a priority as we want to encourage people to exercise, walk and love the bluffs like we do?	Brimley Road
8/25/2016	Email	Toronto is a beautiful city with bike lanes and making this an accessible city.	Miscellaneous
8/25/2016	Email	Often the parking lots are full	Parking
8/27/2016	SWP Event	Consider restricting access to the park during the night.	Access
8/27/2016	SWP Event	Project will be good for nearby communities.	Access
8/27/2016	SWP Event	Support making it more accessible.	Accessibility (AODA)
8/27/2016	SWP Event	Thinks project is a good idea	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
9/5/2016	Email	It's not often that I write an email to make suggestions about the direction of municipal policies. However after reading an article by Ron Moeser in the ccra Centennial news of September 2016 I was disappointed by the rash decision that was made by the TRCA. My wife and I enjoy living in the Port Union and Lawrence area and particularly enjoy the Waterfront trail that we have now. In the winter we hike along the trail and in the summertime we bicycle. We feel the new proposed trail should be built beside the lake. This would truly make it a waterfront Trail.. we have been anticipating this new Trail for quite some time ever since the project to shore up the Scarborough bluffs. At this time we thought that it would only be natural for a connection between the newly constructed Scarborough Bluffs Beach area to East Point Park. We hope that the TRCA will have a change of heart about their recent decision.	Comment on Alternative
9/10/2016	SWP Event	Loss of access to water if a large stone wall was constructed.	Access
9/10/2016	SWP Event	Accessible trail for those with mobility issues.	Accessibility (AODA)
9/10/2016	SWP Event	Staff received only supportive comments for the Project.	Comment on Alternative
9/10/2016	SWP Event	Loss of the part of the beach. o Comments received were supportive of the proposed Preferred Alternative following this discussion.	Comment on Alternative
9/10/2016	SWP Event	Location of the trail along the tablelands.	Comment on Alternative
9/12/2016	Email	As per usual, you will be sure to choose, the most expensive option, and contractors, and materials, and labourers, and then continue to throw the monies down the rat hole, as is your custom. If you need more money to throw down the hole, invite the PM for a selfie co-op..that should be good for a cool mil, and if that's not enough, call Winnie the pooh, and that should be good for another mil.	Funding/Cost
9/15/2016	Email	Noticed the reference to 'cobblestone' pathways (or areas?) in the plan. Being the owner of a local landscape company, I'm curious how they plan to support these, in terms of base, and retention. Money can easily be wasted in this area, if these issues aren't addressed properly.	Materials
9/16/2016	Email	The Guildwood Community Association has contacted us with a questions regarding the work to be done along the shore in relations to the TRCA bringing fill down to make the new headlands. They are asking for the timing and expected extent since if it is taking place when Metrolinx is bringing in material, and feel that there will be an impact. Would you be able to address this question.	Construction & Implementation
9/17/2016	External/Partner Event	Supports the idea of getting more Scarborough residents down to the waterfront as not enough currently access it.	Access
9/17/2016	External/Partner Event	Thinks the Project is a good idea	Comment on Alternative
9/17/2016	External/Partner Event	Thinks the Project is a good idea	Comment on Alternative
9/19/2016	Email	I'm educating myself about the Waterfront Trail and related extension proposals. From my understanding, TRCA prefers to continue the Trail through East Point Park as opposed to along the waterfront.What is the TRCA's perspective on this proposal? Are there existing pathways that make this route easier to construct? Is the proposed trail easily accessible for less able bodied people, both on the incline and decline? Are there images of the proposal available for the public?	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	SWP Event	Where do people get stuck most on the Bluffs?	Access
9/24/2016	SWP Event	Support in having all communities having access to the waterfront.	Access
9/24/2016	SWP Event	Overall support for the Project.	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	SWP Event	Will the community be involved during Project implementation?	Community Involvement
9/24/2016	SWP Event	Invite local groups to help with plantings. Make it part of the TDSB program.	Community Involvement

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
9/24/2016	SWP Event	Will there be additional tours available like todays?	Consultation
9/24/2016	SWP Event	Parts of the Bluffs are vegetated – doesn't that help protect from erosion? Why not increase vegetation to stop erosion?	Erosion
9/24/2016	SWP Event	Plant trees to help protect from erosion.	Erosion
9/24/2016	SWP Event	How much will it cost?	Funding/Cost
9/24/2016	SWP Event	The Project should mention the employment and tourism opportunities that will become available as one positive outcome that the Project could provide.	Miscellaneous
9/24/2016	SWP Event	Are the landowners onboard?	Private Landowners
9/24/2016	SWP Event	A Port Union like park would ruin the experience.	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	SWP Event	How long will the Project take to be completed?	Process
9/24/2016	SWP Event	Will the proposed headland improve the sediment issues within the marina?	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	SWP Event	How much does the project cost and who is paying for it?	Funding/Cost
9/24/2016	SWP Event	What will the trail surface be?	Materials
9/24/2016	SWP Event	Consideration of a bridge from the existing beach to the Meadowcliffe shoreline	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	SWP Event	How the trail will connect from the existing beach to the Meadowcliffe shoreline	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Make the trail safe and accessible	Accessibility (AODA)
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Make the trail safe and accessible	Accessibility (AODA)
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Thinks the Project is a great idea	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Thinks the Project is a great idea	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Supportive of the Project	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Supportive of the Project	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Supportive of the Project	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Supportive of the Project	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Supportive of the Project	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Supportive of the Project	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Enjoys what they can walk today (supportive of Project).	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Have the trail so that cyclists have a separate section from pedestrians for safety (referred to how crowded the trail at Port Union is)	Safety
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Loves a waterfront trail. Uses it right now going east to Pickering from Morningside.	Comment on Alternative
9/24/2016	External/Partner Event	Nice to have a waterfront trail away from shared roadways.	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	Meeting	Wayfinding might need to be different here than other trail systems within the City to meet the needs of the Scarborough Community since there are basically no amenities within the sightline of the trail.	Access
9/27/2016	Meeting	Compliments about the rigorous approach and balance that TRCA has taken for the EA.	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	Meeting	General support for the EA process.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
9/27/2016	Meeting	Suggestion to provide TRCA with letter(s) of support for the Project.	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	Meeting	How the public will have input on refinements to the Project? Can we have a bike trail now?	Consultation
9/27/2016	Meeting	How will success of the Project be measured?	Consultation
9/27/2016	Meeting	We recommend that SCRO and/or CCRA host a Public Open House to allow for more dialogue about the Project.	Consultation
9/27/2016	Meeting	Suggest that your team meet with more community groups to help address misinformation about the project.	Consultation
9/27/2016	Meeting	What has the response been to habitat restoration opportunities?	Ecology
9/27/2016	Meeting	I am concerned about the formalization of existing trails and the perceived impact on ecological features.	Ecology
9/27/2016	Meeting	What funding options are you considering? Is Section 37 funding available?	Funding/Cost
9/27/2016	Meeting	Tax-free municipal bonds and enabling legislation should be investigated as a funding mechanism.	Funding/Cost
9/27/2016	Meeting	There is the potential for the Scarborough Bluffs to be declared a Unesco World Heritage site. Could the Project could impact the designation?	Miscellaneous
9/27/2016	Meeting	What is the process for the transfer of private lands into the public domain?	Private Landowners
9/27/2016	Meeting	Can you clarify the next steps for the Project approval and EA submission?	Process
9/27/2016	Meeting	What trail infrastructure can we expect?	Materials
9/27/2016	Meeting	What is the implementation timeline?	Process
9/27/2016	Meeting	There is little parking or public transit. Is that being looked at?	Traffic
9/27/2016	Meeting	Please clarify about the Top-of-Bluffs trail alignment for the East Segment.	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	Make Bluffer's Park accessible by public transit	Access
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for a continuous trail	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Overall Preliminary Preferred Alternative	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Overall Preliminary Preferred Alternative	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Overall Preliminary Preferred Alternative	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Overall Preliminary Preferred Alternative	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Overall Preliminary Preferred Alternative	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Overall Preliminary Preferred Alternative	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	How long with the Project take to be completed?	Process
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	Very hard to access the Bluffs from the Guild.	Access
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	Supportive of the idea.	Comment on Alternative
9/27/2016	External/Partner Event	See people crossing the fence all the time.	Existing Conditions
9/30/2016	Meeting	Variety Village agreed that it would be great to engage with their membership soon and again during the detailed design process. They suggest inviting key stakeholders like Quadrangle Architects and City of Toronto's Disability Issues Committee would be beneficial to the discussion on accessibility.	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
9/30/2016	Meeting	Discussions involved the confirmation of a meeting to take place over the next couple of months, as well as what the best format would be. It was agreed that a meeting would take place during the day at Variety Village, and TRCA would lead a presentation providing an update on the Project, then giving guests an opportunity to ask questions and provide their feedback. K. Stintz to provide TRCA a list of potential dates for a consultation meeting, giving their members at least 6-8 weeks' notice.	Consultation
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Glad that the trail will be accessible.	Accessibility (AODA)
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Prefers keeping the natural beach along Grey Abbey.	Beach
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Consider adding a small boat launch at the base of the Guild.	Boat dock/launch/marina
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Does not support the headland beach proposed for the shoreline along Grey Abbey.	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for the Project	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for a continuous trail	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for a continuous trail	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Support for a continuous trail	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Does not support any addition of stairs to connect the shoreline trail with the top-of-Bluffs trail.	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Question regarding how continuous the connection to the Port Union Trail will be.	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Consider enhancing habitat for Monarch Butterflies along the shoreline.	Ecology
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Consider setting up bee hives at the Guild; honey produced could be sold at the Guild Inn.	Ecology
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Questions about the recent work done along the Guild Park and Gardens shoreline (note: referring to the Guild Inn Revetment Maintenance Project undertaken by TRCA's Engineering Projects group in August 2016).	Existing Conditions
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	A community cleanup could be organized to remove garbage along the shoreline.	Garbage
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Make areas such as Grey Abbey and East Point Park safer and more child-friendly.	Safety
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Likes the idea of moving the Waterfront Trail away from residential areas.	Comment on Alternative
10/2/2016	External/Partner Event	Explore a connection with Rouge National Urban Park.	Comment on Alternative
10/4/2016	Email	This email is directed to those individuals directly responsible for final decisions regarding the current development plans ,specifically the East Point Park Sector. Having just read the recent article in our local Bluff Monitor circular Oct 2106 , I fully agree with the concerns raised and as a member of the Bluff's area community , I ask you to please reconsider your current proposal requiring destruction of the East Point beaches area and implement the " retain as is " option as recommended in your own 2012 environmental assessment report.	Comment on Alternative
10/4/2016	Meeting	Desire for tableland connection/easement from South Marine Drive to the Guild (currently gated and blocked).	Access
10/4/2016	Meeting	What will access up to the top of the bluffs at East Point Park look like? Will it be fully accessible?	Accessibility (AODA)
10/4/2016	Meeting	Has climate change been considered in terms of potential for the Highland Creek to migrate further west?	Climate Change
10/4/2016	Meeting	Have the specific impacts of each component been considered? There is an overall benefit, but what about the impacts (positive/negative) for each element being proposed?	Comment on Alternative
10/4/2016	Meeting	The Terrestrial Biological Inventory recommends that dogs off leash be controlled at East Point. How is this being considered?	Ecology
10/4/2016	Meeting	Were offshore breakwalls considered, as they seem to be beneficial to fish in tropical locations like Florida?	Ecology
10/4/2016	Meeting	Will the headlands which are currently in need of repair at Bluffer's Park be considered as part of this Project?	Existing Conditions
10/4/2016	Meeting	Concern about the current aesthetics of previous shoreline works and how the SWP project will address aesthetics (i.e. rebar).	Existing Conditions
10/4/2016	Meeting	There are a number of social issues at East Point Park and along the shoreline, is the Project considering these and how may help address the issues?	Safety
10/4/2016	Meeting	Are recreational conflicts, including cyclists speed, off-leash dogs, and recreational angling, being considered in the Project?	Safety
10/4/2016	Meeting	When will the next public meeting be held?	Process
10/4/2016	Meeting	Concern about increasing the amount of Phragmites along the shoreline.	Water Quality
10/4/2016	Meeting	General support for the Project, with an offer to provide a formal letter of support.	Comment on Alternative
10/4/2016	Meeting	The primary concern of our community was to maintain a trail along the waterfront, and to understand why this was proposed along the top, instead of the bottom of the bluffs at East Point Park, and it seems as though you've provided a good answer.	Comment on Alternative
10/4/2016	Meeting	West Rouge Community Association was not invited to participate on the Stakeholder Committee.	Consultation
10/4/2016	Meeting	It does not appear that there is any reason why a trail along the full base of the Bluffs is not proposed.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
10/5/2016	Email	What confounds us and many of our neighbors, is why with the Doris McCarthy Trail already there, no one simply paves this road to complete the waterfront trail and allow Scarborough residents to hook up the Ajax/Pickering extension. How have their politicians rallied to complete this work and ours have left our section of this road unused?	Access
10/5/2016	Email	Whenever my family bikes here in Scarborough, we are forced to use the streets a few kms to get onto the Waterfront trail. This is quite dangerous.	Comment on Alternative
10/5/2016	Email	Did you know this trail is already intact from Niagara-on-the-lake all the way to the Quebec border. I have ridden most of it, but the largest section unfinished is from the Beach to Pickering.	Comment on Alternative
10/5/2016	Email	Further, there is a road small road behind Bluffers Park Beach which could easily be extended to the Doris McCarthy Trail,	Comment on Alternative
10/5/2016	Email	but even if this part was not completed, why not simply pave the road that is there now?, and all residents of this area, could ride safely on the present infrastructure which would extend to the WaterFront trail that is there now??? I do not understand the environmental concerns as we are not asking to make new trail, only pave the existing road.	Comment on Alternative
10/11/2016	Meeting	Will the Project be providing access to the Cathedral Bluffs (currently can't see it and it's one of the interesting things of Scarborough)?	Access
10/11/2016	Meeting	Will there be a way to get down to the Bluffs at Guild Park and Gardens with the restaurant being built there?	Access
10/11/2016	Meeting	Funicular tube to easily move up and down the Bluffs (mentioned during the conversation of Bluffer's Park).	Access
10/11/2016	Meeting	Will the trail be wheelchair accessible (including access points)?	Accessibility (AODA)
10/11/2016	Meeting	Are there any problems like sewage with floating homes at the public marina?	Boat dock/launch/marina
10/11/2016	Meeting	Coordination of money for the construction of this park and the Rail Deck Park proposed by City of Toronto Council?	Funding/Cost
10/11/2016	Meeting	Are there any parts of this stretch that are being kept natural?	Comment on Alternative
10/11/2016	Meeting	An 11 km trail is quite long; will there be washrooms available along the trail?	Park Amenities
10/11/2016	Meeting	In Ajax, they have a trail that operates all year long with washrooms. What is the difference between the City of Toronto and Town of Ajax?	Park Amenities
10/11/2016	Meeting	Will the trail be accessible all year long?	Park Amenities
10/11/2016	Meeting	Will there be seating facilities?	Park Amenities
10/11/2016	Meeting	In discussions around having a trail available all year long, it was suggested to install solar panels for any infrastructure that may be needed and require heating.	Park Amenities
10/11/2016	Meeting	Have the plans been made public?	Process
10/11/2016	Meeting	Enjoy the waterfront trail at Port Union.	Miscellaneous
10/11/2016	Meeting	Will the trail be able to accommodate emergency vehicles?	Safety
10/11/2016	Meeting	Separate cycling and pedestrian access and have appropriate signage to clearly mark where cyclists and pedestrians should go (i.e. cyclists yield to the left).	Safety
10/11/2016	Meeting	What is the timeframe for completion of the Project?	Process
10/11/2016	Meeting	Will there be a continuous trail at the bottom and top of the Bluffs?	Comment on Alternative
10/11/2016	Meeting	Are you working on extending the Waterfront Trail?	Comment on Alternative
10/11/2016	Meeting	Concern regarding additional land base along the Central Segment and the number of trucks which would be required to bring the material to the shoreline. Concern regarding the potential traffic implications through the Guildwood community.	Construction & Implementation
10/11/2016	Meeting	The Project will be discussed as a group, and should a decision be made to support the Project, will a letter of support be helpful?	Consultation
10/11/2016	Meeting	How will the privately owned shoreline in the East Segment be acquired for the Project?	Private Landowners
10/11/2016	Meeting	Ensure that the new trail is connected to the future Guild Park and Gardens site.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
10/18/2016	Email	Thank you so much for presenting at the GVCA Executive Committee last Tuesday evening. Your presence and the information provided was important. My colleagues on the EC have chosen not to take any position as of yet on the preferred options being proposed by EA that is currently ongoing.	Consultation
10/18/2016	Email	Thanks again for your attendance at our meeting and for your continued support in helping us with information.	Consultation
10/18/2016	Email	One point that is being raised by the group that is looking to preserve the existing sand beach is that the TRCA's preferred alternative for segment 3 flies in the face of their 2012 policy on protecting sensitive areas. I have attached an excerpt for your attention. Could you please speak to this question for me please with a brief email response.	Ecology
10/18/2016	Email	The committee members would like to review the information they have received from you and previous presenters on the issue before considering a position.	Process
10/18/2016	Email	I'm interested The the Scarborough Waterfront Project and have been at the previous public Meetings and have not heard when and where the Fall Meeting will be. Has a location and date been announced?	Process
10/26/2016	Email	my husband and I want to express how extremely important it is to us and many other bluffs waterfront visitors, that the proposed development be kept away from the precious wild shoreline. It is so increasingly difficult to find a nature spot in the Toronto area that is not developed, and we need the wild shoreline more than words can say, for our peace of mind and relief from the stressful city. Please, keep our waterfront as is!!	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Email	That is not CONSERVATION , leave it be ,	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Email	don't connect Bluffers Beach with the Guildwood armour stone garbage you have done . It killed the natural shoreline .	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Email	Stop the development	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Email	Don't have the meeting a day after NewYears hoping no one shows because their still on Holidays or hung over . <in response to Nov newsletter sent Oct. 31st>	Consultation
11/1/2016	Email	Last June everyone was going on summer vacation at the end of school year .	Consultation
11/1/2016	Email	A lot of people think you are wasting a lot of money down on the lake for a bike path.	Funding/Cost
11/1/2016	Email	Money well wasted by Government again .	Funding/Cost
11/1/2016	Email	One day mother nature will knock it all to shit with a big storm !	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Email	Why doesn't the project scope include the development of a few footpaths from the top of the bluffs to the waters edge? Smaller versions of the Bellamy trail along other small water streams would enable pedestrian access down rather than having to rely on large roads such as Brimley to get down to the water. For example, there appears to be an opportunity for a gradually descending dirt pathway along the ravine originating at the intersection of Brooklawn Avenue and Barkdene Road.	Access
11/1/2016	Email	We really need to retain that beach area.	Beach
11/1/2016	Email	Can you kindly update us on the status of Grey Abbey to East Point plans?	Consultation
11/1/2016	Email	The TRCA made their presentation on The Waterfront Trail at the November 1, 2016 meeting of The Coronation Community Association. There were several questions addressed to the TRCA and the girls did a very good job in responding to each one.	Consultation
11/1/2016	Email	The TRCA personnel were present for the vote and were told to take our response back to the TRCA Executive.	Process
11/1/2016	Email	Following the presentation the meeting was ask to vote on their prefer alternative for the location of the trail. 1. Along the waterfront then up on top of the Bluffs at East Point Park or 2. The entire trail along the waters edge for the entire length. Only one vote for option 1. Top of the Bluffs at East Point Park all others voted for option 2. At the waters edge for the entire length. In summary The Coronation Community Association voted overwhelmingly in favour of the trail to all at the waters edge.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
11/1/2016	Email	Councillor Paul Anislie was present however was not allowed to cast a vote.	Process
11/1/2016	Meeting	What is the elevation at the site where you will be moving from the shoreline to the top of the Bluffs in the East Segment?	Accessibility (AODA)
11/1/2016	Meeting	Will the beach at East Point Park be designated a Blue Flag Beach like Bluffer's Park?	Beach
11/1/2016	Meeting	You refer to constructing a natural berm along the trail in the Central Segment; won't this require a lot of fill and affect the natural environment?	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Meeting	What will the headlands look like and how do they work?	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Meeting	Where can we go to find information about the Project online?	Consultation
11/1/2016	Meeting	What were the other Alternatives considered for the East Segment?	Consultation
11/1/2016	Meeting	What is the difference in cost between the Preferred Alternative and going along the base of the Bluffs at East Point Park?	Funding/Cost
11/1/2016	Meeting	By placing the trail along the tablelands aren't you going to have to keep replacing it as the Bluffs recede?	Management
11/1/2016	Meeting	The existing trail at East Point Park has a wood chip surface; what will the trail be like in winter?	Materials
11/1/2016	Meeting	You have indicated that private property rights extend down to the water; I did not think this was possible in Ontario.	Private Landowners
11/1/2016	Meeting	Why can't we build a trail like the one along Port Union?	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Meeting	Are you potentially putting people at risk by having them at the top of the Bluffs? How do you propose to keep people away from the edge?	Safety
11/1/2016	Meeting	How will you be able to establish a connection from Bluffer's Park to Meadowcliffe, considering the steepness of the Cudia Bluffs?	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Meeting	Why does the Study Area not extend over to Highland Creek?	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Meeting	Can the trail or a raised boardwalk be placed along the base of the Bluffs along East Point Park?	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Meeting	Following the Question and Answer session association members indicated by a show of hands that a majority prefer a trail along the full length of the base of the Bluffs, connecting over to the mouth of the Highland Creek.	Comment on Alternative
11/1/2016	Meeting	I've seen a lot of algae accumulating along the headlands in Port Union. Why does this happen?	Water Quality
11/2/2016	Email	I'm wondering if there are any plans to improve access to Lake Ontario for boaters? There is a real lack of boat launches in Scarborough. Is there any consideration for adding a bit launch?	Boat dock/launch/marina
11/2/2016	Email	I was thinking at the end of beechgrove road near the water treatment plant where the grading is already pretty good and there's parking available?	Boat dock/launch/marina
11/9/2016	Email	Please be advised that at a meeting of the Guildwood Village Community Association last evening, the 14 members of the Executive Committee present voted unanimously in favour of the following resolution with regards to the TRCA's Scarborough Waterfront Project: " Moved that the Guildwood Village Community Association (GVCA) supports the preferred options as proposed in the Toronto Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) Environmental Assessment (EA) with the proviso that the TRCA investigate fully opportunities during the design/specification phase of the water front project to preserve as much natural beach as possible, particularly between Galloway Rd. and East Point Park".	Beach
11/12/2016	Email	Shoreline Friends remain determined to direct TRCA to do the best course of action to save the last natural shoreline for perpetuity.	Beach

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
11/12/2016	Email	<p>Please find attached our alternative SWP plan in response to your request for public input. To date the corporate and political plan has been to pave over part or all of the natural sandy shoreline between Galloway and East Point Park.</p> <p>I am a 50 year resident of the Scarborough Bluffs, the former president of "Save the Toronto Bluffs" and currently an active member of "Friends of the Bluffs". We are submitting our SWP concept drawings for your consideration. It is our mission to save Toronto's last natural shoreline and enhance the hardened portion of shoreline with an aesthetically pleasing waterfront design.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The attached drawings are the result of research, and input suggestions from several prominent members of our community. 2. The Alternate design drawings are variations of the headland beach concept theme. <p>The 1996 Geotechnical coastal conditions report regarding sediment drifting in this Guild Park vicinity indicate the feasibility of a blue flag beach concept.</p> <p>We are hopeful that your SWP planning division will review, scrutinize and implement ideas from our environmentally friendly submission.</p> <p><See attached 2 images></p> <p>Plan 1 proposed by TRCA requires destroying approximately 2 km. of natural shoreline to construct a paved waterfront trail vs. Plan 2 that will save the natural heritage shoreline and add a new beach. The Plan 2 objective is to develop a harbor front worthy of and complimentary to the spectacular surroundings and the new "Destination Park" at the Guild Park and gardens....</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The new waterfront will add a blue flag sandy beach by applying the same engineering headland principle used to create the Woodbine and Bluffers Park beach. 2. The new waterfront trail will add 9 km. for biking and does not require access through a protected bird sanctuary to connect with the Beechgrove waterfront trail. 3. One of the alternatives would add a docking facility to accommodate visiting boaters large and small from around the lake. Access from the water will enable boaters to visit the gardens, the Greek theatre, the restored Guild Inn or have lunch/dinner at the new restaurant and banquet facilities. 	Comment on Alternative
11/12/2016	Email	<p>TRCA's proposal to develop a paved waterfront trail/road along the Scarborough Bluffs shoreline is not in accordance with a) the Ontario ESP and ANSI mandate; b) the recommendations of the 2012 environmental report; c) the publics' wishes to save the beaches (as expressed in a petition by hundreds of shoreline friends at the June 2016 TRCA public information meeting).</p>	Comment on Alternative
11/12/2016	Email	<p>The 12,000 year old heritage waterfront trail is 2-1/2 km. long and is the last remaining resting grounds along Toronto's coastline for migrating birds.</p> <p>The coastline is protected by five armourstone headlands constructed at substantial cost. The headlands provide protection from shoreline erosion and they collect and sustain the sandy beaches.</p> <p>Plan 2 reflects what the public desires and prioritizes conservation of the entire East Point beach front.</p> <p><Plan 2 submitted by <name> - see images></p>	Comment on Alternative
11/12/2016	Email	<p>Plan 2 offers a feasible solution where hikers, boaters, bikers, sun bathers and all who enjoy the waterfront experience are winners.</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
11/12/2016	Email	<p>Planning – Best practice planning is essential to accomplish a successful SWP that will benefit the public and the environment. “Friends of the Bluffs” are presenting an alternate plan to the TRCA to be considered. Nine of the eleven kms. will be for a paved waterfront bikeway that directly connects to the Beachgrove trail from Guild Park via Copperfield Road. The 2 kms. of green belt road runs parallel to the shoreline and is currently used by the community of pedestrian cyclists.</p> <p>Most importantly, 100% of the heritage waterfront hiking trail and natural sandy shoreline will be conserved. The 7 km. of hardened shoreline between Bluffers Park and Guild Park will be enhanced with an aesthetically pleasing shoreline development at the Guild Park. It will provide parkland, a small blue Flag beach and a docking facility for short stay boaters visiting the new Guild Inn restaurant.</p> <p>Consider – The SWP is an \$80 million opportunity to conserve the environment and provide all the public (hikers, boaters, bikers, sunbathers and the Guild community) with an aesthetically pleasing coastline to enjoy.</p> <p>Both concept drawing plans can be viewed and scrutinized for your consideration by visiting “torontonaturalshorelines.ca”.</p>	Comment on Alternative
11/12/2016	Email	<p>Update – TRCA is working hard to refine their preferred waterfront trail plan for the 11 km. shoreline from Bluffers Park to East Point Park. TRCA will be holding a public information meeting as required for the environmental assessment process early in 2017 to present their plan.</p> <p>Waterfront Trails – The highly successful trail from Beachgrove to Frenchman's Bay was well planned before its construction. The natural beach shorelines at the Rouge River and East Point Park were retained. The connecting waterfront bike trail is a combination of both waterfront and public roadways.</p> <p>History – Most of the natural shoreline along the Bluffs has been hardened due to TRCA's shoreline erosion control works. Toronto's last remaining 2 ½ km. of precious sandy shoreline has been protected under the Ontario ESP and ANSI Act. To sustain, collect, and protect the sandy beaches, TRCA constructed armourstone headlands as a better method of shoreline protection. There are now five headlands installed along the Morningside waterfront protecting the 12,000 year old sandy coastline.</p>	Existing Conditions
11/12/2016	Email	The Fate of the \$80 million SWP is in the hands of TRCA's executive management.	Process
11/12/2016	Email	<p>The Future</p> <p>The SWP presents a golden opportunity to develop a waterfront that is appropriate, viable and worthy of this world renowned geological setting. The 8 kms. of hardened waterfront is set between the sandy beaches of East Point Park and Bluffers park providing unlimited potential for the enjoyment of waterfront activities.</p>	Comment on Alternative
11/17/2016	Email	d) The physically challenged can enjoy the Scarborough Bluffs waterfront using the handicap parking at the Rouge River or at Bluffers Park.	Accessibility (AODA)
11/17/2016	Email	The “preferred option” offers no new access for handicap usage.	Accessibility (AODA)
11/17/2016	Email	Please let me know on whose authority would allow TRCA to proceed with a plan that will result in environmental degradation to a natural beach, it makes no sense.	Beach
11/17/2016	Email	In regards to the Eastern sector of the Scarborough waterfront project, the “preferred option” to continue a paved waterfront trail toward East Point Park makes no sense.	Comment on Alternative
11/17/2016	Email	The original option to “do nothing” would be preferable to the irreversible lake filling works that the bikeway would require.	Comment on Alternative
11/17/2016	Email	e) It was apparent at TRCA's June public information meeting that the public response to eliminate a beach was unfavorable.	Consultation
11/17/2016	Email	a) The sandy shoreline is the only section of the waterfront between Bluffers and the Guild that has not been destroyed by erosion control service roads. East of the headlands at Morningside, there is no need to continue shoreline erosion works requiring an extended service road.	Erosion
11/17/2016	Email	b) Councillor Moeser is promoting his political agenda through his office petition and is publishing articles in “The Coronation Newsletter”. I am sure he has not read the environmental recommendations report or doesn't care since he would like to eliminate the entire natural coastline at East Point for the construction of a “connecting waterfront path”.	Miscellaneous

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
11/17/2016	Email	I wonder what the residence in the Beaches community would do if their beaches were to be paved over?	Miscellaneous
11/17/2016	Email	f) The armourstone wall/service road east of Guild Park offers no waterfront usage other than a gateway to the natural waterfront trail that we all enjoy. Why destroy the best part of our heritage beach front.	Comment on Alternative
11/17/2016	Email	My family and I have enjoyed many visits to the beach area over the years and it would be a crime to destroy it for a little used section of bike trail.	Comment on Alternative
11/17/2016	Email	c) I am an avid cyclist who would enjoy a waterfront ride from Guild Park to Bluffers and back. I would much prefer to continue using the tableland waterfront trail from Guild Park toward the Rouge River than ruin 1 ½ km. of sandy waterfront.	Comment on Alternative
11/17/2016	Email	An article published in "The Bluffs Monitor" suggested a much more creative concept for the Scarborough waterfront Project at Guild Park that would be favoured since it would save the beaches and offers many more park enhancing uses. The article referenced the popular Copperfield Road bike route as an environmentally friendly alternative.	Comment on Alternative
11/20/2016	Email	My family and I are active hikers, cyclists and appreciators of this beautiful, environmentally healthy Scarborough Bluffs waterfront. For 15 years living along Grey Abby Park, we enjoy, as with many other visitors and residents, hiking this ungroomed nature trail. There is nothing more pleasurable than walking along a beach in it's natural state. Prime waterfront with a sandy beach is a rarity, especially in a city. We are so privileged to have such a beautiful natural setting.	Beach
11/20/2016	Email	I am completely opposed to any unnecessary reduction of this sandy coastline.	Beach
11/20/2016	Email	There is no rationale from my perspective that could justify the destruction of a rare and unique heritage shoreline. TRCA's proposed unattractive stone waterfront trail would have limited usage and would forever deny the current pleasures of this pristine natural trail.	Comment on Alternative
11/20/2016	Email	What a waste of tax payers' dollars as well!	Funding/Cost
11/20/2016	Email	Shame on TRCA for what I believe is prioritizing their corporate and political agenda against the public's wishes.	Mandate
11/20/2016	Email	I was prepared to present a deputation regarding the eastern portion of the Scarborough Waterfront Project at the November 18th TRCA Board meeting, however, the SWP issue was taken off the agenda. Please forward my concern to the SWP team.	Process
11/20/2016	Email	The rush forward to submit a flawed SWP plan without consideration of much better options is unjustified.	Process
11/20/2016	Email	To fulfill the environmental assessment process, an alternate option to conserve the shoreline must be presented.	Process
11/20/2016	Email	I hope your planning department will fully consider all the negative consequences of the current plan to pave over a natural shoreline before the next public meeting.	Process
11/20/2016	Email	I am upset and befuddled that the TRCA is unconcerned about ruining a perfectly good beachfront. It is unconscionable that this publically funded corporation that was established to preserve and protect ecologically sensitive waterways is now advocating environmentally degrading development.	Comment on Alternative
11/21/2016	Email	The last document I saw displayed about options for the development of the beach at the west end of the waterfront. Has any decision be made about the options that would increase the size of the beach - particularly alternative 5B - wide expansion?	Comment on Alternative
11/30/2016	Email	The adjustment of Brimley Road is a concern to us since we directly back onto Brimley Road. Unfortunately we would be exposed to any increase in traffic, air/noise pollution or litter.	Brimley Road
11/30/2016	Email	This could be reduced by the addition of a common road sound barrier on the East side of Brimley if that can be considered.	Brimley Road
11/30/2016	Email	We would like to participate in your discussion if this if possible, but are unable to meet you on the 19th of December and wondering if an alternative date (Monday 12th, Wednesday 14th, Friday 16th, Wednesday 21st, Friday 23rd) at the same time would be possible. Thank you, and what a great idea.	Consultation
11/30/2016	Email	The idea of a continuous green space from Bluffers Park to East Park is a fantastic idea, which we are in total support of.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
12/1/2016	Email	<p>And, I think this is a major issue at which TRCA is still turning a 'blind eye'.</p> <p>Large parts of East Point Park ARE UNSAFE, because harmless-looking paths have potential to lure unsuspecting visitors into mostly-hidden locations, where those individuals may be attacked.</p> <p>The only effective remedy, then, is to remove the dense vegetation, at those areas, and, thereby, open those places up to widespread public viewing and scrutiny.</p> <p>Soccer fields would accomplish much of this and a City study showed that Toronto was short, by 99 such fields.</p> <p>TRCA plus other opponents of necessary improvement need to come to grips with this and, thereby, put PUBLIC SAFETY first!</p> <p>Until then, the City/TRCA will remain LIABLE</p>	Safety
12/1/2016	Email	<p>1. I can honestly say that if I did not fight for a waterfront trail, along the actual waterfront, at the ISMP meetings, then the ISMP committee would not have recommended such a trail, because numerous so-called "environmentalists", from as far away as Markham, Ontario - who were hostile to humans - coerced much of the committee into ignoring the community.</p> <p>Although, "EA Info Sheet #1 - Project Overview", of your Scarborough Waterfront Project website said:</p> <p>"Regarding the Scarborough Waterfront Project study area, some key recommendations from the ISMP were to:</p> <p>...establish a waterfront trail from Guildwood Parkway to the Highland Creek Trail (at East Point Park)"</p> <p>The "Proposed Shoreline Works" on the "Waterfront Experience" tab does not show "a waterfront trail from Guildwood Parkway to the Highland Creek Trail (at East Point Park)".</p> <p>Why not?</p> <p>Are you ignoring the Community, too? If 'yes', then who made you/TRCA dictator?</p> <p>Surveys, by myself and elected politicians, showed that the Community, at large, overwhelmingly not only wanted safe accessibility, to Lake Ontario, but also a paved path along the water's edge.</p> <p>Sop, the ISMP made CONCLUSIONS - not "recommendations". They represented what the Community, at large, wanted. Moreover, those conclusions had the force to compel creation of the Port Union Waterfront Trail.</p> <p>So, who decided that the ISMP conclusions could, now, be re-labeled as mere "recommendations" and ignored? Who decided that the Community and the democratic process, involved, could be ignored?</p> <p>Why ignore all the efforts and expense of the ISMP, if a few years later, its decisions/conclusions/work were just going to be ignored - especially, by a few disgruntled TRCA employees plus a handful of other individuals? Why waste the taxpayers' time and money, if several opponents were just going to hijack the process, ignore those taxpayers and, essentially, roll the dice, again?</p> <p>When is enough actually enough?</p> <p>If today's opponents were really concerned with the waterfront, then they should have participated, at the ISMP meetings.</p> <p>Today, they should just accept its conclusions and move on. They should not, however, get a chance 're-do' past decisions!</p> <p>The decisions to connect major areas, along the waterfront, with a trail that was actually located along the water's edge, was already made by those showed up, for the related ISMP meetings and votes.</p> <p>To override those votes, today, would seem unconstitutional. How do you answer that question?</p> <p>Furthermore, how do taxpayers recoup their investment, in the ISMP?</p> <p>How should the Community be compensated, for its lost right to democracy?</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
12/1/2016	Email	<p>2. As I pointed out in my submitted complaint, dated July 7, 2016, among other things, much of East Point Park was, presently, hazardous.</p> <p>So, how was TRCA going to safely "decommission informal trails" without making such decommissioning obvious and effective?</p> <p>The trails are already there. So, many people are already using them. So, how are you going to make it OBVIOUS that they should not, now, be used - especially, the dangerous ones that look harmless?</p> <p>Although a study showed that, in environmentally sensitive areas, people tended to stick to large paved paths, how are you going to stop the occasional ones, from venturing into those unsafe locations? How are you going to protect them once they're there?</p> <p>Those are big questions - especially, if you refuse to remove much of the hazardous vegetation!</p>	Comment on Alternative
12/1/2016	Email	<p>Just brief comments -- nothing really out of the ordinary, just having my say:</p> <p>Having looked more at some of the PDF files on the proposals for the Scarborough Waterfront project ... I suppose they are reasonable. I can understand the city wanting to prevent long term erosion and make safe and accessible trails.</p>	Comment on Alternative
12/1/2016	Email	<p>It is nice to know that a small amount of natural waterfront will likely be retained, in the eastern East Point park area, where one will still be able to walk along the beach.</p>	Comment on Alternative
12/1/2016	Email	<p>Still, as someone who has occasionally hiked much of the waterfront beach over the last 10 years, winter and summer, I mourn the loss of natural beach area, swallowed up more and more each year by landfill and formal paths. This turns a rugged, hidden, away-from-the-city outdoor experience into a more boring one that will attract more people.</p>	Comment on Alternative
12/6/2016	Email	<p>Hello, I hope I'm writing to the right person. I understand that the area around Bluffers Park will be given an environmental assessment by several criteria, hoping that what I say here is relevant.</p> <p>1) At Kingston Rd the intersection is very narrow (hopefully someone will survey and observe). Eastbound buses are unable to properly negotiate the turn to go south onto Brimley because of the narrowness. When the traffic is heavy in summertime, it's exacerbated by tiny lanes. I would suggest widening the roadway at least as far as the entry to the school parking lot, as the traffic in summer requires it for safety alone. Ambulances / firetrucks can't get by when it's all backed up. I suspect the capacity of the road can no longer handle the high traffic requirements of a summer weekend, when it's regularly jammed bumper to bumper.</p>	Brimley Road
12/6/2016	Email	<p>2) Please re-assess the speed limits on Brimley. Cars sometimes mistake this for a speedway, driving very aggressively & erratically on the hill between the lake & Kingston Rd.</p>	Brimley Road
12/6/2016	Email	<p>3) As a resident of the area (I live on Larwood Blvd), I am sometimes amazed and appalled by what comes into our neighbourhood, cars turning onto Barkdene at high speed. I believe traffic calming would be helpful (speed bumps? Lower speed limit?). The police are very helpful in summer, catching people who turn in (the signs prohibit this on weekends), but it's a concern all year round. I believe some kind of traffic calming strategy would discourage the yahoos.</p> <p>Thanks for reading this. If you would like me to elaborate / explain, please don't hesitate to contact me. Thanks</p>	Brimley Road
12/15/2016	Phone Call	<p>What will the Project do in terms of protecting the neighbourhood during construction (i.e. shaking houses, construction debris, traffic, etc.)?</p>	Construction & Implementation
12/15/2016	Phone Call	<p>Within the 'Waterfront Experience' tab in the new online Project Map, the area from Poplar Road and Morna Avenue doesn't have a land mass. Is the proposed trail going to be floating over the water?</p>	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
12/15/2016	Phone Call	One of the links in the newsletter directing me to the new website doesn't work. Is the website not live?	Consultation
12/15/2016	Phone Call	Why is the area where I reside not deemed protected?	ESAs/Species Protection
12/15/2016	Phone Call	Is this Project funded? Is there any point in fighting it if there is no funding currently?	Funding/Cost
12/18/2016	Email	without seriously considering alternatives proposed by the public. I urge you to consider plans proposed by Guildwood residents as published in "The Bluffs Monitor" that would preserve the beachfront while still providing safe access to members of the public.	Comment on Alternative
12/18/2016	Email	I have been living in Guildwood for the past 3.5 years and regularly take my dogs walking along the shoreline/beachfront. In my view the current TRCA project plan would destroy the natural beach in favor of a paved walkway	Comment on Alternative
12/20/2016	Email	I am wondering what the format will be for the PIC3 meeting. Will it be the same as PIC2. And how will the public input be handled. I.e., question/answer period at the end of meeting, moderator?	Process
12/20/2016	Email	The beaches also provide a natural escape for us humans who don't want the managed pathway. I would appreciate your comments.	Comment on Alternative
12/20/2016	Email	I appreciate your concern for the bank swallows on the Scarborough Bluffs. I have lived on the bluffs for 67 years and during that time have seen the decline in the cliffs where these birds nest mainly due to the building of the Bluffers Park and the roadway at the base of the bluffs. These additions prevent the access of waves to the bottom of the bluffs. These waves remove the slumping and sliding of clay and sand that come from the face of the bluffs as it falls away due to water seeping through the side of the cliffs. Since the soil is not removed then succession begins on the fallen soil that will eventually destroy the cliffs and form treed slopes. Where will the bank swallows go then?	Ecology
12/20/2016	Email	Also, the soil from the bluffs formed the Toronto Island and maintain beaches at the Bluffs and westward. These beaches are the home of many shore birds. Where will they go?	Ecology
12/20/2016	Email	Our objective is to save the eastern sector's natural sandy shoreline. It is a geologically unique asset not to be destroyed for a political and corporate agenda.	Beach
12/20/2016	Email	We want to create an aesthetically pleasing, recreationally useful, environmentally friendly, cost effective waterfront that saves the natural shoreline with an additional recreationally useful beach.	Beach
12/20/2016	Email	The 11 km. waterfront from Bluffers Park to East Point Park is the publically funded \$100 million opportunity to better access our heritage natural shoreline and provide a multi-use waterfront park complimentary to the spectacular surroundings of the Scarborough Bluffs.	Bluff Geology
12/20/2016	Email	On behalf of the public interest, our alternate plan submitted to the TRCA for consideration can be viewed on www.torontonaturalshoreslines.com . We acknowledge that sandy beaches are priceless commodities enjoyed anywhere in the world. The SWP lies between the Blue Flag sandy beach at Bluffers and the natural shoreline beaches east of Guild Park. Our plan is to enhance the hardened shoreline between Bluffers Park and Guild Park with a headland concept that adds a new Blue flag sandy beach. The 12,000 year old natural sandy shoreline east of Guild Park enjoyed all summer will be preserved in perpetuity for hikers, boaters, swimmers, picnickers, dog walkers and all who enjoy a true waterfront experience. Our Guild Park harbor front concept includes parklands, amenities, a beach and a short stay docking facility for boaters visiting the gardens, the Greek theatre or lunch/dinner at the new Guild Inn restaurant. Our proposed connecting bike trail is completely environmentally friendly with the longest (9 km) uninterrupted shoreline route in Toronto	Comment on Alternative
12/20/2016	Email	Until now, the public has only been given the corporate plan (TRCA preferred option) to destroy 1 ½ km. of natural shoreline with no alternate viable option to consider.	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/3/2017	Email	<p>When are you going to show some leadership by enforcing S. 28(10) of Ontario's Conservation Authorities Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.27 which, among other things, PROHIBITS Toronto Region Conservation Authority (TRCA, formally MTRCA 1) from interfering with the legal efforts of a municipal government - like Toronto's former "Metro Government" (Metro)?</p> <p><Figure 1 Above: Section 28(10). Source: Conservation Authorities Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.27; see: https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90c27#BK28 .></p> <p>Ever since that duly-elected government approved a plan to construct, at Scarborough's East Point Park, a marina (see pic, below), MTRCA/TRCA had been UNFAIRLY OBSTRUCTING, DELAYING, FRUSTRATING and DEFEATING implementation of that decision - as if the unelected TRCA staff and not the elected Metro politicians, had the final say on whether the project went ahead! Despite, by 1995, Metro had approved of the plan by as many as 3 times, for instance, MTRCA had still been UNFAIRLY OBSTRUCTING, DELAYING, FRUSTRATING and DEFEATING the decision's implementation. During MTRCA's 1995-1996 Implementation Shoreline Management Plan (ISMP) meetings, for example, the unelected MTRCA bureaucrats WERE STILL REPEATEDLY IMPROPERLY SIDELINING Metro's approved structure!</p> <p>No wonder Metro Councilor Ken Morrish was frustrated, mad and disappointed!</p> <p><picture of East Point 1989 master plan></p> <p>As already alluded to, since Metro's demise, in 1998, TRCA had, AGAIN, been UNFAIRLY OBSTRUCTING, DELAYING, FRUSTRATING and DEFEATING creation of the marina! TRCA's latest attempt, at killing the deal, for instance, involved several of the agency's unelected members explaining, at public meetings, that, after speaking to unelected managers of existing marinas, around Toronto, at which there were still some empty boat slips, they felt Metro's approved marina was still unnecessary - despite:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Canadians were entitled to democracy; ii) Denying the democracy by UNFAIRLY OBSTRUCTING, DELAYING, FRUSTRATING and DEFEATING Metro's proper decision violated sections 3 and 	Boat dock/launch/marina
1/11/2017	Email	Thank you all again for meeting on December 13th 2016 and offering some insight into the process. There was much discussed and many questions were answered.	Consultation
1/11/2017	Email	There were also questions and action items for which the TRCA indicated an answer would be forthcoming. Would someone kindly respond to these questions and action items, starting specifically with a date to meet with your Coastal Engineers. Following that, the other items addressed that were promised an answer.	Consultation
1/11/2017	Email	<p>It's mid January now, a month from our previous meeting, and I was wondering about the following next steps we discussed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The date for the technical meeting with our group 	Consultation
1/11/2017	Email	I'd like to know the policy reference for this guideline, it looks interesting and I would like to read more.	Mandate
1/11/2017	Email	2. The governance process regarding deputations,	Process

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/11/2017	Email	and where we can be of most influence to change the course of the hardening	Process
1/11/2017	Email	Who on your team decides what final solution will be proposed regarding hardened shorelines or is this an assumption made based on the guidelines you showed us from the City of Toronto.	Process
1/18/2017	Email	Would you be able to give me a rough idea of when the approved (SWP) project would begin and end? There is a document circulating here that suggests 14 years!! and although you cannot have an absolute range, an estimate would be useful. We are developing a document dealing with traffic impacts on the Guild, with a timeline component that shows impacts particularly on Guildwood Parkway and as well, Cumber, Dearham Wood, Toynbee Trail and Chancery Lane, if heavier traffic on Guildwood Parkway diverted down Cumber.	Construction & Implementation
1/18/2017	Email	With the TRCA initiative + the closing of Galloway as the underpass is built + potentially a new school on Dearham Wood, we will see a lot of development at the same time, especially when the Galloway crossing will be closed for 3 years.. Please be reassured that I do not see a range of dates as an absolute. To take that kind of position would be pretty irresponsible. All we need now is a range so that we can assess potential impacts on traffic volumes.	Construction & Implementation
1/23/2017	Email	It is impossible (therefore unreasonable) to accommodate a 6-7 m. wide roadway path where there is no room along a narrow sandy beach coastline.	Access
1/23/2017	Email	Access for the disabled to enjoy a Scarborough Bluffs beach experience is available but limited to Bluffers, Rouge River, Port Union and Beechgrove due to the geological fact of near vertical 200' cliffs.	Accessibility (AODA)
1/23/2017	Email	I have a few questions about your response: 1. "The criteria to designate a beach as having a 'Blue Flag' status include aspects that are not achievable at this location" – please elaborate on the nature of those aspects.	Beach
1/23/2017	Email	The TRCA plan is not only environmentally destructive but renders to the public a diminished recreationally useful sandy shoreline trail. The entire Guild Park and Grey Abbey Park hardened waterfront would be hazardous for the public to enjoy the wonderful potential of a variety of water activity usages. No swimming, sunbathing, picnics, boating, surf boarding, dog wading, or any activity that a sandy beach provides will ever be possible.	Beach
1/23/2017	Email	Nor is it possible or reasonable to level a 100'-300' steep cliff down to a 5-7 degree slope.	Bluff Geology
1/23/2017	Email	As you are aware, TRCA has been hardening the Scarborough Bluffs shoreline since the 1960's and I have been in disagreement with TRCA's elimination of the sandy beachfront east of the Guild Park armourstone shoreline. A sandy coastline anywhere in the world is an acknowledged golden asset. TRCA's corporate roadway plan will destroy 1.7 km. of sandy coastline, reduce the width of the Bluffers Park blue flag beach and deny the hardened Guild Park waterfront the opportunity to regain a sandy beach.	Comment on Alternative
1/23/2017	Email	The 3 km. East Point natural shoreline should remain as is, negating all TRCA mitigation arguments and obligations.	Comment on Alternative
1/23/2017	Email	The ideal SWP would develop only the hardened shoreline from Guild Park to Bluffers. A well engineered switchback public path specific to Guild Park could accommodate a safe and easy access for 98% of all public needs.	Comment on Alternative
1/23/2017	Email	5. Guildwood Village community objections to a 10-15 year disruption project	Construction & Implementation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/23/2017	Email	Thank you for your carefully considered response to our alternate SWP presentation involving the East Segment in the vicinity of Guild, Grey Abbey and East Point Parks. We agree that an SWP could be a valid works program to provide an enjoyable waterfront experience for a growing GTA population. The TRCA's preferred plan and our alternate plan are both feasible waterfront park concepts.	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	3. "More than 1500 comments were collected" – please provide public access to those comments.	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	Our plan offers a natural connection to Lake Ontario that the majority of informed public wants and deserves. The objectives are clear and specific: 1. Provide an environmentally friendly SWP development that retains the 12,000 year old natural shoreline, and 2. Provide a multi-use, recreationally useful waterfront park and trail to safely meet the diverse water activity interests of the public, including those using the waterfront in it's current state.	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	3. Meaningful public involvement to decide the fate of a priceless beach	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	(transparency about the impacts rather than promoting perceived benefits)	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	6. Significant public and stakeholder opposition to TRCA's waterfront roadway plan. A growing number of informed citizens and environmental groups are supporting our alternative plan. As an invited observer at the January 2017 Stakeholders' meeting, I do not recall even one of the stakeholder members in support of the destruction of the shoreline in Section 3. In fact several adamantly questioned and opposed TRCA's service road concept including U. of T. observer environmental students in attendance. Paraphrased from Student #1 - "The project seems to be more concerned with creating recreational space and opportunities than it is about conservation of the environment". Paraphrased from Student #2 - "As an example of an environmental project, it seems to be more about destruction of the natural environment than protecting it".	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	We strongly urge TRCA corporate management to act responsibly by cancelling the PIC3 until all the SWP issues have been appropriately resolved.	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	4. Compliance with 2012 TRCA's environmental recommendations, ESA and ANSI in the areas of Section 3	Ecology
1/23/2017	Email	The unmaintained healthy aquatic and wildlife coastal habitat is composed of sand, rocks and driftwood.	Ecology
1/23/2017	Email	2. "It is the risk of these episodic failures that the City and TRCA must mitigate against, if the beach is to be used by the public" – please elaborate on the risk alternatives regarding Avoiding, Mitigating, Transferring or Accepting those risks of Bluffs Failure.	Erosion
1/23/2017	Email	TRCA could propose and prepare an EA for either plan depending on the corporate agenda.	Mandate
1/23/2017	Email	Your conclusions to dismiss our alternate plan that acknowledges the value of a precious beach are based on the corporate decision to adversely devalue the significance of a sandy beach. Applying Toronto public path guidelines to a narrow sandy shoreline, we believe is an inappropriate application of regulations to wrongly urbanize an environmentally fragile natural beach.	Mandate
1/23/2017	Email	It would be premature and irresponsible to assert TRCA's waterfront roadway agenda on the public at this time, as a final SWP plan for PIC3, until the following issues are addressed and resolved. 1. Construction of the Morningside railway underpass and the impacts to the planned bike trail 2. Metrolinx's requirements along Copperfield Road, and the impacts to the planned bike trail	Other Initiatives
1/23/2017	Email	The private waterfront ownerships have protected the environmentally friendly shoreline from unwanted industrial, commercial, political and corporate development ventures.	Private Landowners
1/23/2017	Email	We also believe it is for MOECC to accept or reject our SWP submission based on waterfront park opportunities and factual environmental merits.	Process

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/23/2017	Email	Thank you for the opportunity to participate in the stakeholder meeting on January 11 2017. It's a complicated project, a tough job to be sure.	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	Third request. (Dec meeting, Jan followup letter, and now this letter.)	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	Third request. (Dec meeting, Jan followup letter, and now this letter.)	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	Fourth request (Dec meeting, Jan follow-up letter, public request at meeting Jan 11, and now this letter.)	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	3. Publishing of the "1500 comments" – TRCA constantly refers to the "1500 comments", but the public has never seen them. I understand that common practice for TRCA on this project is to release the full set of comments after the decisions have all been made. I am suggesting this is not a best practice in community change management. I believe that a more timely and transparent approach would be to release the comments now, so that the community can see a holistic view of collected opinion. I would like to see the full spectrum of community viewpoints before PIC3 so that community position is understood, which is claimed to be more diverse than the current stakeholder committee (resistance only) but cannot be proved.	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	4. Publicize the stakeholder contacts first to each other, and to the community – The community cannot tell who represents community opinion, as the committee members' names and contact information is not available to the members of the committee, or to the public. Please publish this information. Please circulate the stakeholder committee contact list as requested at the meeting January 11 by Sharon Gawtery, a member of the Stakeholder Committee, and agreed to by project staff.	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	5. Effectiveness of the "Workbook"– It's unclear what participants are to do with the workbook, nor is there a timeline to which the invited feedback will be allowed. The request from Annaliese I heard was "What we would like to have from you tonight..." I believe this to be unreasonable, to expect a 2.5 hour presentation to be considered carefully by the audience and comprehensive, careful feedback collected. This also, to me, creates question marks around the quality of "quick, off-the-cuff data" on such a serious matter, collected from the participants of meetings which include these manual workbooks. It's a companion only to the presentation, the complete contents of which is not provided to the participants. It's only partial release of information, yet TRCA expecting comments on the whole of the information discussed at the meeting.	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	6. Ensure Traceability of Collected Feedback – I am suggesting that the next meeting's workbooks be adjusted to include contact information - the same information which would be collected via email or website – name, email address, street address. Here are the problems the current feedback collection mechanism creates: a. Can't trace workbook feedback to the people who provided it b. This raises questions about the quality and integrity of the data provided through the meeting feedback mechanism c. The method used has holes, allowing for the possibility of "stacking the deck" with planted feedback from project team (I'm not suggesting this is occurring, but in the absence of a clear document control method, there is "buzz" that it is happening, something that is not in anyone's best interest.) d. Privacy is not an issue here, because people are signing into the meeting, providing contact information for attendance, and for a non-disclosure clause at the meeting, but not for feedback provided. Attendance and control of external communication of information, appears more important than feedback to the project in the best interest of the community.	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	7. Request for a panel meeting with other stakeholders- Please book a joint stakeholder meeting, "panel style", so that the community stakeholders can ask questions related to the SWP of the following groups: Metrolinx project, Emergency Services (police, fire, ambulance, storm water). Many questions are coming up from the community placing the TRCA team as a go-between to bring back answers. This creates un-necessary triangulation and "broken telephone", and it's most expedient to allow the other stakeholders and interdependent projects to represent themselves and speak freely with this community group for at least one discussion.	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/23/2017	Email	8. Missing some obvious stakeholders from the community discussion table – Please give us the history how the stakeholder committee was developed. We believe there to be a number of stakeholders missing from the table: City, Emergency Services, interdependent Projects, etc. The Stakeholder Committee seems to have many questions best answered by these stakeholders, yet TRCA seems to “speak for them” or say they will take the questions back, when clearly the best approach to stop cycling and triangulation is to have these stakeholders at the table. Otherwise, the Stakeholder Committee becomes a “Resistance Management Meeting”, not a 360 view of the stakeholders in the truest sense of “Stakeholder Committee”. The way the meeting is currently conducted is not conducive to holistic discussion. I believe it’s important for the missing stakeholders to hear first-hand the community concerns, so that items can be taken back directly for them to re-work, or conversely the community can hear from them why particular solutions may not be preferred by those stakeholders. I believe the quorum present is skewed to reflect resistance only, and project staff. It’s in TRCA’s best interest to invite and enforce 360 participation.	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	3. Sources of “Policy” constraints - As discussed in December, and again in January, please provide the document, or the citation for the quoted policy and/or guidelines statements regarding “Shorelines must be hardened”. We need to understand the source so we can appeal to that source, for change. We are given to understand this statement to originate somewhere in documents from the 1960s or 1970s, and believe this concept to be outdated. The rest of the world is moving in other directions. We understand that your project perceives constraints around this, but we would like to demonstrate to the levels of government that own this “policy”, that to have a world class shoreline treatment by today’s standards, hardening may not be the only solution. We also need to understand by the kind of document whether this is a by-law, a guideline (malleable), a policy, or some other standard.	Mandate
1/23/2017	Email	I’m writing with a list of outstanding items, as well as some new items as a result of the stakeholder meeting January 11. Previous Requests from December 2016 When we met in December 2016, the following items were agreed between us: 1. Small Group Technical Meeting - As offered by TRCA to our group in December 2016, please book the technical meeting for our small group – <name> , <name> , <name> , <name> , <name> .	Process
1/23/2017	Email	2. Governance Process Disclosure - As agreed in December 2016, please inform us as to the governance process and steps regarding deputations. We have been deferred on numerous occasions from attending this function. We are formally requesting to be positioned in front of decision-makers before PIC 3. Please let us know what we need to do, to engage in this process effectively.	Process
1/23/2017	Email	New items resulting from TRCA Stakeholder meeting January 11, 2017 I attended the Stakeholder meeting on January 11, and as a result would respectfully ask for the following items: 1. Disclosure of Meeting Minutes – please publish the meeting minutes and agenda from this meeting, and from all previous stakeholder meetings. The last publication I can find is in the Record of Communication in 2015.	Process
1/23/2017	Email	2. Presentation circulation - Please send the presentation to the group, from the meeting January 11. The workbook provided is not a replacement for the presentation, as the contents differ.	Process

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/23/2017	Email	<p>9. Project Management Items The following items are pertinent to the general providing effective feedback, and I am requesting they be published before PIC 3:</p> <p>a. Overall project –</p> <p>i. Please publish costs for the project broken down by geographic project section;</p> <p>ii. please publish timelines broken down by geographic section;</p> <p>iii. Please publish a project risk analysis and mitigation sheet (risks to project delivery i.e. running out of funding before completion etc.)</p> <p>b. Specific to Guild, Grey Abbey and East Point –</p> <p>i. Please publish a risk scenario analysis around “the risk of not doing” vs. the preferred solution scenarios specific to Guild, Grey Abbey and East Point – i.e., bluffs failure if the project is completed, bluffs failure if the project is not completed. There seem to be a variety of solutions in view here – collecting sand, headlands, other methods. Please provide the risk analysis for all of them for “Risk of doing, Risk of not doing.”</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to these requests, and looking forward to our upcoming working sessions.</p>	Process
1/23/2017	Email	<p>Quick response. We know how to submit the delegation. We want to understand the governance process to avoid further deferrals. Nancy understands this question, she was at the meeting and agreed to find out why we were deferred, and how to make this happen.</p>	Process
1/23/2017	Email	<p>Thank you for getting back to me with the minutes from our Dec 13th meeting. Most questions seem unanswered until the meeting is scheduled with the Coastal engineers.</p>	Consultation
1/23/2017	Email	<p>However there is one question asked that has still gone unanswered. Specifically where will the Metrolinx rail expansion displace the current Waterfront Trail?</p>	Other Initiatives
1/23/2017	Email	<p>Also, the group asked for clarification on the delegation process, to which the minutes state: “the public will have an opportunity to make a delegation once the report goes to the Board, which is anticipated in spring 2017” There still remains little clarification here. Questions like: How and when will we be notified of our opportunity? How will the TRCA assure us of ample time to arrange a delegation. The last delegation attempt took months to schedule. I look forward to hearing from you again on these outstanding matters.</p>	Process
1/24/2017	Email	<p>There are many existing access points for people to access this shoreline area.</p>	Access
1/24/2017	Email	<p>If you are creating this for bikers, there are many alternate routes one can take to regain access to the shoreline. I am an avid cyclist and am very much aware of the existing possibilities, as I am sure you are. I cycle for miles along the shoreline, but take detours wherever necessary. I would not expect the city to pave the last remaining segment of sand beach for myself, a cyclist.</p>	Access
1/24/2017	Email	<p>Flying home from Europe this fall, the Swiss Airline Magazine held some stunning photos portraying Toronto. One of them was an aerial view of the magnificent sandy beaches along Lake Ontario's shoreline of the Scarborough Bluffs. The magazine article portrayed Toronto as a city with beautiful beaches. We need to keep it that way!</p> <p>MTRCA's own website states, “The Scarborough Bluffs are an iconic natural wonder located at the doorstep of Canada's largest city. Towering above Toronto's Lake Ontario waterfront they offer strikingly beautiful natural greenspaces and support increasingly rare habitats for a wide variety of of fish, birds and other species and support increasingly rare habitats for a wide variety of of fish, birds and other wildlife.” Let's keep this picture as pristine as MTRCA makes it sound. Do not harden and pave over our beautiful natural beaches. keep them “strikingly beautiful”! Let's not disturb our wildlife with unnecessary bulldozing!</p>	Comment on Alternative
1/24/2017	Email	<p>Or if you have to harden anything, harden only the short distance that could connect the waterfront at the bottom of Sylvan to Bluffers Park. Now that would be useful!</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/24/2017	Email	Please note, I am NOT in opposition of creating a hardened waterfront trail as long as we don't include our beautiful sandy beaches in the process. There are MANY alternatives one could entertain that would NOT include paving over our 'green' sandy beaches. It is imperative MTRCA consider those alternatives before hardening our last sandy beaches.	Comment on Alternative
1/24/2017	Email	P.S. Please take a look at the photos I have attached. Compare the 'hardened shoreline' photos with the natural, untouched, shoreline photos. Which landscape photos would you say are the "greenest" and most preserved in their natural state? Don't we have enough concrete in our cities? It would be criminal to allow Toronto's iconic Scarborough Bluffs to tower over an artificial, hardened landscape, instead of it's natural, spectacular beaches. Absolutely nothing could justify such action! <Submitted four pictures in email> Picture 1: headlands Picture 2: sandy shoreline Picture 3: sandy shoreline from top of bluffs Picture 4: headland up close	Comment on Alternative
1/24/2017	Email	You state on your webpage the following: "With decades of practical experience in protecting our environment, educating young people, and engaging communities, TRCA works with governments, businesses, and individuals to build a greener, cleaner healthier place to live." If this is indeed the case, then you need to keep green what is already green. I am speaking about the Scarborough Waterfront Project and your plan to harden the existing spectacular beach between the Guild Inn and East Point Park. You say you want to build a greener environment. There is nothing to build upon in the area I mentioned. It is as green as it can get. By paving and placing abutments along the shoreline, you will denaturalize one of the last remaining sandy shorelines in the GTA.	Comment on Alternative
1/24/2017	Email	I would rather keep this treasure as is.	Comment on Alternative
1/24/2017	Email	The quote above mentions you work with individuals. Have you asked the stakeholders their opinions about hardening the beach? Or are you simply choosing to work with businesses and government?	Consultation
1/24/2017	Email	I thought we lived in a democratic society where citizens do have an input. MTRCA holds public forums and ask for the public's opinion. People put up their sticky notes on your bulletin boards at your meetings. But do you really take into consideration public opinion, or do you simply follow protocol and bulldoze ahead with your own agenda?	Consultation
1/24/2017	Email	I realize I have many questions, however, I would greatly appreciate an answer to all of my questions.	Consultation
1/24/2017	Email	Sometimes when we try to 'make improvements', we end up degrading our natural environment. This is my big concern for the proposed 'Waterfront Project'.	Ecology
1/24/2017	Email	It is my understanding that the project of hardening this area will cost multi millions of dollars. This is at the taxpayers expense. Councillor Gary Crawford has stated that city council is 91 million dollars short of balancing its 2017 budget. He is proposing that some services be cut and property taxes most likely will increase as well as water and garbage collection rates. My goodness! How essential is this Waterfront Project in the scheme of the city's financial state! Why not redirect this money and put it towards something of value.	Funding/Cost
1/24/2017	Email	My question to you is "What is the main purpose of hardening this beautiful sandy beach?"	Mandate
1/24/2017	Email	But please go by your motto. Conserve and protect!	Mandate
1/24/2017	Email	The other question I have is who is MTRCA accountable to? Who besides MTRCA do I need to address my concerns to. Who is at the very top of this ladder of decision making?	Process
1/24/2017	Email	Could you please explain the urgent necessity of this project?	Process

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
1/24/2017	Email	On a side note, I cycle regularly from the Guild Inn area to Frenchman's Bay. I have noticed that the new expansion of the pier has resulted in several hundred feet of the most pristine part of the beach, being strewn with deep algae. I believe this is a direct result from the long pier blocking any access for algae to be swept away. I have frequented this area for decades and only after the implementation of the new pier, has the beach been affected. It is not just a temporary issue as the 'sludge' has remained, even throughout the winter months. Perhaps, MTRCA could remedy this situation or at least have workers pick up the algae. Could you please let me know what you will do about this scenario?	Water Quality
1/24/2017	Email	In my last letter to you, I pointed out the deep sludge along the beach that has resulted since the building of the new pier at Frenchman's Bay. Here are a few photos for you to see. I would like to know how you will remedy this situation. Can staff be allotted to clean the mess on a regular basis? There is no outlet for the algae to escape now. It remains during the winter months. It would be nice to have a pristine beach once again. As well, in the summer the smell is horrific! This seems to be an unfortunate 'byproduct' of an attempted 'improvement'. I would greatly appreciate an answer to my question. <Email included 3 images> Picture 1: Sandy shoreline with algae with pier ahead Picture 2: Sandy shoreline with algae Picture 3: Sandy shoreline with algae	Water Quality
1/26/2017	Email	The other plan is costly, will take years to complete and loses the natural shoreline which has already been protected. We live here.	Comment on Alternative
1/26/2017	Email	In reference to the Guildwood Waterfront we feel that Plan 2 proposed by the Friends of the Bluffs is what we would like to see happen.	Consultation
1/27/2017	Email	I am expressing my opposition to the TRCA/WATERFRONT TORONTO paving paradise and putting up a bike path on Greyabbey Beach.	Comment on Alternative
1/27/2017	Email	Don't get your heads stuck in the sand and FULFILL YOUR MANDATE TO CONSERVE, in this case a rare geological and historical sand beach.	Mandate
1/27/2017	Email	A bike path already exists from Guildwood parkway onto Greyabbey through the residential cut through to the baseball diamond and parallel to the railway tracks and the fence of the chemical plant to the waterfront trail off Beachgrove and the East Point Park parking lot.	Comment on Alternative
1/27/2017	Email	I just wanted to follow up and inquire about where I can find new reading material on developments in the East Portion. If I'm not mistaken, a decision has been made to go with the Conservation Authority's decision to extend the Waterfront Trail into East Point Park. Where can I find updated information about this?	Consultation
2/1/2017	Email	Why doesn't the project scope include the development of a few footpaths from the top of the bluffs to the waters edge? Smaller versions of the Bellamy trail along other small water streams would enable pedestrian access down rather than having to rely on large roads such as Brimley to get down to the water. For example, there appears to be an opportunity for a gradually descending dirt pathway along the ravine originating at the intersection of Brooklawn Avenue and Barkdene Road.	Access
2/1/2017	Email	All along the Guildwood parkway we have cyclist and many families use the sidewalk and this practice is dangerous at times as some families believe they have the right of way on the sidewalk over pedestrians and come at you 3 or four abreast. There have been many shouting matches to display this. In this case there is a verge and the cyclist can go to the grass verge not continue on the sidewalk if people are walking on it. Extremely rude, inconsiderate and uneducated as to the rules of the sidewalk.	Access

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/1/2017	Email	The Scarborough Bluffs are unique to Toronto. Even the beaches has a wooden walkway not concrete or asphalt. We must keep the area as rustic and natural yet safe as we can for the generations to come. I enjoy taking my grandchildren down the bluffs to sit in the sand and just watch the lake. Just as you put Riverdale Farm in the middle of the City for families who could not afford to get out of the City and see wild or farm life, so the Guild beaches [with sand] should be preserved for families whose only opportunity to see nature's wonder in water and sand remains just a walk away.	Comment on Alternative
2/1/2017	Email	I implore you as our representatives of government and agencies to maintain the natural habitat of beach and sand. As a City we are already under comparison to Chicago's waterfront that remains public and people friendly versus our downtown waterfront. Let us not ruin this natural habitat of the Guild Bluffs further. Change the TRCA plan to be environmentally and community friendly and listen to the community and keep the hiking trail along the waterfront. Someone please stand up for Scarborough.	Comment on Alternative
2/1/2017	Email	TRCA states it will take 13-15 years to complete their old plan, I may be dead by then and my grandchildren will have completed university and moved on. They will not benefit to living in this area under this construction schedule. Have you seen the mess of the Guild Inn grounds during construction.	Construction & Implementation
2/1/2017	Email	Considering the "Friends of the Guild" proposed plan provides a bike path for these cyclist safely along Kingston Road not intrusive to pedestrians.	Consultation
2/1/2017	Email	A proposal from "Friends of the Guild" proposes a plan that takes the asphalt portion up the Bluffers Park Road and along Kingston Road rather than along the waterfront to join up with the Waterfront Trail. [which has been built since 1967 and therefore should demand review of all TRCA waterfront proposals to connect] This plan is much more friendly to the environment and less disruptive to the wildlife and surely will be loss costly and disruptive. It connects to the Waterfront Trail which is a continuous path for cyclist - not disruptive in climbing up a steep hill with bike in hand or turning around.	Consultation
2/1/2017	Email	You don't get that downtown, there is much more conscious effort to cause less disruption. We have deer, foxes, groundhogs, skunks and obviously raccoons and squirrels to name a few. I moved to the Guild from the Beaches because of this proximity to nature versus the inner core of the City.	Ecology
2/1/2017	Email	I tolerated the assigned contractor by City Council who stripped the Guild of many unnecessary trees during the Emerald Ash Borer outbreak who later pointed out they did more than remove contaminated Ash Trees under the guise of the Ash Borer and this caused much anger in the community. Every thicket or forest grove requires 'farming' and removal of dead or diseased trees, but to ignore the practice for 50 years then do a correction in one fell swoop is unkind and too hard on the natural habitat. It will take another 40 years to restore. That is a lifetime for many.	Ecology
2/1/2017	Email	I have lived in Guildwood Village for almost 40 years and I have had the privilege of walking down the access road at the bottom of Galloway Road to walk either east or west along the lake shore. Over the last 10 years the TRCA has done restoration of the bluffs to prevent erosion in mostly the western portion and some along the eastern portion from Galloway. In doing this they dumped huge pieces of concrete into the water's edge to prevent sand and soil erosion. However concrete lasts forever, FOREVER and the concrete they permitted to be dumped still contained the steel reinforcement bars in it. These jutted out of the water in some cases in others they are hidden. My dog used to enjoy jumping into the water from the beach. However when we noticed the steel beams still encased in concrete as the method the TRCA was permitting, all dog jumping had to cease or we would have had an impaled animal. This was extreme anti-environmental in my view. I did write to Councillor Ainslie but could not reverse this process and from what I observed did not stop.	Existing Conditions
2/1/2017	Email	In addition many non-motor boaters off lake Ontario stop for a rest at our beaches rather than Bluffers Park which is extremely busy and thereby provides proof of the necessity of sand and water.	Existing Conditions

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
2/1/2017	Email	Now the TRCA's 40 year old plan from 1967 is still being adhered to. Things have changed since then. Surely new people have been hired and surely they must have some vision? The TRCA plan is asphalt all the way along the waterline from Bluffers park to an access path just west of Beachgrove. Why? It is natural habitat. People walking do not need an asphalt or concrete path - gravel suffices for a hiking path.. If the intent is for bicycles then the path TRCA lays out leads to a walking path up the bluffs. Cyclist would have to carry their bikes up the hill. Who would do that and why ruin the waterfront just for cyclists. Don't pedestrians count?	Mandate
2/1/2017	Email	It would appear that Toronto City Council does not listen to Scarborough with the voting yesterday to review and delay again the City waste pick up and not include Scarborough for numerous reasons all of which should have been considered upon initial proposal last year, and the continual 12 [TWELVE] year delay in the Scarborough Subway which has been approved by both Provincial and Federal Governments.	Miscellaneous
2/2/2017	Email	How about the devastating impact of very strong, direct wind impact on increasingly weakened and exposed slopes? Then there is also severe water run off erosion with less significant vegetation to hold back and absorb some of the storm excesses. As the saying goes..."it's complicated". Of course, there are there are more influences at work here.	Erosion
2/13/2017	Email	any word on this yet? <PIC#3 format>	Process
2/17/2017	Email	I am writing to you to request that you consider an alternate plan for the beaches of East Point, Grey Abbey and Highland Creek (I refer to it as the Guildwood beaches).	Comment on Alternative
2/17/2017	Email	The current plans to build a bike path would destroy the current use of the beach by the people and the natural wildlife in the city. My son and I regularly swim and enjoy picnics with other families there. With a hardened shoreline - we could bike and see the lake, but not actually enjoy the water.	Comment on Alternative
2/17/2017	Email	I would be happy to take you on a picnic there or provide a tour if it would help you to appreciate how beautiful and rare this piece of Toronto is. Please help protect this beach, not destroy it.	Comment on Alternative
2/17/2017	Email	I understand there is an alternate plan to build a marina and beach that would be useful to the community since the Guild Inn is also being restored. This alternate plan brings people to the lake and also preserves the natural habitat enjoyed by the wildlife such as the endangered sand swallows, the deer, fish and other species.	Consultation
2/20/2017	Email	I have attended the information sessions, and read all the material on your website. I'm still not entirely clear why Greyabbey beach must be hardened. Many people do not wish to see this happen, and it's not because they want to keep the beach to themselves. They wish to see improved access without altering the beach itself. If the risk of landslides is the main reason, this needs to be made more clear. (Just saying that the proposed plan best meets your organizations objectives doesn't seem to be satisfactory explanation.	Comment on Alternative
2/20/2017	Email	I hope the reasons for beach hardening can be better explained at the next meeting. I look forward to seeing you there when the meeting is announced.	Comment on Alternative
2/20/2017	Email	Is the cost of extending the trail out over the water (to keep it clear from landslides) too prohibitive to be an option? What are the cost differences?	Consultation
2/20/2017	Email	Has the proposed alternative presented by "Friends of the Bluffs" been considered?	Consultation
2/26/2017	Email	When roughly - give or take three weeks - do you expect the next public meeting to be held.	Process
3/1/2017	Email	Where does funding come from for this significant project?	Funding/Cost
3/1/2017	Email	Did the City make money collecting surplus soil 'dumping/tipping' fees over the years from developers in the GTA area?	Funding/Cost
3/1/2017	Email	It is a significant geological area which is so important to wildlife. Please save our waterfront for future generations.	Bluff Geology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
3/1/2017	Email	I am writing to you regarding the Scarborough waterfront trail shoreline. It is important to me and my family that it be kept as is.	Comment on Alternative
3/1/2017	Email	We need a true beach for walkers, swimmers and boaters.	Comment on Alternative
3/1/2017	Email	Yes I have seen those design plates and I am well aware of the current waterfront trail, as I have traveled it for over 30 years. The Metrolinx expansion will not displace the current Waterfront Trail. Please see attached excerpt of the Lake Ontario Waterfront Trail. The trail is also marked out on the street posts. I urge you to consider this in forming your information. In addition, one may physically stand anywhere along the rail corridor and see that the expansion will not displace the current Waterfront Trail.	Other Initiatives
3/1/2017	Email	Possibly I am missing something obvious here, if so please advise. Once again I very much appreciate your getting back to me, and look forward to continued discussion on this matter.\	Process
3/1/2017	Email	I also attach minutes from a TRCA meeting from 2012 which proposes improvements to the Waterfront Trail along Copperfield Road.	Comment on Alternative
3/2/2017		Thanks for the update and for the good work that you are doing on the Scarborough Waterfront Project – West Segment. It's reassuring to know that this great area is getting attention,	Comment on Alternative
3/2/2017	Online Submission Form	I am a part of a growing great lake surf community in the city of Toronto. Your project plans as presented will -- in the "West Segment" -- destroy three of the best surf spots in the city of Toronto. There are very few surf spots in Toronto, and these are the absolute best ones. Please reconsider your design so that it does not essentially eliminate one of the best current uses of the city shorelines. Contact myself and/or Surf Ontario (our local surf shop) for further information regarding Toronto's growing surf community and how your project will destroy our current usage of the waterfront. I am sure there is another alternative that can protect this current usage and increase usage in the ways that you are hoping.	Comment on Alternative
3/2/2017	Email	I am one of thousands in Toronto's growing surf community. I have been surfing Lake Ontario at Bluffers Park for over 5 years - in the last few years, as wetsuit technology has bettered, our surf community has grown from a few hundred to thousands. Toronto's surf community uses the waterfront in all seasons, and especially in winter. That's when the surf is often the best. Three of the best surf breaks in Toronto - the ones that we are really known for - are in bluffers park. And your current plans to build a "Headland Protected with Armour Stone Revetment" in the west segment will destroy those surf breaks. On certain days, those breaks come very close to a class that can be compared with ocean waves. These are the only spots in the city of Toronto that can produce that kind of wave. Again, your project will destroy that forever. Please reconsider your plans! And please feel free to contact me for more information about this and the surf community in Toronto.	Comment on Alternative
3/2/2017	Email	Please rethink this project. Bluffers is an important surf spot in the GTA, and the proposed changes would ruin it.	Comment on Alternative
3/3/2017	Email	As a Guildwood resident who lives on the bluffs, I want to convey my support for the TRCA's recommended alternative in the Scarborough Waterfront Project. My thanks to Councillor Ainslie for supporting the TRCA's final application. I appreciate your efforts to communicate your position in your letter of February 7, 2017. My thanks to TRCA staff for all of your planning work in the public interest.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
3/3/2017	Email	<p>I would like to take a moment to inform you that the proposed changes to the shoreline as part of the Scarborough waterfront project, will put an end to 3 very well known and enjoyed surf spots. The bathymetry of the area is very unique and conducive for wave formations conducive for watersports.</p> <p>In case this factor has been overlooked it should be brought to your attention that this type of sport is rapidly growing in popularity around the great lakes. Fueling a great deal of local tourism and attention to specific locations and for these reasons the area known as the Scarborough bluffs has become focal point for many things surf relates. If the changes proposed are made this could end all of that and more for people making a business of the great lakes surf industry. Perhaps due consideration may be given during the changes as its role in the community of Ontario</p>	Comment on Alternative
3/3/2017	Email	Possibilities exist to even improve the locations' surfability,	Comment on Alternative
3/3/2017	Email	but to move forward without consideration to the burgeoning surf community of Ontario would be a significant blow to the people trying to enjoy the simpler things in life, which is what it's all supposed to be about, the last time I checked.	Comment on Alternative
3/3/2017	Email	<p>If you know anything about oceans, lakes and how water flow is affected by beaches, cliff and other shoreline geological structures, you know that good surfing waves are wholly dependent on those structures. In Toronto, there are a number of well established "breaks" (waves that break consistently in the same place) and which are beloved by our surf community. If you go messing about with the shoreline, with no regard to the impact on surfing, chances are those very specific "breaks" will disappear. And then we will have nowhere to play. Whereas the average terrestrial user of Bluffers has many options of where to walk, surfers do not; the magic of "surfable waves" only happens at very precise spots under very precise conditions. Please don't take them away.</p> <p>Perhaps the proposed changes might include the SURF factor? Maybe they could improve the existing surf breaks?</p> <p>We are a very community minded group of nature loving urban surfers who would love to participate in the betterment of our parks - both land and water.</p> <p>Please include us in your discussions, ideas and proposals. We know those waters better than anyone else because that is where we live.</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
3/4/2017	Email	<p>With regards to the two points you make below:</p> <p>Unsigned connection - This is an off-road portion of the trail which in reality can just be removed from the mapping of The Waterfront Trail as a solution. This corridor has a width of 9m exceeding the City of Toronto Multi-Use Trail Guidelines, and I shall point out that is a guideline, surely exceptions can be made. With regard to it being undesirable, that is an opinion. I am quite certain the public can handle 95m of fencing and wall if you were to give them an option between that and paving a beach. See the following references:</p> <p>"Trail construction and use must protect sensitive ecosystems and minimize negative effects on the environment. Sensitive ecosystems are normally avoided entirely by looping the trail around these areas." Reid, R., Woodburn, B., Lockhart, R., Peterson, B., The Waterfront Trail: First Steps from Concept to Reality. Toronto 1991 (p. 48)</p> <p>"As elsewhere along the Waterfront Trail, the location of the trail varies. It is at the water's edge as much as possible, but often it is located further inland to include cultural heritage sites, to avoid sensitive natural areas, and to respect private uses of the shoreline." The Metropolitan Toronto and Region Conservation Authority. Integrated Shoreline Management Strategy (ISMP), Toronto 1996 (p. 38)</p> <p>Signed connection - A pedestrian tunnel or overpass is an option here. This can be seen in many locations of Toronto such as Gerrard Square bridge, East Don Trail rainbow tunnel, Port Union Waterfront tunnel to name a few.</p>	Comment on Alternative
3/4/2017	Email	You mention a study was taken for the Copperfield portion. May you please send me that study and the corresponding results.	Comment on Alternative
3/4/2017	Email	Unfortunately the TRCA has not been clearly stating information.	Consultation
3/4/2017	Email	<p>I would ask that in any future communication the team is very clear and use simple terms when communicating. In addition I would like the team to understand that the items I have brought forward are not incorrect. If anything I am helping the team in better understanding the particulars of the area, and helping you with public communication.</p> <p>I very much appreciate the ongoing open dialogue the team continues to have on this and other matters.</p>	Consultation
3/4/2017	Email	<p>For further clarification and correction I would like to draw attention to statements made by the TRCA concerning the existing Waterfront Trail. During the course of public consultation the words and phrases the public have been hearing is "displace" "have to move" "cannot exist". Now we are hearing "constrain". Once again this flip flop of wording is not clear, it causes confusion and makes the public feel like this is being done to us, and this has to happen. It does not have to happen, this is not something the TRCA must do. At our meeting on December 13th 2016 we were shown a slide presentation stating that the Metrolinx expansion will DISPLACE, the current Waterfront Trail. I attach that presentation here. Anneliese Grieve also stated in that meeting, "The Metrolinx expansion within the rail corridor will displace The Waterfront Trail in the East Segment, so we know we have to move it." It does not have to be moved, in fact the TRCA does not have to do anything.</p>	Other Initiatives
3/4/2017	Email	<p>I am one of the many surfers that use this park. I understand that the waves were made because of earlier Man made initiatives. All we ask is that you include plans to shape the concrete Armour head in such a way that creates a nice little wave. So many people want this! It's super easy to do and has been done in many parts of the world. The engineering is straight forward. Usually the tough part is the environmental impact to the fishes. You guys would already be covering that, so just a little wave mold under the water and presto! This becomes the coolest park project in Toronto history. Please consult us surfers! We are a very large community.</p>	Comment on Alternative
3/6/2017	Phone Call	Proposed that a high-rise building be constructed in the West Segment in the forested area north of Bluffer's Park.	Development
3/6/2017	Phone Call	<p>Indicated that a high-rise would provide an enjoyable experience to park visitors who would be provided with a great view.</p> <p>Indicated that a high-rise would be a tourist attraction for the area.</p>	Development

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
3/6/2017	Phone Call	Indicated that such a building would act as a retaining wall and control erosion.	Erosion
3/7/2017	Email	And is the lighthouse yours or the feds? I'm guessing part of your plan is to move it correct?	Comment on Alternative
3/7/2017	Email	Can you tell me if you have a timeline for construction of the headland at bluffers park?	Construction & Implementation
3/8/2017	Voicemail	Favour in Plan 2 for the waterfront project where the beach will be saved near Grey Abbey. I just wanted to put that input in. I hope you can give me a call back, if I'm out, the phone number is <> . There is an answering machine. Thank you.	Comment on Alternative
3/9/2017	Email	<p>It was great speaking with you this morning. In follow up to our conversation - I have attached a number of Surf Photos that have been taken over the years specifically at the Bluffers Park location we discussed this morning (see below for map attachment) . As I mentioned there are approx 1000 - 2000 Surf enthusiasts that have a very keen interest in this wave not changing. I have been surfing this location for almost 30 years and consider it the best wave spot on Lake Ontario during the spring, fall and winter months. The concern of the Surfing community in the GTA is specific to Alternative Plan 5B. In this plan it is proposed that a Headland Protection is created on the West Side of the Western Segment. This Headland Protection would have a significant calming effect on the wave. This would essentially eliminate any opportunity to surf this location and would destroy one of the best surf waves in the Great Lakes. Going forward I would be more than happy to work closely with your team to find solutions to not only protect this amazing wave spot but also discuss economical ways to make this entire project preferred for all those who use the lake for recreational purposes. Please enjoy the surf photos below from this surf location we commonly refer to as "Lighthouse".</p> <p><Picture 1 - two people surfing; Picture 2 - multiple people surfing; Picture 3 - multiple people surfing; Picture 4 - one person surfing; Picture 5 - multiple people surfing close up; Picture 6 - surfing area marked></p>	Comment on Alternative
3/21/2017	Email	<p>As chair of the TRCA I'm hoping you are able to assist me with some information. I'm seeking a contact to have a brief discussion with, regarding the Scarborough Waterfront Project (https://trca.ca/news/getting-know-scarborough-waterfront-project-west-segment/)</p> <p>A few of us in the local community have some questions about the study, and current status, and haven't yet had luck connecting directly through the TRCA page. We're hoping that you can facilitate a contact for us.</p> <p>If this email is also being read by Rob Andreacchi, we'd be happy to connect with you as well for guidance. A friend spoke very highly of you and said you'd be a great resource to guide us in the right direction.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration</p>	Consultation
3/21/2017	Voicemail	I'd like to know what the status is of building that road from the Guild to the Rouge. Just wondering if it's on or off. I've been told both and I'd like to get that clear because I'm right down on the lake. Give me a call please.	Process
3/22/2017	Email	<p>Thank you very much for your quick response; it's very much appreciated!</p> <p>Copied on this email are two additional community members; Robin and Nadia. We are reaching out as part of the local community that uses the waterfront year-round for recreation. We are surfers on the lake - this is a year-round (especially in winter) sport for us, and the Bluffs are a critical part of that recreation. The surf community in Toronto has a collective concern about some of the plans and would like to collaborate on the best outcomes for the environment, community, and recreational users of the waterfront. We'd love to be a partner on this!</p>	Comment on Alternative
3/22/2017	Email	Timing for public consultations (past and any upcoming)	Consultation
3/22/2017	Email	Thoughts on how we can be proactive in providing thoughts from a niche community that uses the area	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
3/22/2017	Email	<p>A contact going forward (is there one person that we can liaise with at TRCA for consistency)</p> <p>Rather than getting into too much detail over email, if you're able to share some of the points above, perhaps from there we can determine the best way to connect, and communicate updates to the rest of the interested community.</p> <p>Again, thank you so much for taking the time. We look forward to your response.</p>	Consultation
3/22/2017	Email	<p>At this point, we're just looking for some information on a few key points:</p> <p>The current status of the study, and any high level timelines / milestones that can be shared</p>	Process
3/22/2017	Email	<p>The following attachment is a Scarborough Waterfront Project response to a question I posed via my use of a comments link contained within a Waterfront electronically circulated report .</p> <p>Perhaps I have not fully expressed the scope of my question and/or directed it appropriately hence I am sending this note to the two of you as your Wards are so closely connected to the Bluffs for your comments.</p> <p>Please note my following comments and questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Living near the Bluffs for the past 38 years we have observed during several of those years, perhaps decades, fill material being placed at the Bluffs shoreline east and west of Galloway Rd. 2) Knowing a little bit about landfill sites and the disposal of residential and commercial classed soils in Ontario I have been assuming that the material being placed has come from the decades of GTA construction development projects. 3) If my assumption is correct for 2) above, I would assume that some entity, over the decades has collected literally millions of dollars in tipping fees (equivalent to what developers would have to pay to use existing alternative land fill sites in and around the GTA). 4) If tipping fees have been collected I would then suspect that the waterfront project(s) past & present have been and will be somewhat self-funding. 5) I have looked at City budget planning material from time to time and I have never seen any line items for revenues and expenses associated with the ongoing Bluffs soil work and now new development work. 6) Have I missed something? Has this been Provincial work? When I discuss the funding aspect of the Bluffs work over the years with friends and neighbours we all seem to draw a blank. 7) Can either of you shed some light on funding of this significant project, how it has worked in the past and how it will work in future? 	Funding/Cost
3/24/2017	Email	<p>4. If there are plans to make any changes to this service road, would it be possible to have it scheduled during off-season times when wildlife activity has slowed down?</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to read this and I sincerely appreciate your attention to these matters that I care so deeply about.</p> <p><Picture 1 - Frog: Picture 2 - Snapping Turtle: Picture 3 - Snapping Tail: Picture 4 Arthur></p>	Construction & Implementation
3/24/2017	Email	<p>A legitimate concern that I have about this area is regarding near-future plans of this service road, and the obvious negative impact that any change or development would have on this wonderful wildlife habitat. The section of service road where I identified the snakes and the snapping turtle is mid-way down the road facing toward the east, after the 'T' in the road and I wonder if this area may have more wildlife due to the pond below.</p> <p>My questions to the TRCA are the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are there plans in place to change or develop this service road, in the near future? 2. If plans are in place, what actions will be taken to limit the disruption to the natural habitat and to prevent destruction to the amphibians and other wildlife? 	Ecology
3/24/2017	Email	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Would you consider posting special crossing signs at the area of road above the pond ('Turtle Crossing' and 'Amphibian Crossing'), as a warning to service vehicles and cyclists? 	Ecology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
3/24/2017	Email	<p>As a nature enthusiast and fairly new resident to the Guild community, I have been enjoying getting to know the natural features of the local environment. I feel fortunate that a lot of this involves being by the lake and I'd like to share some highlights with you and update you on a community program I have started.</p> <p>In April of last year, I was going for an early morning walk and as I was half way down the Guild service road I was amazed to hear an orchestra of delightful calls and chirps echoing up the hill from the direction of the lake. Being new to the area, at first I was surprised. I had no idea what the sound was, or where it was coming from. I slowly proceeding down the service road and as I got to the end, I paused for a moment to listen again. The sound was louder. I realized the direction it was coming from and looked over the hill and there below me I saw the pond, just to the east of Guild beach and realized it was the sound of frogs calling. I was amazed by this discovery and so delighted to find this pond. How wonderful to have a little pond so close to the beach and such a productive environment for the frogs. I continued to visit the area as the season progressed and was happy to get a couple nice frog photos in mid-summer.</p> <p>From my understanding, this pond was created intentionally when some shoreline erosion work was done a number of years back. It is a pleasure seeing the success of this particular project and how affective it has been in creating a positive habitat for our amphibian friends.</p> <p>What I also noticed in the past year of getting to know the area, is the abundance of wildlife that make the area surrounding the service road their home. Last summer, on a number of occasions, I came across some fairly large garter snakes crossing the road, midway up the hill. They were almost 3ft in length. In hindsight, I wonder if it was the same snake that I kept coming across since I had spotted him around the same location more than a couple times.</p> <p>Late last September, as I was walking up the east section of the road on a warm sun-filled afternoon, I came across a large snapping turtle along the road. He was nestled in the cool green foliage on the south side of the road but facing in the direction of the Guild bluffs. I watched him for some time and took a couple pictures and then let him be. Another person passing by said that the snapper was probably on his way towards winter hibernation. They informed me that they hibernate deep in the mud from late September to May. I will watch for him on his return this spring; one never knows, perhaps I will spot him coming out of hibernation, and travelling back to his pond.</p> <p>Due to the abundance of wildlife in the area, this pond has really captured my imagination so I have taken it upon myself to participate in the Adopt-A-Pond program initiated by the Toronto Zoo, in partnership with Environment Canada. I have now officially listed this lovely little pond as the location for Frog Watch Ontario and for Ontario Turtle Tally. For the purpose of this project I'll be calling it Guild Pond</p>	Miscellaneous

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
3/28/2017	Email	<p>I am one of many surfers that surf at the base of Brimley road in Scarborough at Bluffers park I have been surfing here for 30 years. For the last two years I have been working with Bell Media's Fibe TV #1 to make documentaries about the aloha spirit amongst Toronto surfers. There is a vibrant crowd of over a 1000 surfers, possibly over 2000 surfers that enjoy surfing or spectating the surfing at Scarborough Bluffs.</p> <p>I am writing, because I am concerned about a proposal specific to that area called Alternative Plan 5B, which would alter the Headland Protection created on the West Side of the Western Segment. This would effect the surf that comes through on a south west and west wind. It would dramatically harm the surf break and take away the excellent surf and spectator opportunities at one of Toronto's best surfing locations.</p> <p>News organisations like City TV, CTV, CBC and Global have all come to witness and share the visual aspects of the fun surfers are having at these spots with their viewers of over a 1,000,000 people. My viewership alone has the potential of 1.3 million subscribers to Fibe TV #1. I feel like this is a big draw to the awareness of the great green spaces the city has to offer and by taking that shared enjoyment of the viewer and spectator away, by reconstructing this portion of the waterfront, it would take away the value of the experience for everyone in the city and with viewers nationally.</p> <p>The surfers in Toronto are a dynamic mix of professionals and labourers from all walks of life. It is a group of new enthusiasts to the sport and world class professional surfers. They are multi-cultural surfers from all over the world along with Canadians that have ancestry in Canada for many generations.</p> <p>The surf community is excited for the Tokyo Olympics in which surfers from all nations will be competing. In Toronto, we have surfers that compete nationally and internationally. This spot in Bluffers Park is very special and we would really appreciate that you consider keeping it the way it is, or improving it in a way that keeps the surf in consideration.</p> <p>If you require any more information or need help with consultations I would be more than willing to help. I have attached links to some of my surf films on vimeo. The pass word is "Participant". "Aloha Great Lake Surfers, Ladies of the Lake" Aloha Great Lake Surfers, Ladies of the Lake, Director, Bradley Van Rooi (https://vimeo.com/186096535) "Aloha Great Lake Surfers, Winter Surf" https://vimeo.com/178262885 "Toronto City Surfers" Facebook page https://www.facebook.com/Toronto-City-Surfers-1715436595346157/ <picture 1: T.J. Atwood, (Pro Surfer) Lighthouse, Scarborough Bluffs, February 2017></p>	Comment on Alternative
3/31/2017	Email	<p>Thank you, Lionel, for listening and recording my concerns this morning. I enjoyed our conversation.</p>	Consultation
3/31/2017	Email	I look forward to hearing when the next public TRCA presentation will be scheduled.	Consultation
3/31/2017	Email	<p>It would be very helpful at the next public presentation that the TRCA have before and after pictures of the areas that they have replaced the shoreline with cement construction and metal reinforcing bars</p>	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
3/31/2017	Email	Could you also invite the noted international geologist, Dr.Nick Eyles, of Scarborough Campus, U. of T. to present his perspective on the ramifications of this TRCA proposal? I have copied my proposals to others who are concerned about this project and to our local councillors, one of whom directed me to contact your office directly.	Consultation
3/31/2017	Email	It would also be helpful to have the results of the research on the impact on wildlife that currently live there and what precautions they intend to include for public safety for individuals who might opt to traverse these isolated routes.	Ecology
3/31/2017	Email	and a transparent account of the total cost. This would include consultation fees, public presentation costs and total financial costs for the revetment or shoreline construction.	Funding/Cost
3/31/2017	Email	Please alert the Project Team to the article of shoreline concerns in the April 2017 issue of the Bluffs Monitor	Other Initiatives
3/31/2017	Phone Call	Why is it necessary for this area to be accessible, since there already many wonderful accessible parks in Scarborough?	Access
3/31/2017	Phone Call	Concerned about the plans for the 11 km of shoreline.	Comment on Alternative
3/31/2017	Phone Call	Concerned that a hard path is being proposed.	Comment on Alternative
3/31/2017	Phone Call	When you harden a shoreline nature is against you.	Comment on Alternative
3/31/2017	Phone Call	The hardened shoreline is bad for animals.	Comment on Alternative
3/31/2017	Phone Call	The hardened shoreline creates a barrier for animals and for people.	Comment on Alternative
3/31/2017	Phone Call	There is not a justification for the shoreline works along Meadowcliffe;	Comment on Alternative
3/31/2017	Phone Call	I've already checked the SWP webpage and I can't find the answers I'm looking for.	Consultation
3/31/2017	Phone Call	When a hard barrier is placed at the bottom of the bluffs the natural erosion process is stopped. The erosion is no longer vertical, but becomes diagonal and increases the erosion at the top of the bluffs; any engineer can confirm this.	Erosion
3/31/2017	Phone Call	Parts of the natural shoreline have been replaced by construction rubble and rebar which is hazardous (e.g. at the base of the Doris McCarthy Trail and at the base of the Guild Construction Road).	Existing Conditions
3/31/2017	Phone Call	right now there are very few people who access this area because it is so isolated.	Existing Conditions
3/31/2017	Phone Call	We live in a City where people cannot afford rent or housing; diverting resources to this project is not justified.	Funding/Cost
3/31/2017	Phone Call	It is insane what the TRCA is doing.	Mandate
3/31/2017	Phone Call	Why is this project being undertaken?	Mandate
3/31/2017	Phone Call	Why does the TRCA want to eliminate a natural shoreline?	Mandate
3/31/2017	Phone Call	Is this a make-work project?	Mandate
3/31/2017	Phone Call	What was the entire cost of the Meadowcliffe Drive Erosion Control Project (including the consultant fees and the construction costs)? What is the justification for the cost to build the shoreline works at the base of Meadowcliffe?	Other Initiatives
4/10/2017	Email	It has been over a month since we corresponded on this matter. I requested to be sent the study you mention that was undertaken and its results on the Copperfield Road portion. I have not seen that come through to me yet. Can someone kindly forward that to me.	Comment on Alternative
4/10/2017	Email	In addition some response to my concerns and suggestions below. <Metrolinx questions>	Other Initiatives

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
4/10/2017	Email	<p>In the last five to 10 years, climate change has brought stronger winds, storms and waves to the lake, Gaffney said, requiring a "comprehensive solution to the long term changes to Lake Ontario in general," including a possibility of more aggressive shoreline protection.</p> <p>One technique being used on parts of the Toronto shoreline involves converting sand beaches to "cobble" or "shingle" beaches, covering the beach with small stones.</p> <p>But Gaffney said that's not likely for the eastern beaches. "We are interested in keeping the sand beach," she explained.</p> <p>The above is from http://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/toronto-beaches-erosion-1.4059028</p> <p>Can I take from this that the eastern beaches includes those here in Scarborough at Grey Abbey and East Point?</p>	Comment on Alternative
4/10/2017	Email	<p>I have walked on (and picked up lots of trash from) the 'cobble' beaches in the western part of the Guildwood Lake Ontario shoreline. I would be very disappointed if our wonderful beaches on the eastern part of the Guildwood Lake Ontario shoreline would suffer the same fate.</p>	Existing Conditions

DRAFT

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
4/11/2017	Email	<p>Additional notes: MP and Minister Catherine McKenna is worried about the increase in visitation to Parks in Canada, promised for this 150th anniversary with the free pass system. These systems are already stressed. (http://www.cbc.ca/news/politics/parks-canada-report-condition-1.3952144)</p> <p>"It's a really good problem to have that we're going to have a lot of visitors, Canadian and international visitors, to our parks," McKenna said. "But of course there are some parks that will have a significant increase, so we need to be working, as we are, with all parks and historic sites, to make sure that we have a plan to address the increase in visitors," she added.</p> <p>Woodley is more concerned about the impact of more visitors.</p> <p>"Parks Canada needs the capacity to have people on the ground, to talk to Canadians as they enter our parks, to make sure they understand their responsibility [in] stewarding our parks and how they need to interact with wildlife, to avoid some of the problems we saw last year in Banff, where wildlife were actually being killed because of bad human/wildlife conflict situations," Woodley said.</p> <p>It is sadly the norm that additional human pressures on ecosystems, such as ours here, are notoriously detrimental all round, some impacts irrevocable.</p> <p>http://scholarsarchive.jwu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1006&context=student_scholarship Typical problems of overuse of parks, USA. More people, more damage.</p> <p>Over the past 50 years, tourism has become a major cause of environmental damage when it should be a "force for enhancement and protection (Mason 70)." Whether it's noise pollution from cars, or trampling vegetation, tourists are harming the land. National parks are fragile environments that need extra effort exerted in order to preserve them for future generations (Kreag 17). Many things are being done to try to reduce and reverse this impact. Limiting the amount of tourists during certain times of year, offering shuttle services, limiting air tours, promoting the "take in, take out" policy, and educating the public about these issues are all measures that have been put in place to lessen the impact tourists are causing.</p> <p>Couple this increased use and collateral damage to natural spaces, with the spiritual need for people to connect with nature and space, completely natural space. I guess this is our conundrum here partly, with this Guild and East Point Park area. Natural for thousands of years, and how to balance that, protect it.</p> <p>There are three main sources of impact left on national parks by tourists: depletion of national resources, pollution, and physical impacts. Tourism generates land degradation, air and noise pollution, littering, trampling and the alternation of ecosystems. All of these areas of</p>	Access
4/11/2017	Email	I personally have thousands of connections, and have not found one who wants the beach paved. Not one.	Comment on Alternative
4/11/2017	Email	Just a note to thank you for setting up the technical meeting with your colleagues. It was extremely useful, and a good dialogue.	Consultation
4/11/2017	Email	Thank you again, and hope to see a bit of compromise from the TRCA.	Consultation
4/17/2017	Email	<p>This afternoon I went to the shoreline area at Guild Park that the TRCA worked on last summer. The area is again hazardous with all the rusty metal along the shoreline.</p> <p>Chris - I have left dozens of pieces of rusty rebar etc. at the entrance to this area.</p>	Existing Conditions

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
4/25/2017	Email	<p>The point here is, I fail to see how filling in the beach, armoring the shoreline and paving it has anything to do with trails that exist on the tableland in East Point Park. The public and local species will continue to use these tableland trails regardless of what occurs on the shoreline. From a usage standpoint one really has nothing to do with the other. If anything, paving the shoreline will increase activity in the park and up and down the slope. I am requesting that this point be stroked from the record and that the project team refrain from using this argument until they can validate how it relates to the hardening of the shoreline, and produce the documentation and means by which this distance and human usage was arrived at.</p> <p>Thank you again for meeting with us.</p>	Comment on Alternative
4/25/2017	Email	<p>Thank you for the attached notes, and the opportunity to further discuss the SWP with the project team. I have a comment with respect to the ~8km of informal trails.</p> <p>When this was brought up at the meeting it was very unclear as to where these 8km were. The Group was under the impression that this referred to trails ONLY down the side of the bluff. And for that matter, the way in which this is communicated, the public at large is lead to believe this.</p> <p>It was only after the meeting when we all broke into little discussions that Karen was able to clarify to me that the 8km refers to the trails on the tableland in East Point Park, and only a small portion, likely less than 1km exists down the slope of the bluff. I requested the documentation and means by which this was measured. I have not seen this as yet.</p>	Existing Conditions
5/2/2017	Email	<p>Your most preferred alternative is to pave the "Grey Abbey" section of shoreline and to leave the "East Pt. Park" section of shoreline as is in it's natural state.</p> <p>I recommend that you switch those two around . The Grey Abbey section has a natural sandy beach and the bluffs there are stabilized with green vegetation that is many yrs. old. That section does not need shoreline erosion protection. You will be installing a path from the tableland behind the ballpark and washrooms down to the shoreline at that point.</p>	Comment on Alternative
5/2/2017	Email	<p>The new paved shoreline should go east from there, around East Point and hook up to whatever trail you will build to connect to the bridge over Highland Creek. On the tableland the combination of Copperfield Rd., the easement on the N. side of the Rohm and Haas fence, and Grey Abbey Park plus Grey Abbey Tr. and Guildwood Parkway provide pedestrians and cyclists with a loop connection to the shoreline. That way we can save the natural sandy beach called "Grey Abbey" on your Preferred Alternative map.</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
5/2/2017	Email	<p>Please do not use water levels in Lake Ontario as an excuse to pave one of Toronto's last remaining natural shorelines. Please note the emails that are "flooding in" excuse the pun, from the US side of Lake Ontario. Governor Cuomo has visited and declared the south side of Lake Ontario, which we are obviously united with, a state of emergency. Please don't say this has anything to do with "climate change." It is human interventions. Residents and experts are explaining that Plan 2014 is likely the cause. Human intervention and attempts to control nature, are having devastating effects.</p> <p>http://www.ijc.org/en_/Plan2014/home Emails below with permission.</p> <p><name>, Some people I know up on the River have complained about water levels being way too low for their boats to get in. But - what just happened? Now they are complaining that the water is too high... hmmm. Really? And they all said to me back when "Well, you just want a bigger beach." What? No -- I just want to keep my home. I'm not worried about a damn boat. My parents never built a break wall but now the waves are down to the nitty-gritty... I see that my Dad did put in a lot of boulders... and sand bags are now showing from the 1970s. amazing...the wave action was so bad on sunday night that the waves were tearing apart the old sandbags from the 70s. So far - our basement hasn't flooded... <name> put in a water alarm -- laid down a flat tubular sandbag that will swell up when water hits... has a floor sump pump ready and waiting -- and has a stand by sump pump in case the current one burns out. Jeez. And Sierra Club - Nature Conservancy -- all told me "Well, you should have never built there." Nice - back in 1964 we had beautiful beaches. Be care <name>... stay safe and do not be walking on those grounds until we get over the rainy weather... Give my best to <name>. And sending my best wishes out to you all. <name></p> <p>---- <name> wrote: When Dr. Wilcox says: Wilcox says people built homes on the south shore during times of low water, even though they knew levels would eventually rise... "They built their houses in the wrong place and they expect the government to subsidize them for doing so." I believe Wilcox is absolutely wrong. If the lake level was 20" lower than it is right now - there would be no problem. Plan 2014 needs to be repealed - that's the problem as the lake level problem we have right now is man-created.</p>	Existing Conditions

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
5/3/2017	Email	<p>We need to preserve our beaches, ravines and diverse animal species that live along the shore. Any development proposal to facilitate access to the waterfront will ultimately destroy the delicate ecology of the ravines and sand beaches, limit unobstructed views of and access to the water and/or compromise the remarkably varied wildlife that inhabits the shore and near shore areas.</p> <p>Your insistence, amazingly long term persistence and \$3,000, 000 expensive pursuit to overhaul the Scarborough waterfront, from Bluffer's Park in the west to East Point Park, near the mouth of Highland Creek will alter the contour and shape of the eastern waterfront, and the way we relate to the lake, for generations to come.</p> <p>Listen to the residents. WE DO NOT WANT THIS ROADWAY! It is not a pathway.</p> <p>We support a diversified and balanced waterfront that allows for varied experiences and interactions with Lake Ontario.</p> <p>The placement of a Waterfront Trail should not supersede the preservation of the remaining beach areas in Scarborough.</p> <p>Leave our Scarborough beaches alone.</p> <p>We want the beaches that already exist to remain intact.</p> <p>In Toronto we have so many paved parks that provide excellent recreational opportunities for our residents.</p> <p>The shoreline is unique and should not be destroyed.</p>	Comment on Alternative
5/3/2017	Email	<p>I am <> researching the diversity within the plant family Chamaecrista. I am emailing to inquire about whether or not you might have two species of Chamaecrista (C. fasciculata and C. nictitans) in your park that I might be able to sample for my project? I was wondering if there is a naturalist or botanist at your park I could talk to about whether these species occur in your park and how to obtain permits for sampling seeds if the two species are available.</p> <p>Thank you for your time, looking forward to hearing back from you.</p>	Ecology
5/4/2017	Email	<p>We were very (very) relieved that the East Point shoreline is safe from a multi-use trail, although we would rather have the trail end around Morningside, but we are realists</p>	Comment on Alternative
5/4/2017	Email	<p>Thanks so much for the personalized SWP update. With so much going on, what with the current water surplus and I'm sure much else, it's doubly appreciated. Nancy G has had more airtime on CBC radio recently than Sheilagh Rogers. We feel your pain!</p> <p>Also please thank Alexander for us - we don't have her direct email - for arranging the meeting and also the refreshments</p>	Consultation
5/4/2017	Email	<p>Thanks so much for keeping us posted on this important project. I have already used a number of technical details in my lectures, including some info on the Microbial Source Tracking - ungulate E. coli managed with wetlands , very cool ! Did Tom Edge and team at Burlington do this work for you ?</p>	Ecology
5/4/2017	Email	<p>I am looking at the projected rainfall and the lake levels today. Do you anticipate any issues with the work ?</p>	Existing Conditions

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
5/5/2017	Email	<p>We are all well aware that the Ministry of the Environment is now called, "and Climate Change," to the chagrin of many. It is something of a red flag to raise the issue that is in itself so contentious, and perhaps especially in this context, as a reason to be paving one of Toronto's last remaining natural and historic beaches.</p> <p>While many scientists and general public and policy makers espouse that the science is "settled," it is by far not. Or we might say, that most agree we have now entered a "pause" or there are indications of global "cooling." Please note the recent Financial Post article by Energy Probe Director, Lawrence Solomon: Why it looks like its over for global warming. See also his piece: Global Warming Doomsayers take note: Earth's 19th Little Ice Age Has Begun.</p> <p>Dr. Judith Curry, of international renown, has now resigned her esteemed position, because of the contentious and difficult academic requirements and to her, mis truths, that she cannot deal with any longer. She was interviewed in the film, Climate Hustle, along with others who hold all kinds of climate views. This now rather famous documentary was shown in North American theaters recently, as well as in the US Senate. We have been given copies of the film, and I would be pleased to sent it to you for your consideration and perusal and sharing. It is very illuminating.</p> <p>Here are some of the websites that easily discuss the possibilities of a) academic fraud in charts showing rising levels of CO2, and attendant global warming, b) reversals in views by various serious academics and truth seekers, c) possibilities that solar flares and water vapor have more to do with climate change on earth than other sources of change.</p> <p>I also point you to Joe Fone's wonderful survey of hundreds of years of climate lies, Climate Change, Real or Manmade? which is a real game changer and a very vivid and interesting read as well. You will never look at the subject the same way, even if your "belief system," does not get changed.</p> <p>Global "warming" is now seen as "the largest fraud of all times."</p> <p>The Greatest Scientific Fraud Of All Time -- Part XIII http://manhattancontrarian.com/blog/2017/2/5/the-greatest-scientific-fraud-of-all-time-part-xiii</p> <p>World leaders duped by manipulated global warming data http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-4192182/World-leaders-duped-manipulated-global-warming-data.html?utm_content=bufferdb7f6&utm_medium=social&utm_source=twitter.com&utm_campaign=buffer</p>	Climate Change
5/5/2017	Email	<p>I would add that several major industries have been spawned on the Climate Misrepresentations, one notably being industrial wind, which produces net zero electricity world wide, and with solar, less than 2% world wide. This despite massive land grabs for both, and highly toxic processes in manufacture, development, transportation, and so called decommissioning, which rarely happens. These industries are now seen as tax grabs, and have absolutely nothing to do with everyone's concern to make a cleaner planet. Having been researching the subject of wind in particular, for about 9 years, the ties to climate misrepresentations are somewhat obvious. This is very unfortunate, when political agendas merge with business objectives, to the detriment of society and the environment.</p>	Climate Change

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
5/5/2017	Email	<p>I guess I feel that given my reading and current understanding, using climate change as a reason to pave a natural beach to me appears very much less than appropriate.</p> <p>In closing, there is a new movie being released in Europe, and we hope to work with the producers to show this at various cinemas in North America. It is called, "The Uncertainty Has Settled." In the promo clip, there is a scientist telling us that the only certainty is that maybe you can take your umbrella tomorrow. :)</p>	Comment on Alternative
5/5/2017	Email	Thank you again for this opportunity. Your process is informative, and Ms. Gaffney especially, appears to welcome open discussion, which is definitely part of the EA process.	Consultation
5/5/2017	Email	Thank you again for allowing this input, because while we as a group do acknowledge the erosion you refer to, the entire group as you have been meeting with, has at one time or another in meetings with you, also referenced other agencies of erosion. Again, while we are not engineers, but one is, and not to my knowledge scientists, we have a living history with the Bluffs, some more than others, nearly a lifetime of natural study and observation. Correction: we absolutely do feel the processes are "natural," and I myself believe that my reading on hardening shorelines and its general discouragement now world wide, and we hope that your EA will also reflect these findings by very experienced and studied persons.	Erosion
5/8/2017	Email	As a riparian stakeholder conservationist, I strongly urge the TRCA project team to completely review other SWP options including the plan we submitted for consideration.	Comment on Alternative
5/8/2017	Email	Yesterday, I walked along the eastern sector shoreline to record damage caused by rain, storms and the unprecedented man made high water levels (40 cm. above normal). I photographed the minor and major land slumps west of Grey Abbey beach where shoreline erosion works have been completed. A major slump occurred at <<Address>> losing approximately 5 feet of tableland causing an impassable or at least a danger to walk across the mud barrier near the end of the Guildwood service road. <<Name>> observed 6 other cliff slumps along the shoreline from Guild Park to Doris McCarthy trail where TRCA shoreline erosion works have been completed. The shoreline of Grey Abbey beach suffered no damage. The high water levels did not reach the toe of the cliff and the beach is still over 12 feet wide.	Erosion
5/8/2017	Email	My first hand observations conclude that the argument that Grey Abbey beach required shoreline toe protection from future wave action as discussed at the April 10 tech. meeting, appears to be completely unwarranted.	Erosion
5/8/2017	Email	With the coastal problems caused by Lake Ontario high water levels, TRCA's responsibility is to protect the Toronto Islands, the Woodbine beach and properties in danger along with compliance to ANSI and ESA policies. Public funding for an unwanted and unnecessary service road development that will destroy a stable natural shoreline is nothing less than wasteful corporate welfare.	Funding/Cost
5/15/2017	Email	<p>We are opposed to the Preliminary Preferred East Segment Alternative that proposes to destroy the natural sand beach from the Grey Abbey shore, eastward to the western boundary of East Point Park.</p> <p>Destruction of the majority of the last remaining natural sand beach on the mainland of Toronto will produce profound and irreversible environmental damage. Such action is contrary to the mandate of the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority and is a betrayal of the public trust</p>	Comment on Alternative
5/15/2017	Email	The TRCA exists to protect our natural heritage, not to disfigure it with ill-conceived engineering solutions. We urge you to have the foresight to manage this area responsibly for future generations.	Mandate

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
5/16/2017	Email	Hi, Nancy. I thought I would follow up for any responses/comments from TRCA on the traffic document I sent to you earlier in the spring. I suspect that it has taken a lower priority to the water management issues you have been facing on the lake and rivers. (See ID 439 - comment with regrads to construction timing to add to a traffic study)	Traffic
5/17/2017	Email	<p>It's <<Name>> from the GVCA. I'm the Chair of the Community Affairs Committee.</p> <p>Would you be able to give me a rough idea of when the approved (SWP) project would begin and end? There is a document circulating here that suggests 14 years!! and although you cannot have an absolute range, an estimate would be useful.</p> <p>We are developing a document dealing with traffic impacts on the Guild, with a timeline component that shows impacts particularly on Guildwood Parkway and as well, Cumber, Dearham Wood, Toynbee Trail and Chancery Lane, if heavier traffic on Guildwood Parkway diverted down Cumber.</p> <p>With the TRCA initiative + the closing of Galloway as the underpass is built + potentially a new school on Dearham Wood, we will see a lot of development at the same time, especially when the Galloway crossing will be closed for 3 years..</p> <p>Please be reassured that I do not see a range of dates as an absolute. To take that kind of position would be pretty irresponsible. All we need now is a range so that we can assess potential impacts on traffic volumes.</p>	Traffic
5/17/2017	Email	Also, we are interested in following the Scarborough Waterfront Project, and I understood there was to be another public information session on this during the spring of this year. Has a time and venue been chosen? (Beginning of e-mail related flooding adn not the project)	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
5/23/2017	Email	<p>Well thank you for replying. I'd like to reframe my concerns as I feel that you did not answer them at all. What you did was defer me to a lengthy public relations and policy driven EA process that has been going on for over 2 years. Nature lives in 'present time', not later, and not next year.</p> <p>Here are my original questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are there plans in place to change or develop this service road, in the near future? 2. If plans are in place, what actions will be taken to limit the disruption to the natural habitat and to prevent destruction to the amphibians, and other wildlife? 3. Would you consider posting special crossing signs at the area of road above the pond ('Turtle Crossing' and 'Amphibian Crossing'), as a warning to service vehicles and cyclists? 4. If there are plans to make any changes to this service road, would it be possible to have it scheduled during off-season times when wildlife activity has slowed down? <p>Since you are a conservation authority and in the business of creating habitat, it concerns me that you have not taken my concerns into consideration. I would like to add that my concerns are reflected by others in the community.</p> <p>My concerns are:</p> <p>A. The Guild construction service road has been there for many years. And so has the pond. It's my understanding that the vision behind this engineered project, was that the pond was constructed for the intention that it now serves. This pond is one of your success stories along the shore and has now become a productive breeding site for the green frog and the american toad. This is an important 'nature story' and an asset along the shore, especially when considering the overall decline of amphibian species that has taken place over the years. Perhaps these species may not be in direct decline but why destroy an important habitat and breeding location?</p> <p>B. It's my understanding that sightings of snapping turtles in the Toronto region is important. Since I have clearly indicated the location of my sighting, and it seems logical that it was crossing there due to the proximity of the pond, it seems appropriate that a turtle crossing sign should go there. I would not be surprised if the turtle returns to the pond this May but I will not know since you have restricted access on the road. The fact that snapping turtles are crossing in this area is a reason to celebrate the pond and the habitat that you created! As noted, I have spotted snakes crossing at this precise spot on many occasions. Putting a sign here is an important aspect for educating the public, and making your drivers more aware.</p> <p>Since you will not answer my original 4 questions, I have simplified it to the following two:</p>	ecology
5/29/2017	Email	Please advise of the time at Scarborough Village regarding the June 14 TRCA consultation. Gary Crawford advised this was the date and location.	Consultation
5/29/2017	Email	<p>I am wondering about the timing of the next meeting, and the progress of your EA, given the current weather constraints on TRCA efforts and time. Has anything been delayed? What IS the schedule? Many thanks....</p>	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
5/30/2017	Email	<p>Good morning, I was advised by e-mail by Gary Crawford of the June 14 meeting regarding the Scarborough Waterfront Project:</p> <p>I continue to encourage residents to relay their concerns to the TRCA directly at waterfront@trca.on.ca. In the event that you have not received notification, there is an upcoming consultation meeting for the Scarborough Waterfront Project, to be held on June 14th at the Scarborough Village Recreation Centre. If you're available to do so, I strongly encourage you to attend and provide your input. Staff have asked that attendees RSVP and complete a brief confidential survey via the following link by June 7th at the latest: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/WMRRSY2 Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns prior to the upcoming meeting. Regards, Gary Crawford</p> <p>I have completed the RSVP and request any information that you may have available for public review forwarded to my e-mail. I look forward to your June 14th presentation.</p>	Consultation
5/30/2017	Email	<p>Is the EA complete now and does the public have access? Many thanks. This is a complicated process and surely exacerbated by the natural events of late. There were a few mud and tree slides also on the Rosedale Valley Road.</p>	Consultation
6/3/2017	Email	<p>Furthermore, the initial study will cost \$3 million and \$100 million has been allocated for construction of the road. When the City is struggling to build an adequate transportation network for everyday needs, it is difficult to understand how this kind of money can be available for something that will add to the City's sewage and maintenance costs.</p> <p>I hope you would redirect that money to transportation projects and increasing the park space available in the City, things that are badly needed, rather than indulging the TRCA in its environmentally-destructive pursuits.</p>	Funding/Cost
6/3/2017	Email	<p>Conservation authorities were originally created to prevent flooding and keep natural areas intact to avoid disasters like Hurricane Hazel or what we have seen on the island this summer. The TRCA has certainly lost sight of its purpose and its reason for existing.</p>	Mandate

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/7/2017	Email	<p>I tried calling your office but I could only get your voicemail and decided to send you an email as it would be clearer and more detailed.</p> <p>My name is <<NAME>>, I am a surfer at the Scarborough Bluffer Park. I work with our surf community and our spoke people on the Scarborough Waterfront Project. Your organisation recently met with the surfer's spoke people and some light was given about the proposal.</p> <p>We are currently studying the water mechanics at the current spot and are trying to prepare for the future. To do so, we would need some more details on the project and the preliminary plans of construction. The current plans available are mostly for presentation and more details like "the sandbank angle" is require to make previsions on the capability of the surf spot to produce waves in the future.</p> <p>Is it possible to obtain those plans? Can you help us getting that information?</p> <p>I greatly appreciate your help.</p>	Construction & Implementation
6/8/2017	Email	Hi - when is PIC #3	Consultation
6/8/2017	Email	<p>I would like to urge you to consider the popular surf break that exists at Bluffers park.</p> <p>Part of the west project includes installing a stone revetement right in the middle of one of the best surfing waves in lake ontario. This plan, if implemented, will kill that wave.</p> <p>I ask you to consider leaving that part of the shoreline the way it is.</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/12/2017	Email	<p>I have recently become aware of the the TRCA plans to develop the Western segment of Bluffers Park. These changes would have permanent negative effects on our beloved surf break the Lighthouse.</p> <p>I went to teachers college in New Zealand in 2004 and leaned how to surf. Since returning to Toronto and beginning my teaching career I have made every effort to continue this passion.</p> <p>The Lighthouse surf break is one of a very few special places where us landlocked surfers can continue this sport. Especially those of us with families who cannot travel far. I hope to one day take my son surfing at the Lighthouse.</p> <p>Surf breaks and delicate ecosystems. I urge you to reconsider your plans to continue to allow the wonderful Great Lakes surf spot to remain intact</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/13/2017	Email	<p>I thought this was in regards to windmills they wanted to install???</p> <p>Will be there for sure.</p>	Consultation
6/14/2017	Email	Public meetings have become a check off for agencies such as yours, and the opinions of the public always disregarded.	Consultation
6/14/2017	Email	<p>Sadly, the TRCA has lost sight of its original purpose -- which is to prevent flooding and to enhance the prevention of runoff. Clearly paving a beach only contributes to environmental problems at a time when water levels are already challenging the City. The TRCA should drop this proposal and save money for every level of government, money which could well be spent on public transportation or more needed infrastructure. It is very difficult to watch as well-funded and once needed public institutions build empires and lose sight of the importance of environmental preservation.</p>	Mandate
6/15/2017	Email	What are the unknowns? The sequence of the implementation, impact on wildlife and their habitat, certainly climate change and mother nature herself.	Construction & Implementation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/15/2017	Email	<p>Thanks to Gary Crawford, several local residents were made aware of this third Stakeholder Committee meeting at Scarborough Village at 6pm on Wednesday, June 14 to review the TRCA "Preferred Alternative Plan".</p> <p>In attendance were a number of "Stakeholders" and expert consultants (engineers) and TRCA staff present.</p> <p>Five of us were admitted as spectators who were permitted admission without comment.</p> <p>Artistic renderings via slide and placards of the proposed venture were displayed</p> <p>Everyone was encourage to pay close attention to the presentation, make sticky notes to the placards and encouraged to attend the Open House on June 28th at Cardinal Newman to question the plan and make last ditch proposals for the final two stages for the approval from City Councillors.</p> <p>As coincidence would happen, I just received an announcement from the Koffler Gallery about Mary Anne Barkhouse's work depicting: "our skewed experience of nature as a resource for human needs, rather than an ecosystem with its own intrinsic value. Deeply engaged with environmental and Indigenous issues, Barkhouse's artistic practice foregrounds a visual iconography where animals play a central role. She situates her work between the two worlds of the human and the natural, employing the beaver, owl, wolf and coyote as symbols of the ability to adapt and persist, regenerate and repair throughout endless incursions into their environs.</p> <p>I left the meeting last night understanding that the SWP, The Scarborough Waterfront Project, and all the acronyms for implementation and justification will happen regardless of consultation.</p>	Consultation
6/15/2017	Email	<p>The projected cost is enormous and will escalate.</p> <p>- Who's paying for it? Funds for this 'make work project' will be sought from federal, provincial and municipal sources.</p>	Funding/Cost
6/15/2017	Email	<p>Who will be responsible for liability issues due to limited access for emergency assistance? The city. Who has to maintain the SWP including the annual dredging of the silted access channels of the marina and waste collection of users of this former natural environment? The city.</p>	Management
6/15/2017	Email	<p>Where will parking be enabled? Consideration of a bus down Brimley, parking at schools like Cardinal Newman, St Augustine and adjacent neighbourhoods.</p>	Parking
6/15/2017	Email	<p>What truly environmental and natural resources experts have been consulted? Not displayed; however a senior official will be present June 28.</p>	Process
6/20/2017	Email	<p>For June 28th, can you kindly provide specific information regarding the total costs for the proposed Scarborough Waterfront Project?</p> <p>Here I am interested in the individual and total costs for the 3 'environmental consultation' meetings with the Stakeholders and general public and those for the engineer consultants and others who have been involved to date.</p> <p>There have been a number of reports via the media regarding these costs and the projection.</p> <p>It would be very helpful to have this clear documentation available to assist the councillors who ultimately will decide upon this issue.</p>	Funding/Cost
6/21/2017	Email	<p>Provide a sidewalk for people to walk down the hill to the park. On summer weekend days literally thousand of people walk down on the road.</p> <p>Build an underground parking lot in the large field at the west side of the Seminary. Have a gondola to take people from that parking lot to the bottom of the Bluffs. Cover the parking lot with beautiful gardens for the seminary. win win all around. The park at the bottom of the hill is too precious to be a parking lot. Have people park on top of the hill and take them down with the gondola or a tractor train.</p> <p><u>This would become a huge tourist draw for Scarborough.</u></p>	Parking
6/27/2017	Email	<p>Due to earlier commitments I will not be able to attend the meeting. As a resident of Scarborough I would like to see a water's edge trail connecting with the waterfront park at Beechgrove.</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/27/2017	Email	<p>I will not be able to attend the meeting but want my voice heard. As a long-term resident of Scarborough I would like to see a water's edge trail connecting with the waterfront park at Beechgrove.</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/27/2017	Email	My name is <<NAME>> and I live at <<ADDRESS>>. As a resident of Scarborough I would prefer to see a waters edge trail connecting to the Waterfront Park at Beechgrove Road .	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	Email	What enraged many of use was that we as Scarborough residents are very selfish NIMBYers who are denying others from enjoying the Scarborough shoreline. This was clearly articulated by Nancy Gaffney of the TRCA. To us this is not a matter of restricting those who can enjoy the waterfront but avoiding engineering it beyond a point where the reason they came in the first place is destroyed. There was a point made that people with disabilities want access too so let's pave it! While I am not there yet, my mobility is being increasing restricted by knee problems. I am not self-centred nor self-serving enough to want to destroy that which attracts me just so I can get to it! Where do we draw the line?	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2017	Email	What we learned was that there is really only one access road for the proposed isolated road, not trail, of over 11 km.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	Email	First we congratulate the TRCA and their panel of 'experts'. They weathered an auditorium of passionate people who were not satisfied with the process of consultation, timeline for consultation, the evening agenda construct, the exclusion of conducting a consultation meeting for East Point residents, lack of significant media attention for the Scarborough Waterfront Plan and opportunity for all residents to address the ' experts' in the auditorium where all present could hear the final questions and responses in an open discussion. The last group of 6 people were directed to speak to the specific expert individually in the cafeteria after 9:30 p.m. There was public objection. The mics were turned off as was previously done to arrest a former resident from pursuing her earlier question. In the end there was no clear avenue for residents to collectively challenge and arrest the continuation of this project which will destroy this pristine shoreline. It is a 'done deal'. We learned so much about our home, the Scarborough Bluffs listening to the people who addressed the 'expert panel' and asking WHY. We have over 1500 Toronto parks, and about 101 Scarborough Parks. We are certainly going to "put Scarborough on the map" as John McKay enthusiastically declared by spending \$170 million plus on this project. Incidentally this is the current cost factor for all our Toronto parks as confirmed by Richard, the Director of Toronto Parks and Recreation.	Consultation
6/28/2017	Email	Second, the TRCA did partially answer our previous e-mailed question of the cost for the 3 years of consultation and public meetings and projection. We saw on the placards \$170 million for a projection of a 12 year Implementation plan which includes a contingency factor of \$50 million dollars. (In 2016 the estimated cost was \$80 million.) We all know the cost will be increased for the funders: federal, provincial and municipal. There was an absence of articulation of costs to date.	Funding/Cost
6/28/2017	Email	What we are concerned about is LIABILITY to the city when someone initiates a class action lawsuit with their future accident. (Perhaps the \$50 million dollars is part of a backup for this issue)	Management
6/28/2017	Email	What we repeatedly heard was safety.	Safety
6/28/2017	Email	What enraged many of use was that we as Scarborough residents are very selfish NIMBYers who are denying others from enjoying the Scarborough shoreline. This was clearly articulated by Nancy Gaffney of the TRCA. To us this is not a matter of restricting those who can enjoy the waterfront but avoiding engineering it beyond a point where the reason they came in the first place is destroyed. There was a point made that people with disabilities want access too so let's pave it! While I am not there yet, my mobility is being increasing restricted by knee problems. I am not self-centred nor self-serving enough to want to destroy that which attracts me just so I can get to it! Where do we draw the line?	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2017	Email	What we learned was that there is really only one access road for the proposed isolated road, not trail, of over 11 km.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	Email	<p>First we congratulate the TRCA and their panel of 'experts'. They weathered an auditorium of passionate people who were not satisfied with the process of consultation, timeline for consultation, the evening agenda construct, the exclusion of conducting a consultation meeting for East Point residents, lack of significant media attention for the Scarborough Waterfront Plan and opportunity for all residents to address the 'experts' in the auditorium where all present could hear the final questions and responses in an open discussion. The last group of 6 people were directed to speak to the specific expert individually in the cafeteria after 9:30 p.m. There was public objection. The mics were turned off as was previously done to arrest a former resident from pursuing her earlier question. In the end there was no clear avenue for residents to collectively challenge and arrest the continuation of this project which will destroy this pristine shoreline. It is a 'done deal'.</p> <p>We learned so much about our home, the Scarborough Bluffs listening to the people who addressed the 'expert panel' and asking WHY.</p> <p>We have over 1500 Toronto parks, and about 101 Scarborough Parks. We are certainly going to "put Scarborough on the map" as John McKay enthusiastically declared by spending \$170 million plus on this project. Incidentally this is the current cost factor for all our Toronto parks as confirmed by Richard, the Director of Toronto Parks and Recreation.</p>	Consultation
6/28/2017	Email	<p>Second, the TRCA did partially answer our previous e-mailed question of the cost for the 3 years of consultation and public meetings and projection.</p> <p>We saw on the placards \$170 million for a projection of a 12 year Implementation plan which includes a contingency factor of \$50 million dollars. (In 2016 the estimated cost was \$80 million.) We all know the cost will be increased for the funders: federal, provincial and municipal. There was an absence of articulation of costs to date.</p>	Funding/Cost
6/28/2017	Email	<p>What we are concerned about is LIABILITY to the city when someone initiates a class action lawsuit with their future accident. (Perhaps the \$50 million dollars is part of a backup for this issue)</p>	Management
6/28/2017	Email	<p>What we repeatedly heard was safety.</p>	Safety
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	<p>Brimley Road is number one priority! Someone is going to get killed walking or biking. That hill not safe. Parking was not on the board.</p>	Brimley Road
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	<p>The path away to the end of Bluffer's Beach. It is not needed, people want to walk the sand not the asphalt path. Surfer suffer too!</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	<p>Yah- go an spend millions on the Bluffs to protect a couple of homes that idiots bought and stop spending my tax money this way!</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	<p>Sneaky way of using the end of school to have meetings! Everybody has gone north.</p>	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	<p>Bluffers Park is a great disappointment with the large visitation heavily impacting the park and by late summer - no turf, garbage and shoreline debris making the area look terrible. Please ensure proper planning for increased numbers of visitors, parking needs, etc.</p>	By-law enforcement
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	<p>Please ensure that lake levels and population projections address the increased frequency and volume of water we will see over next 50+ years. I feel the engineers are underestimating the increasing frequency of precipitation and surface runoff effects we will be facing in this urban region with the impacts on the slope stability / vegetation on their projections for bluff slopes.</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	<p>Is there a City/TRCA consideration to obtain private lands at the crest of the bluffs as properties become available? (John Minor School. For instance, TDSB or private homes as they come on the market). More green space for the growing population and their interest in enjoying the shoreline. Your presentation materials note the need for such space - the City must expend funds to accomplish this goal.</p>	Miscellaneous

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	There should be a boat launch at the foot of the Guild Inn. This should include parking for trailers as well as parking for other users. This will take some of the pressure off of Bluffers.	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No. By destroying the western beach by implementing a large (unnecessary) headland, the best waves in Toronto are compromised. Please consider alternatives to this plan in order to accommodate EVERYONE including the surfers in this community.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Having some kind of announcement of the plan for community input where the project is first proposed would have been nice. I didn't find out about these meetings until it was too late.	Process
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes	Effects Assessment
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No	Mitigation Measures
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	I think it would be good to address the vision and goals of SWP as well as the concerns TRCA has that suggested the Refined Preferred Area. It would be beneficial to consider sharing a predicted timeline to show a tentative process to the public for what to expect to happen in a holistic way. Example process 1) risk prevention (i.e. fatalities, erosion, habitat loss), 2) introducing public amenities, habitat restoration, erosion prevention.	Process
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Currently, I have no objections	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes they do	Effects Assessment
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No	Mitigation Measures
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Please get strated	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Very Reasonable	Effects Assessment
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No	Mitigation Measures
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Please erect clear signage on Lardwood Blvd. This street has no access to Lake. To park bottom of Brimely Rd. Please, Please, try and get a shuttle but to transport people.	Access
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	It seems interesting but probably will be very long time to get it done. I am a Larwood resident since September 1965.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Shuttle bus service to and from the new overflow parking area at RH King is essential for families, the elderly, and those with disability issues. The fare for a round trip should be the same as the cost of parking for the day e.g., \$9 per family/car.	Access
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	I agree with the wider beach and trail. What about the impact on wildlife? Birds migration, butterflies?	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes. However there really is not room for more people at Bluffers Park on summer weekends.	Effects Assessment
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	The park (Bluffer's Park) is already filled to capacity on holidays and weekends. There is a need to divert the public to other places to access the waterfront, like Guild Park.	Traffic
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes	Effects Assessment
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	I am anxious to see the plan materialize. The benefits are too great to wait any longer. The hill into Bluffers Park needs immediate relief from the shared pedestrian and vehicular traffic.	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	None	Mitigation Measures
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Gravel not sand adjacent to water – discourages people from entering water.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	(Deer, foxes, etc.) How can wildlife access the water if there is a paved 3 lane path between Bluffers and water?	Ecology
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No geologist present. \$170,000,000 – 2017 Inflation over 12 years. More accurate \$230,000,000. Who is planning?	Funding/cost
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	What about the wildlife – nesting birds etc. How will increased access stop loss of bank swallows (down 90%).	Ecology
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Walking paths are very well laid out. As a surfer in the city of Toronto, I would like to see the east yacht club harbor entrance remain “as is”. The surf break produced on the east side of the entrance is perhaps the best in the Toronto area.	Park Amenities
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Still think we can accomplish great things for the city, including saving the best surf breaks in the city with this project, if it is done right.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Unfortunately the design will have a destructive impact on the most unique surfing wave in the city of Toronto – and in most of the Great lakes around here.	Park Amenities
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes. Excellent Work	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes	Effects Assessment
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No	Mitigation Measures
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Please keep is informed about how current water levels and possible future events will impact the planning and implementation. Thanks.	Process
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	As identified public access down Brimley Road is a major cause of congestion and highly dangerous. The proposed remediation may require a stronger emphasis on public transit shuttles. Parking for cars to access the park and shuttle buses will be a major issue and should be studied more thoroughly.	Brimley Road
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes, good approach.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes quite reasonable	Effects Assessment
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes, safety on the proposed trail will be compromised if a barrier preventing people from climbing the bluffs is not put in.	Safety
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Whenever a outcrop, node or Pier type of structure is set out from a flat beach area, a rip current usually occurs. The extended curve of this node seems so severe, that it may create an undertow and rip current. If so it will happen on a south west wind, which are generally sunny beach days, where people enter the water. This could be a dangerous construction plan.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Possibly. I think a water movement study needs to be done to ensure safety and to avoid creating a washing machine death trap.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Water Front design should include water activity participants. Water preservation is celebrated by these participants and they share the joy with the public. If you enjoy it, you take ownership and want to protect it. Water is a valuable resource that we should promote protection of it through enjoyment and ownership.	Miscellaneous
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	I honestly cannot see how the effect on wildlife could be negligible. The noise of machinery alone, for 12 years! I'm not at all happy about that.	Ecology
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	This seems reasonable until I think about the beautiful and natural shoreline especially at Grey Abbey – being hardened and paved over. Also, I would like to see only dead ash trees cut down and no other trees. Great Blue Herons and Wood Ducks among many other birds use these trees along the shore line. Deer come down to the water to drink and have trouble negotiating the large rocks.	Effects Assessment
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Priority #1 – path down Brimley Road – off road for hikers and also a bike lane for bikes. Priority #2 – headland at Bluffer light house point. Build it! Now!	Brimley Road
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes – agree with all elements of the preferred alternative. It appears TRCA has listened to and incorporated feedback into this alternative.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes – agree completely with all aspects and elements of the refined preferred alternative. Now – DO IT!	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Consider barging material to reduce truck traffic in hood (eg) – Billy Bishop Airport used a barg for construction to reduce truck traffic in neighbourhood.	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Keep our sandy paradise as is and add to it but don't destroy. Our young generation of today and generations in the future need it! Would you rather sit on armor stone or pavement in 40 degree C or on a beautiful beach where you can actually use the water.	Beach
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No. In no way should we alter the natural shoreline. Think about the lack of beautiful sandy beaches in Toronto and the ever growing population. Once hardened, it will forever be destroyed. You cannot improve on aesthetics to destroy our beach area. Surely you can think of alternatives. Can you not build a causeway along the water or build above.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	See Above	Beach
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No – destroying even 650 m of the remaining sand beach is not acceptable. Please consider moving the trail to the table-land from Guild Inn to Grey Abby and connection the proposal table land trail there. There is ample room for a formalized trail along Guildwood Parkway and Grey Abby Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No. Preserve the existing sand beach (what remains of it). Run the trail along Guildwood Parkway.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	This project is a mistake. Our natural waterfront should be protected and preserved, not paved or "hardened". This is a precious natural resource and should be saved, not paved.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No. The construction routes will affect the environment, water quality will be bad and the current of the waves will be unsafe and dangerous for swimmers.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No. Scarborough Bluffs is the ONLY natural environment park left near Toronto, any construction in the process and after will affect badly.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	The process and approaching with Toronto and Scarborough community should be clear, precise and understandable towards all stakeholders	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Ensure safety, accessible, at all times during the project.	Safety
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Glad you've backed off Eastpoint Park development. Leave the rest alone. I do not believe you'll stop the erosion by hard surface the shore. I watched Cathedral Bluffs erode every year with the beach. I don't believe your shoreline expert. I lived it for 36 years.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Still prefer do mostly nothing. We do not need to spend \$170 (really) for access. You've not talked about tableland infrastructure much. Spend the money elsewhere.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	With 70+ slides this spring you really think this is not a "hundred year" event? More likely 5-10 years. And look at the revetment collapse at Rosetta McLean – fence is hanging in the air.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Fishing nodes – whose going to clean up the fishing line and the damage fish lines and hooks due to the shorebirds. Especially the Trumpeter Swans.	Management
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No, not with the western segment. It's still going to kill the surf wave and greatly affect the surf community. Do not harden the shoreline.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No. Needs further study and consultation	Effects Assessment
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	I don't see how hardening the shoreline equates to naturalization. The TRCA needs reform.	Mandate
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Thank you TRCA! You've done an amazing job trying to create a beautiful waterfront. I hope you can move ahead with it soon.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	The Bluffs are an incredible and cherished natural resource that is dynamic and must remain so in order to protect its natural and unique characteristics. Erosion (natural) should be allowed as much as possible in order to protect this unique landscape. Much of the proposed seeks to deter this erosion which will result in the slow transformation of the Bluffs into a vegetated hill.	Bluff Geology
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	While I appreciate that the alternative plan seeks to retain the beach at East Point Park I believe that the same alternative could be extended to the access road at the Guild Inn in order to protect the sandy beach west of Grey Abby ravine as current green spaces would allow for an extended trail atop the bluffs as opposed to the toe which would remove the beach.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	I am certainly happier to see the additional natural shoreline at East Point Park. However I still do not understand the need for any shoreline hardening anywhere. Other than protecting private property, what does this serve. This is the jewel in Toronto's waterfront already, why change it.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Just dump the project	Miscellaneous
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	I am sick and tired of natural habitats being destroyed to coddle the selfish public. Why can't the Bluffs remain wild and untouched? Why must people have access to this precious area where they will wreak havoc on the area as they wreak havoc on every area that is untouched by humans? No one cares about the wildlife! Let it be, natural as it should be!!	Ecology
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Ok re west section. A significant rethink of the other sections is needed – this is a deeply disappointing and ill-thought through proposal that has not taken considered public input into consideration.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No, see Q1 response. West is OK – the rest needs a significant rethink, with actual attention being paid to the considered views of the public. Why aren't there more winter considerations – making this a truly year round destination. Why has more attention not been paid to ensure access to the water – not just nice views from a paved road.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	See above – deeply disappointing.	Mitigation Measures
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	This consultation was extremely disappointing. Most people who use Bluffers Park are newer Canadians. There was no representation at this meeting. The same old stodgy ways of consultation; the “same old, same old” approach to stakeholders simply does not reflect the current times and expectations. Why is there no one down at Bluffers Park on the weekends, or approaching the groups nearby, e.g. South Asian community? The experts, especially Ms. Gaffney used a lot of obfuscatory jargon – not appropriate in a gathering of laypeople. One hour for Q&? Really minimal time – it is simply meeting minimal standards – it allows you to put a checkmark in the “yes we consulted” box, without actually being heard. Deeply disappointing. We are long past the day where the experts can dictate the options and the TRCA can pay lip service to the notion of consultation. Why isn't it livestreamed? Why not recorded?	Process
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	People do not walk on “beaches” made of stone. Leave the beach as it is. I'm concerned about swallow and kingfishers who nest on the face of the bluffs. They are losing their nesting areas because of all the trees that are planted along the face of the bluffs.	Beach
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Why do you think it makes sense to put a paved path at the top of East Point Park when the top is constantly crumbling? Leave the cedar paths. Let the birds and mammals enjoy the park without cyclists flying through.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	All this talk about people climbing down the bluffs is NOT true. They climb to thrill seek. This has been going on for decades	Existing Conditions
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	It is better but still needs more focus on the Eastern point – the most natural in its state.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No, I do not agree. The portion between Guild and East Point is still going to be destroyed with this proposal. The beach should not be changed and there should not be a structure built for access. The 3 proposed structures are too extreme. Should be natural. Has the EA taken into consideration the impact to all migrating species? Birds, butterflies (including the at risk monarch), aquatic and more?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No. in no way does “adding land, creating paved surfaces” etc. seem logical. If you want to preserve the natural beauty of the environment, perhaps encourage people to go elsewhere. Leave nature alone.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Whenever humans try to re-create nature, we fail. We wreck things, we pretend we can fix them... but we can't. Vulnerable shorelines are particularly tricky and complicated ecosystems that rarely thrive under human management.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Please stay away from our beautiful shoreline. It is not a development site. Appropriating private property? Water frontage? 12 years of heavy construction vehicles = no impact? Have you looked at the damage to commissioners / Unwin caused by 5 years of Leslie Barns construction?	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No. I think you have vastly underestimated the impact on the community, the environment, and key user groups, the surfing community if particular. Who is making the \$? Someone is profiting. Who?	Effects Assessment
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No – too many landslides. You can't maintain what you have already built. Check the wooden posts along the back trail at Bluffer's Beach. Most are rotting and falling over.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	What a waste of money! Do any of these “experts” live in the area? Don't pave nature.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Why not leave Bluffers Park natural? We don't need the trail, some of us enjoy getting out in nature with no pavement. We love to take our dogs down there – with a bike trail no way!	Ecology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Seems like TRCA has a lot of \$ to pay for all the staff. Is this just another "new deal"?	Mandate
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	No! We need access into the lake from a natural shoreline. Not from boulders and armorstone. There are ample more recreational activities available to people on a natural sandy shoreline. What is the point of spending millions to have access to the lake when you don't have access into the lake?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Why so many headlands from Greyabbey to Beachgrove? Make 2 and connect via a pedestrian walkway bridge.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Could you please list your uses of this multi-use trail you are proposing? I guarantee you there are more uses for a natural shoreline.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Seems like a "make work" project only. Why not leave it alone? Keep it natural? What about natural wildlife? Example, deer, coyote, fox, birds. What will they do?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	170 million dollars to destroy the Bluffs. Shame!	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Sounds like it's going to happen regardless of what residents want.	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	What about landslides? Are there going to be maintenance to the "pavement"? Year round maintained? Snow removal? Ice removal? What about garbage? Not happy about it.	Management
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Meeting was hijacked by the do nothing Bluffs organization. No pertinent information given due to show offs from this organization. The units described to get people down the Bluffs at west end of east point park are ugly and will take away the view along the Bluffs. Should not be done. Will look like wonderland, next will be a ferris wheel.	Miscellaneous
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes, Great Job	Effects Assessment
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Keep up the good work	Miscellaneous
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Not sure what this means	Mitigation Measures
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Not enough. Zero mention of concern for accessibility for people with physical disabilities.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Great opportunity to make the area completely inclusive for everyone. Physical disabilities, mental health issues, healthy body, mind, and spirit = less suicide.	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Brimley Road solution has a few flaws: a) speed bumps are not good for cyclists. It would create greater disharmony between cyclists and motorists b) bike clubs have just established in Scarborough and use Brimley as a training ground. c) must be barriers put in place to prevent motorists from parking at the side of the road.	Brimley Road
6/28/2017	PIC3 Feedback Form @ Meeting	Yes and no. Lost opportunity.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Panel After Pres - East - Understand the erosion concern & private property - just leave it be.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Disbelief/questioning of GreyAbbey Trail being at risk <input type="checkbox"/> within 60 years	Erosion
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Desire for an expanded sand beach at GreyAbbey/ East Point and a lack of understanding as to why this isn't possible	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Desire for further consideration of bridge option at Grey Abbey	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Many people think the connection across the tablelands at Grey Abbey will be impossible to achieve	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	A couple people wanted to understand what went into the evaluation of alternatives	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Request to consider other options to the expanded headland at Bluffer's in order to keep the surf site	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Concerns about construction noise and traffic from residents in Guildwood	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Support for project	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Some people seemed to think the informal trail network doesn't do damage and should be left alone....comment was that most of those trails have been there for years	Existing Conditions
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Concerns about having parts of trail open during construction	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Doesn't think worth the 170m and would rather have left as is	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	How much spent on Bluffer's dredging? How long to build Bluffers headland? How soon can you start? From yacht club people for the Project. I talked to them about how headland should alleviate need for dredging and aggregate could build beach	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Port Union family - what will learn from it for SWP. Headlands? (deferred to Milo)	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Very happy with project. Filled out comment card.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Great plan - love it	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	you've done really well with the East segment - thank you	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Concern at too much tree planting that will block views to the lake and make it unsafe.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	This is where Paul Bernardo grew up.	Miscellaneous
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Turn park of Grey Abbey park into a parking lot	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Need more signage to direct people to waterfront	Signage
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Have a website on all waterfront parks to give people more options	Park Amenities
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Include information on where aggregate comes from - educational opportunity. Fossils are sometimes seen and it would be nice to explain where it originated	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	the "save the beach" people won. It's a shame - it could have been a great trail.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	love the idea that we will be able to access the tablelands west of East Point Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Would like to be able to launch boats in the Study Area but not at Bluffers	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	What will trail surfaces be?	Materials
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	How can public participate in the detail design?	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Do not love the corkscrew	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	The corkscrew looks ridiculous	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	The Corkscrew looks like a waterslide	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	The staircase and elevator will be unsafe	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	The City cannot keep indoor escalators running - They will never be able to operate an elevator	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Concerns at impacts on wildlife	Ecology
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Great Project	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Finish it faster! (The Project)	Process
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Scarborough has been ignored for too long - this is great!	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Concern around management of safety after implementation (i.e. park use - will they be closed at night, enforcement)	By-law enforcement
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	How to stop people from accessing private properties at top of Bluffs	Private Landowners

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Property acquisition -> will you be expropriating private property for this?	Private Landowners
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Comment on the East Segment tableland trail -> Looking west -> trail looks so close to the edge of the Bluffs	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Positive response to Project as a whole -> requested clarification on reduction of Bluffer's Park headland & Sylvan but agreed with rationale	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Positive response - very supportive of improved access along base of Bluffs - someone who finds it very	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Process for alternative selection needs to be articulated better at meetings to help undecided make a more informed decision	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Likes that Brimley will be safer but will facilitate access for more people and make Bluffer;s beach even more packed - city needs to manage	Traffic
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Preference for a top of Bluff's connection over Grey Abbey Ravine	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	A local resident requested detailed information as to why the boat launch facility at East Point Park got removed. He thinks that there is definitely a need in such a facility: he and most of his neighbors have boats, and the only place to launch is the Bluffer's Park launch which is so popular that they are unable to use it unless they get there very early in the morning. He also thinks that the cost of such a facility can't be that substantial given the overall project cost of \$170,000,000.	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	A local resident felt very strongly that we should document her comments: - Ensure uninterrupted use shoreline by local residents for the entire construction period.	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	- Provide opportunities for the public to have commemorative trees and benches. [There is already such a program called "Commemorative Trees and Benches" run by the City for its parks. Not sure if this will be considered during detailed design/construction]	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Miscellaneous comments from attendees received in conversations: - Ensure the headland-beach system if designed for increased lake water levels such as those experienced this year.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	- Support the corkscrew because it provides access for all.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	- More money should be invested into improving Brimley Road conditions: install separated bike lanes and a separated pedestrian trail.	Brimley Road
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	- Need more parking to accommodate additional Bluffer's Park visitors. There is not enough parking as is. Need more if bringing more visitors to the Park.	Parking
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	- Consider TTC service to Bluffer's Park.	Access
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	- Leave the shoreline as is, let nature take its course.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	- Support Bluffer's Park headland extension - it will eliminate the need to dredge the marina.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	I like what you're doing	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	<name> Sylvan is prone to erosion Ah, toe is stabilized now, ok!	Erosion
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	<name> 300 ft at 1 ft/yr	Erosion
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Can we see real profiles with bathymetry in each location? - bank swallow <_>	Ecology
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Deer will break their legs	Ecology
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Slope will all be green and flat -> not visually appealing	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Why does everything need to get paved?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	What is the stable angle?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Lots of questions about Fishleigh.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Concern for stability of sand beach at Bluffer's Park	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	light standards - want to ensure they are not installed on waterfront -> will min landscape and atmosphere at night	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Would like to see more areas just left as they currently are with informal access. We don't need trails everywhere.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Staff Notes	Everyone around me was unhappy presentation was not starting on time	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Why are you not building the marina, family friendly area, and sports facility at East Point Park? Comply with the 1989 East Point Park Master Plan.	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	An Alternate Plan was submitted by the Friends of the Bluffs which was not considered. This plan would have provided access to the water, a new beach for people to use, and boat docking spaces, and has over 1,000 supporters. Would this plan impact the existing beach at Bluffer's Park?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	I'm glad you talked about beach and accessibility, I am not disappointed and I know there are positives in this; however, the beach areas exclude an accessible access point for those with mobility difficulties. I would really like to hear something more concrete and develop better programming, maybe the only all-inclusive beach in the City?	Accessibility (AODA)
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	I don't understand why you want the sand beach to be tampered with. It is impossible to walk with that armorstone, you will twist your ankle, will it be boulders or sand beach. I want to know why you say access to the water and not access into the water? You could also connect the headland in the water with a bridge.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	You talk about improving aesthetics and improving naturalization, but how is hardening of this area naturalization? You say part of the Project is to protect the bluffs from erosion. Why can't we have the way California preserves their bluffs and keep the sand beach? They have big black tubes that allow the water to run down to the bottom?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Priorities are better access for the beach. Why could we not provide access to the beach by building funiculars (railings and concrete pillars to support the bluffs)?	Access
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	This piece at Bluffer's Park is the most unique area for surfing on a southwest wind. We need to talk about how you can preserve the waves. The proposed headland is going to impact this surf location.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Are there any fishing nodes planned as part of the concept?	Park Amenities
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	I care about the shoreline I want to thank my neighbours, elected representative and people who came here from boating and surfing community. I am wondering which expert would be able to agree that it is true that one of the primary reasons of paving the beach at East Point is because of your concerns of erosion specifically from the Lake?	Erosion
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Point of process, can someone explain what levels of approval this Project must go through and what other ways can the public provide comment?	Process
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	What is the current estimated cost of the Project?	Funding/Cost
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	The area is already at capacity, and while there are facilities at Bluffer's Park, there are limited amenities and facilities at any of the tableland parks. You're going to build it, what is going to be invested in amenities?	Management
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	I am a bit turned off with 170 million dollars. I noticed on the boards out there that the target for completion is 2028. Is the 170 million dollars accurate and also the completion date of 2028, if so I would suggest hiring outside contractors who can accomplish this a lot faster.	Funding/Cost
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	I do want to commend the Project Team on the openness to listen to the public, and I am very happy with you as a Conservation Authority, but I think we can do a lot better. Who is the geologist?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	There is a lost opportunity when you look at the Grey Abbey shoreline. This area that you are planning to destroy has been there since the last ice age. What do you plan on doing with this natural sand resource?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	I am a surfer in this community for 30 years, and I am concerned with the western segment from a safety perspective. This node, similar to Ashbridges Bay but larger, could cause riptides and other unsafe conditions for those using the water recreationally.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	I am a surfer for 10 years, and being in the water gives me unique perspective and understanding of the waves and currents. Contrary to what's been presented, I can attest that the currents flow from west to east. The headland expansion in the west segment will force erosion on the beach.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	If you are going to increase access to the public, you are going to have more health and safety concerns with people climbing up the bluffs.	Safety
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	It is a unique natural environment, we want to see if anything can be changed in those plans to preserve the natural environment.	Bluff Geology
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	I'm a great lakes surfer, and these plans will be taking away our place to practice. What benefits are there going to be for our generation, and what measures will be taken to preserve it for surfers?	Park Amenities
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Support for the Project.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider speeding up process.	Process
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider not creating shoreline access.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Concern about cost.	Funding/Cost
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider less vegetation.	Ecology
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider natural shoreline.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider not installing lights along path for evening access.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Concerned about impacts on wildlife.	Ecology
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Expand on the evaluation of alternatives.	Evaluation of Alternatives
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider better directional signage.	Signage
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider additional marinas.	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Concern about trail safety during construction.	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider full trail access during construction period.	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	What will the trail surface be?	Materials
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	How can the public participate in the detailed design phase?	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider limiting ability for public to trespass on private property at top of Bluffs.	Private Landowners

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider planning for high lake levels.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Will there be expropriation of private property for this?	Private Landowners
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	What is the impact of the informal trail system?	Ecology
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider promoting all waterfront parks to the public.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Include information on where aggregate comes from (education opportunity) since fossils are sometimes seen it would be nice to explain where it originated.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Concern about management and safety after implementation of trail.	By-law enforcement
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider commemorative trees and benches.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Support for Bluffer's Park headland extension since it eliminates need to dredge the marina.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider surfing conditions at Bluffer's Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Concern about the stability of the sand beach at Bluffer's Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Support for Brimley Road improvements.	Brimley Road
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Concerns about increased traffic to Bluffer's Park as result of the Project.	Traffic
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider alternate modes of access down to Bluffs	Access
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider additional parking.	Parking
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Additional improvements should be made to Brimley Road.	Brimley Road
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Support for East Segment Preferred Alternative.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Note that the tableland trail in the East Segment is too close to the edge of the Bluffs.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Dispute that Grey Abbey Trail will be at risk within 60 years.	Erosion
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider turning part of Grey Abbey Park into a parking lot and formalize the trail down Morningside Road.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider expanded sand beach at Grey Abbey/East Point Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider top of Bluffs connection over Grey Abbey ravine.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Concerns about construction noise and traffic.	Construction & Implementation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Support the refined tableland connection in the East Segment (corkscrew concept).	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Do not support the refined tableland connection in the East Segment (corkscrew concept).	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider that a staircase and elevator are not viable options.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider continuous access is not needed.	Access
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider wave model for Refined Preferred Alternative.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Consider you are building a roadway.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Everyone was not able to ask their questions during the public meeting Q&A session.	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	How do occurrences like the spring/summer 2017 high lake levels impact the Project planning and implementation?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	It was noted that the alternate plan submitted by the public is feasible.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Note the petition with a 1000 signatures of people who want to save the beach.	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Opposition to Central and East Segment Alternatives.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Previous comments have been taken into account.	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Project seeks balance in maintaining unique characteristic of the Bluffs while also meeting the five Project Objectives.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Public meeting was taken over by public with one-sided view.	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Reconsider need for boat marina.	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Sufficient consultation was undertaken to find best solution.	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Support for refined Preferred Alternative.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Support that political members were present at public meeting.	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Thank you for all the information presented.	Consultation
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	The Refined Preferred Alternative meets the plans as set out in previous planning reports (i.e. Master Plan, Waterfront Regeneration Plan principles, etc.).	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	West Segment: Consider safety of wave that will be created from headland.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	West Segment: Consider surfing conditions/community at Bluffer's Park.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	West Segment: Consider that Bluffer's Park is already at capacity.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	West Segment: Do not support refined Preferred Alternative.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	West Segment: Support of Project.	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Why are staff/consultants allowed to work on the Project if they have not been to the Bluffs? (How can they understand the uniqueness to protect if they haven't been there?)	Process
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Why can surfing not be considered at Bluffer's Park?	Park Amenities
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Why does the area east of Guild Inn Park & Gardens require headlands? Why can it not be left natural?	Comment on Alternative
6/28/2017	PIC#3 Meeting Summary	Why is it okay for the erosion process to continue along the East Segment?	Erosion

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2017	Email	<p>I was able to attend the PIC#3 SWP (Public Information Centre) last night at Cardinal Newman High School..</p> <p>I had a previous commitment so it was necessary for me to leave towards the end of the Question and Answer session..</p> <p>In addition, I was disappointed with many of the comments from those asking the Questions/making their statements... I had little interest in remaining longer..</p> <p>I wanted to say that, as a Stakeholder Committee Member, representing Centennial College, and also a local resident, I have been very pleased with the work done by the TRCA and the City and their Team..their bottom line care and concern for the Bluffs, its history and its future was evident to me from the offset..</p> <p>To hear the people at the microphone say that they 'know the bluffs more that anyone else'; that they have more history with Scarborough Bluffs and; that they speak for the people in the room, was less that accurate....</p> <p>My family moved to the Scarborough Bluffs in 1949...I was 2 years old..my Mom (born in England) and Dad (born on the East Coast) welcomed their neighbours and over time found their walks to Bluffer's Park with us and their Grandchildren a sign of how a sharing neighbourhood developed..</p> <p>We and our neighbours played on the bluffs as children, enjoyed the place, the nature and fished for smelt at the beach.....played in the Brimley Ravine while the access Road was built.....overall, were blessed to have such a backyard.. We never felt that it was only ours..yes, we tried to keep it clean and respected the 'nature' of it, but also, welcomed all the neighbours that came after..</p> <p>I felt that many in the room last night had not as yet learned the value of working together, although they professed to be doing just that....for people to have their say and it to be heard, considered and included is to be expected, but to demand through insinuating that they had not been heard was incorrect..</p> <p>I was very pleased to hear both of your comments when you were given the microphone..your understanding of how the Scarborough Bluffs fits into the Picture of the City and the Province and as part of Canada, was very refreshing...</p>	Consultation
6/29/2017	Email	<p>I am a resident of the bluffs for 29 years, and was initially concerned when I learned about the above noted EA.</p> <p>But, you have been successful in checking off all the items on my wish list, (keep some natural beach, naturalization, access points from bluffers park, Guild Inn, and East Point Park)</p> <p>I would like to say thank you, and I fully support the EA as presented.</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2017	Email	<p>Thank you for information and good work by all on the team.</p>	Consultation
6/29/2017	Email	<p>My only wish is the MUP (multi use path) not be all paved asphalt, which adds to the heat island effect, plus, the wild life don't like it, a fine compacted granular works just as well and allows ground water replenishment.</p>	Materials

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2017	Email	<p>Thank you for an excellent presentation yesterday and for the representation from your panel of experts. Your patience is to be commended.</p> <p>Unfortunately, I did not have the opportunity to speak to the proper individual who would be responsible with the bicycle and pedestrian expansion of Brimley Rd. South. This is our current concern since we are one of the affected owners whose lots back onto Brimley Road.</p> <p>We would like to see a high concrete sound barrier placed between our properties and the newly added expansion.</p> <p>The reasons would be as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1./ To protect our properties from any damage, access, garbage. 2./ To act as a sound and visual barrier thereby allowing us to enjoy our backyards as before. <p>We would love for everyone to enjoy the Bluffs while keeping its pristine and serene conditions. Unfortunately with increased pedestrian and cycling traffic over such a long path, it would be susceptible to a great deal of refuse and other activities. Garbage cans should also be placed throughout the pathway to encourage cleanliness.</p> <p>Please forward details on the pedestrian expansion and contact information once you have an opportunity.</p> <p>Thank you for your time in considering our wishes.</p>	Brimley Road
6/29/2017	Online Submission Form PIC3	Need to buy dumping tickets.	Construction & Implementation
6/29/2017	Email	<p>Since the project is broken into three parts, I'll compartmentalize my thoughts on that basis.</p> <p>West Segment: proposed plan looks good. Currently access to the beach via Brimley road is less than optimal and it would be good to have a better path/sidewalk. Obviously it's not realistic to make every inch of shoreline in the city wheelchair accessible, and since this is already a very popular beach it's a spot where it makes the most sense to improve access.</p> <p>Central Segment: proposed plan looks good. Seems like it would increase public access and safety. Many buildings are quite near the top of the bluffs, so reducing erosion is important in this segment.</p> <p>East Segment: I strongly prefer the "Do Nothing / Save The Beach" approach for this segment. Leaving a 1.5 kilometer or so length of pristine, untouched shoreline in its natural state is a gift for future generations and all the species that inhabit it. And it's not much considering how many miles of coastline go across the city, or even the 11 km encompassed within the project. Can't we leave this one segment exactly as it is?</p> <p>Of the three segments, this is the one in which concern about continued erosion of the bluffs is the least pressing. There are no buildings abutting the bluff. Most of the land atop this section is taken up by parkland.</p> <p>Pedestrian and bicycle traffic should be routed up to the top of the bluffs as far west as possible, in the area of Morningside/ Guildwood Parkway / Greyabbey Trail intersection. (Preferably via a circular walkway. A solar-powered elevator or funicular would be a target for vandalism by teenagers and local gang members.)</p> <p>For emergency services access, police boats are already able to pull right up to the shore on those rare occasions when a rescue needs to be effected.</p> <p>By approaching the project in this manner, all the principal stakeholders would get some of what they want. The Western, most heavily-used segment, would see improved access. The Central segment, in which erosion and safety seem to be the greatest concern, would see these issues remediated. And the Eastern segment, the most remote and unspoiled area of shoreline in the entire city would remain untouched.</p>	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2017	Email	attended last night's meeting. Thanks for sharing the revised plan.	Consultation
6/29/2017	Email	And let's not cover the beach in the vari-sized pebbles that were discussed last night. Nothing could improve upon the sand that nature has already provided.	Materials

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/29/2017	Email	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to review the current propositions for the Scarborough waterfront EA yesterday evening.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do the results .. seem reasonable? Yes. 2. Do you agree .. Alternative? Yes, I can live with these choices. 3. Do you .. on mitigation measures? No, I'm sure the engineers know how to make these work. 	Comment on Alternative
6/29/2017	Email	<p>The execution of the meeting was very rude to the people who took time to attend to hear the public input and the panel's responses, and to the municipal, provincial and federal representatives who made the effort to attend to understand the issues. The meeting purpose would be more effectively served if the facilitator accepted questions from the public written on post-card size forms provided, one per attendee during sign-in, collected immediately after the presentation, read clearly by the facilitator, and then responded to by the appropriate expert panel. That process, which works quite well at National Geographic Live lectures at Roy Thomson Hall, removes the public mic for people grand-standing for their friends, without damaging the information content.</p>	Consultation
6/29/2017	Email	<p>I think it would have been perfectly acceptable for the facilitator, or the TRCA project representative to have unambiguously declared that discussion about the 1989 Official Plan for East Point, is off topic and out of scope for the current SWP project, and truncate that repetitive speech.</p> <p>Regards; Best wishes for EA approval; stay calm and carry on.</p>	Consultation
6/29/2017	Email	<p>It would be acceptable to not offer unstable cost estimates until after the detail design is presented. At this point you are screwed if reality needs to exceed the estimate, and equally screwed if the detailed planning finds less expensive methods to accomplish the same objectives. It might be reasonable to present a funding budget that will be an enforced maximum (including contingency allowance) above which the sponsor would cancel the remainder of the project. A budget is not an estimate.</p>	Funding/Cost
6/29/2017	Email	<p>I understand that a marina on Frenchman's Bay has been purchased a group that plans to build condos on the site. I know that TRCA opted not to include a marina in the Scarborough water front plan because there were no waiting lists at any of the current marinas. However, if boaters currently keeping their boats in Frenchman's Bay are forced to move them elsewhere, there may not be sufficient dockage in the Toronto area to accommodate boaters. TRCA should re-evaluate their decision not to include a marina in their plan in light of this development.</p> <p>If the marina is replaced with condos, we would not only lose the boat slips; but we would also lose a boat launch. There is already shortage boat launches. There have been days where I have showed up at a launch and have had to leave because all of the parking spots were full.</p> <p>I think the Guild in is a good place for a marina and boat launch. There is already a road to the base. And the shore line habitat is less not very good in terms of habitat or aesthetics.</p>	Boat dock/launch/marina
6/29/2017	Email	<p>Since sand moves from east to west along the lake shore, building a peninsula at this point would also allow sand deposits to build up along the section of the beach that is to be left natural which would help prevent further erosion of the bluffs in this area.</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
6/30/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	Hardening the beach is not reasonable. This is such a precious commodity and by dumping rocks on it, you are taking it away from us. It's not like these rocks are even easy to walk on to get to the water, so why bother doing anything? The bluffs are going to continue to erode from top to bottom like they have been forever, yes from the top. The TRCA keeps trying to sweep the erosion from the top issue under the rug by blaming most of it on the waves. I'm a birder and I hang out at the parks on top of the bluffs and I have seen how much erosion occurs from the top. Trees are always falling over and taking chunks of the bluffs with them.	Comment on Alternative
6/30/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	The proposal at East Point Park to put in a paved cycle path is badly thought out. This park has been left to grow wild and is called a bird sanctuary. There are mammals as well as birds and to have cyclists whizzing by a place that is supposed to be quiet and wild so the animals can den and nest will be abandoned by wildlife. This is the website of another Bird sanctuary: http://www.reifelbirdsantuary.com/planning.html#rules Also, bringing in machinery to pave the woodchip path is going to cause further damage to an already fragile bluff.	Ecology
7/2/2017	Email	Not sure why you call these meeting other than update your check list that a meeting was held. It seem you want to do this waterfront change to east point park only Your way. What a shame for the people who have never visited the middle & east segments.	Consultation
7/2/2017	Email	6. The new and much anticipated public access path from the tableland to the waterfront is still a mystery to me and is unclear to the public. A large display panel showed photos of 3 top to bottom access structures inappropriate for the Roma Hass location. Note: With several concept drawings on display, why was no artist concept drawing of an access path presented? The entire premise for the SWP is all about creating a public access path to the shoreline.	comment on alternative
7/2/2017	Email	4. The surfers association gave strong arguments against the western sector development that would destroy their water recreation activities. There are over 1,000 surfer members in Toronto some with Olympic aspirations that TRCA had not considered in the shoreline design process. Note: Arthur Potts, the junior minister of MOECC, told me that the surfers concerns (safety issues and destroying a unique naturalized surfing location) will need to be addressed by TRCA.	Comment on Alternative
7/2/2017	Email	8. My comments also reflect over 1,000 informed and concerned residents of the Scarborough Bluffs community. Our mandate is to preserve Toronto's last (and world class) natural shoreline. In its natural state, the shoreline along Grey Abbey beach is a spectacular geological treasure. Over 12,000 years old, this shoreline is a tremendous asset for education, recreation, heritage, and is an established habitat to hundreds of local and migrating species. Shoreline construction will produce over a decade of heavy equipment activity in a sensitive area, produce irreversible damage, and drive out wildlife in an established ESA area. The value of this area will be devastated and irrevocably impaired if the current plan to "hardscape" the shoreline is realized.	Comment on Alternative
7/2/2017	Email	9. An alternate environmentally friendly SWP plan that reflects what the public wants and expects was presented to the coastal engineer who was on stage at the PIC 3. He verified that the public's alternate plan to create a sandy beach with a visitor docking facilities was feasible. I asked the coastal engineer if this alternate plan for a proposed beachhead would be detrimental to the current blue flag beach at Bluffers Park. He confirmed that the sand accumulation for a new beach would not decrease the size of the current beach at Bluffers.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/2/2017	Email	To achieve a fully considered waterfront development, the SWP should accommodate a) In the eastern sector, the preservation of the environmentally healthy and water accessible sandy shoreline. b) In the central sector, re-establish public water access with a sandy beachhead to accommodate swimming, boating and all water activities. Provide a switch back access path and a washroom facility at the Guild Park waterfront c) In the western sector, keep Bluffers Park Beach as is i.e. do not add a waterfront roadway between Doris McCarthy Trail and Bluffers beach that will destroy much of the current size of the sandy beach that has taken decades to establish.	Comment on Alternative
7/2/2017	Email	3. Politicians in attendance – Bill Blair, Gary Crawford and John McKay seemed in favour of TRCA waterfront development. Councillor Ainslie was absent. Mitzie Hunter and Arthur Potts seemed open and receptive to public comments.	Consultation
7/2/2017	Email	5. Saving Grey Abbey Park shoreline was of major public concern. TRCA proudly announced the SWP revision will save over half a kilometer of natural shoreline by ending their waterfront roadway at the Roma Hass ravine location. Note: Shame on TRCA for not saving all of the last remaining sandy shoreline in Toronto. The public has trust that TRCA will respect their Conservation mandate, would preserve a healthy natural environment, would comply with ESA/ANSI policy and comply with environmental recommendations not to harden the shoreline.	Consultation
7/2/2017	Email	2. Misleading erosion information was displayed on the picture panels. A photo of a major land slide slump west of Morningside had a caption “Grey Abbey Park land slide”. Grey Abbey Park is east of Morningside where no landslides have occurred along the natural shoreline. All major and minor slumps have occurred west of Morningside where TRCA erosion works have been completed. Note: I asked TRCA’s consultants to correct the incorrect caption prior to the public presentation---it was not corrected. Erosion rates along the Grey Abbey bluffs is estimated at one foot per year where private backyards back onto the park with approximately 280 feet of parkland, the erosion could theoretically be a problem in 280 years. The caption read in “50 years” homes will be in danger. I informed the TRCA consultant of the misleading caption, but it was not corrected. I asked the Geo tech expert from Terraprobe if cliff erosion could be stopped at any time using the top filling erosion control method. He informed me that it is an engineered approved method with successful results. He said the TRCA no longer approves this method for safety reasons.	Erosion
7/2/2017	Email	7. Since PIC 1 & 2, the estimated \$80 million project has more than doubled to \$170 million. Note: Based on records of tendered projects with the City, the preliminary estimate of \$170 million would likely double by the end of the 10-15 year construction period, with the results of a misguided development where tax revenue could have otherwise been invested in positive City improvements.	Funding/Cost
7/2/2017	Email	The SWP is a development proposal directed from the corporate management of TRCA. The corporate coastal roadway agenda does not meet the best practices for Toronto’s waterfront development potential. The SWP could be a golden opportunity to showcase eastern Toronto’s spectacular Scarborough bluffs with all the opportunities that this fresh water lake has to offer i.e. access to the water for the enjoyment of recreational activities, for the surfers, swimmers, boaters and all sandy beach uses. Cities around the world are naturalizing previously hardened shorelines, and while mitigation strategies for shore and tableland erosion are necessary, there are other options which will achieve the same goal, while preserving one of Toronto’s oldest and most prized features	Mandate
7/2/2017	Email	The required EA process to complete 3 public information centers is now complete. Eight expert consultants were on stage to answer public questions. Professional information boards and concept drawings were on display and staffed by TRCA consultants.	Miscellaneous

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/2/2017	Email	10. <<NAME>>, the critically acclaimed author of "Along the Shore – discovering Toronto's waterfront heritage", whose passion and expert in depth knowledge of this coastline, disputes the whole shoreline hardening concept. She questioned the expertise of TRCA's paid consultant who is a leading spokesperson for the SWP team who admitted that she has never been along the historical shoreline that she is promoting to destroy in favour of a waterfront roadway extension.	Miscellaneous
7/2/2017	Email	To Whom It May Concern....planning for an excellent long term permanent waterfront development, both the environment and public access to the water are paramount. The current SWP waterfront road plan is unacceptable on both accounts. Please consider the above public comments and visit www.torontonaturalshorlines.com for researched information specific to our precious waterfront now under threat.	Miscellaneous
7/2/2017	Email	1. The PIC3 presentation and Q&A comments were not recorded and no media coverage was evident. Note: All public speaker comments had a negative response to the corporate "preferred plan", no one was in favour of destroying the sandy shoreline with a roadway. The PIC 1,2,3 and a stakeholder meeting I attended had the same public response of disapproving the hardening of the shoreline.	Process

DRAFT

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/3/2017	Email	<p>Has MTRCA truly taken into account all the feasible alternatives that may leave the natural shoreline as is? If MTRCA is insistent on creating this cycling/pedestrian walkway, surely an engineer could be found that could design a pedestrian/cycling walkway across the water? Many natural sites have been preserved by building across wetlands, rivers, and lakes. Westney Park has a beautiful bridge that spans across part of Lake Ontario. Petticoat Creek has a stunning bridge that crosses a wetland and river.</p> <p>Below is the Bengbu Longzai Lake Bridge in China. This is a testament to the ingenuity of architects who are able to provide designs for bridges that span across water.</p> <p><<PICTURE>></p> <p>The same waterfront pedestrian bridge can also be used at night. <<PICTURE>></p> <p>Below is a photo of Liupanshui Minghu Wetland Park in China. The bulk of the project is situated around the river's drainage basin, where pedestrian and bicycle paths thread across the expanse of water and around its perimeter. <<PICTURE>></p> <p>They have not destroyed a natural habitat, but have incorporated a fabulous design of bridges spanning the water. It is used as a cycling, pedestrian walkway. People fish off of the bridge.</p> <p>Think of all the wonderful extras we could have, if we incorporated such a design. With our shoreline intact, we could continue to walk along the sand, skip stones into the water, and watch the waves lap up onto the shore. We could study animal prints in the sand, pull up our kayaks, windsurfers, and paddleboards. Children would be able to wade in the waters, and swim. Families could enjoy weekend picnics on the beach. As well, our wildlife would not be impeded by giant boulders and armourhead when accessing water for drinking. Below are some photos depicting pedestrian/cycling bridges with beaches left intact. <<4 PICTURES>></p> <p>Surely we have engineers that are capable of designing a walkway across the waters that would extend from the bottom of Morningside Avenue to the Rohm and Haas chemical plant. Not only would we have the opportunity to engage in recreational beach activities, we would then have a place to walk and cycle, and perhaps even fish off the bridge. This design would truly bring forth a multipurpose recreational area. <<PICTURE>></p> <p>Many federal and state agencies in the United States are now understanding the full effects of hard stabilization methods and are beginning to slowly phase out traditional coastal engineering practices, using instead soft stabilization methods. We as well, should be thinking along those lines. The hardened shorelines stretching from Sylvan to Port Union have needed much maintenance, with some of the rocks and pavement crumbling into the waters of Lake Ontario. In some areas there are remnants of all sorts of construction debris. It has been sitting in and around the waters for years! The newly created waterfront trail by Port Union has crumbled sections with dangerous wires hanging out, waiting for an accident to happen.</p> <p>The hardened sections of beachfront are geared mainly towards pedestrians or cyclists. If you simply want to get your feet wet, wade into the water, or take a quick dip, it is virtually impossible to access the water unless you take painstaking care not to sprain an ankle. It is difficult for anybody to access INTO the lake along the hardened sections of beachfront. You speak about accessibility to the lake. What about accessibility INTO the lake? Stumbling over the huge boulders and unappealing debris of red bricks makes this indeed a</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/3/2017	Email	<p>MTRCA states that hardening the shoreline will reduce erosion and protect the bluffs. This is hard to believe after all the mud slides this year, along the top of the bluffs where the shoreline has already been hardened by MTRCA (Sylvan to Guildwood Parkway). It does not appear that MTRCA's hardening of the shoreline has reduced erosion here. Some scientists will argue that hardening the shoreline underneath the sensitive bluffs, actually tends to destabilize the bluffs. Interestingly, there have not been any landslides along the natural shoreline you are proposing to harden. Could you please explain this to me?</p>	Erosion

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/3/2017	Email	<p>We live in the beautiful city of Toronto. As with any city, most people enjoy a respite area away from the hustle and bustle of everyday life in an urban centre. We have beautiful conservation areas, parks, and rivers. But what Toronto also has is the envy of other major cities around the world. We have the pristine, unspoiled beaches of Lake Ontario! We have Sugar Beach, Ashbridges Bay, Cherry Beach, Centre Island, Bluffers Park, the Beaches and the hidden gem of a beautiful natural shoreline spanning from the bottom of Morningside to East Point Park.</p> <p>The above mentioned beaches are extremely well utilized by the public. "Bluffers Park, has seen an explosion of visitors in recent years", as mentioned in the July edition of the Bluffs Monitor. As we all know, Toronto's population is increasing year by year. Some of Toronto's beaches can become overpopulated in the summer season. If MTRCA wants to develop the beachfront, they should develop another version of Bluffers Park, where our growing population can filter out to a new recreational beach area. With global warming and increasingly sweltering summers, residents want to find respite wading along a cool sand beach, rather than sizzling on a black concrete path swathed by huge boulders and treeless, shadeless, uninviting headlands.</p> <p>I find it incredulous that the MTRCA, a body of the government that is meant to protect and conserve the environment, would negate even a metre of this beautiful, natural asset that is such a rare commodity for a city. We live in such an urbanized society, a 'concrete jungle' as some would call it. Can MTRCA not possibly come up with a design that would keep our natural shoreline as it is, without turning it into 'concrete'? I am all for accessibility to the water... but make it a beachfront experience... let the public experience this last true pristine shoreline. We need to preserve this gem for Toronto's expanding populace. MTRCA should be developing plans to access another wonderful beach, not a hardened walkway. On my daily walks along the shoreline, my favorite part is the undulating natural shoreline, where one can truly appreciate a treasured getaway from the city. Why harden Toronto's precious shoreline when there are other alternatives?</p>	Miscellaneous
7/3/2017	Email	<p>"Waterfront Toronto's plans identify public accessibility to the waterfront and the development of parks and public spaces as major priorities" This comes from the Toronto Waterfront website. I think it is a wonderful idea to make the waterfront accessible, however, what good is a beach or shoreline that is hardened? Yes, people want to have access to the water. But the far more important question is WHY do people want access to the water? A true recreational waterfront boasts a multitude of recreational activities. Why are we spending millions of dollars to destroy an already existing recreational waterfront? Just think... we could boast another Blue Flag Beach if MTRCA promoted it... a Blue Flag Beach that protects local shorelines and ecosystems. Beach enthusiasts would LOVE it! Perfect for picnicking, swimming or just kicking back to relax. By preserving our pristine natural shoreline, MTRCA would then live up to it's mandate. As well, true respect would be given to the natural beauty and wondrous ecological features of the Scarborough Bluffs. Just think, our children and grandchildren would have this treasure for generations to come!</p> <p>A glance at the bottom two photos shows the stark contrast of a natural landscape versus a tampered man-made landscape. MTRCA members really need to do some self reflection. How in all honesty can any of you promote hardening of this pristine landscape?</p> <p>Which picture would you pick for your kids or grandkids to enjoy, on a sweltering, hot, humid summer day? <<2 PICTURES>> I would greatly appreciate an answer to all my questions.</p>	Process
7/3/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	<p>In general, yes, although I'm a bit disappointed that a more gradual transition option wasn't found or presented for the Grey Abbey Ravine area of the East Segment. My wife and I enjoy cycling along the Waterfront Trail and interconnected ravine trails and would have appreciated a more gradual bike-friendly transition. Of the options presented, a large-diameter corkscrew structure with a reasonable slope would be preferred as an alternative. Being a retired civil engineer, I realize a more gradual transition would involve more geotechnical challenges and capital cost.</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/3/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	Yes	Effects Assessment
7/3/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	No, your measures look thorough and reasonable.	Effects Assessment
7/3/2017	Email	I was unable to attend PIC # 3, but have read all of the info you sent via email. Based on this info, I offer a few comments as attached. Please keep me on your distribution list for future developments. Keep up the good work!	Miscellaneous
7/3/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	I am a retired civil engineer with 35 years of experience with provincial and federal EA processes. Based on my considerable practical experience, I would say you have done a comprehensive and thorough job so far. I realize it is not possible to satisfy the expectations of all stakeholders as well as elected officials and regulators. Considering the estimated overall cost and the obvious benefits in terms of public safety, protection of the environment and public amenities, the refined project approach looks to be very good value for money and other resources expended.	Process
7/3/2017	Email	In the east segment of the waterfront project why not clean up the beach and leave it in its natural state and where the beach is, build a stone wall 100 meters off shore. This would protect the beach and provide a protected water area for recreational purposes.	Beach
7/4/2017	Email	(1) Would waterfront/water's edge access be provided from Greyabbey Park? (Hopefully "No!") Since Greyabbey Trail was never intended for the traffic and vehicular parking such access would encourage/require, and it is currently a well loved pedestrian route for local families and dog walkers, I am very pleased to see the plans show access from the Guild property to the west and from the east side of the ravine that backs on the eastern edge of Greyabbey, but NOT from Greyabbey Park. Thanks. (2). Would there be access to the waterfront between Bluffer's Park and the eastern end of the route? I found that "yes", there would be access at the Guild property.	access
7/4/2017	Email	(3) I am very pleased to see that Bluffer's Park will be expanded east so that there will be a continuous pathway from the Guild to Bluffer's Park. People will be able to access the park from the east by foot (a healthy walk to be sure), thus potentially eliminating the need for driving and parking a car at Bluffer's by residents of Guildwood. (4). How can a continuous pathway along the waterfront be created when some property owners on Guildwood Parkway and Greyabbey Trail own to the water's edge and any pedestrian traffic would be considered trespassing? I learned that negotiations are expected to commence allowing for possible "buy outs" along the water's edge below Greyabbey, and that the beach pathway would be widened to allow for safe travel.	Comment on Alternative
7/4/2017	Email	Thank you for all the effort that has gone into the waterfront plans. And thank-you, also, for the transparency with which the plans are being shared and are hopefully moving forward. I have attended each of your information nights and I am excited to see the plans approaching reality.	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/4/2017	Email	<p>I attended your open house today and I am very satisfied with both the plans that were shared - as they relate to my personal situation - and with the knowledgeable manner in which my questions were addressed by Nancy Gaffney and by a gentleman named Bill.</p> <p>My parents' family home is located at 197 Greyabbey Trail. Although my dad has now passed, my mom continues to live in the house. Mom and dad are original owners, having moved to Greyabbey in 1964. They specifically chose this property for its waterfront setting. My concerns have been:</p> <p>(5) As I have just signed to purchase a home on Regency Square in Guildwood, allowing me to be close to my aging mother (living as mentioned above on Greyabbey), I am thrilled to see the beach will be within walking distance of my new home.</p>	Miscellaneous
7/4/2017	email	<p>Nice to speak with you briefly at the "PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE #3" today at Blessed Cardinal Newman Catholic School on Brimley Road South. I DID return for the "Presentation" and the "Expert Panel Q&A" beginning at 7PM.</p> <p>Basically (tried) to suggest three things:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Generate more income for the City of Toronto (that some politicians might like?) 2) Shore up the crumbling "Bluffs" (that some taxpayers might like?)... and 3) Ease access to/from the "beaches" at the bottom of the "Bluffs" in Scarborough. <p>... and that basically the "best" we might do as humans is to leave the world a "better place" for future generations.</p> <p>At the "Expert Q&A" tonight (were you there?) it seemed folks had their own "pet projects" ... and mine was access to the "beaches" for disabled folks (while making money, etc... see above).</p> <p>... and basically I was suggesting the City install one (or more) "funiculars".</p> <p>Which I've already written about in "social media" as a Facebook group "Toronto TTC FUNiculars"... seen here: https://www.facebook.com/groups/1429560257106870/</p> <p>What I haven't mentioned yet, is that by drilling shafts/holes (as a base for the rails) to fill with concrete these funiculars might serve to reinforce/support the crumbling bluffs, and/or to serve as drainpipes. And this supposes that the Toronto TTC knows how to lay steel rails. (Hehe) You'll note too that the funiculars pictured are in "traditional" TTC streetcar colours. :)</p> <p>AND, by employing TWO "cars", using gravity, one descending "car" can "lift" the other ("free energy"). The rails/infrastructure are also ideally situated to mount solar cells to generate "free electricity" for electric motors as needed... and/or to "feed" Toronto Hydro. Apart from solar "panels"... see also "thin film" solar that comes as flat sheets rolled up. Just unroll and install. In recent years, the cost of solar cells has dropped to the point it about equals the nuclear power used by Ontario "Hydro" (and is far more benign if/when "things go wrong").</p> <p>As I pointed out on that Facebook site... think "CN Tower Lite". Access/use might be included in the cost on a TTC Metropass or ticket. And "tourists" might line up to pay for use?</p> <p>I'm not sure that alternative access proposals might support access for the "disabled" using wheelchairs? (As I do use...)</p> <p>Anyway. If you like, sign up to that Facebook group maybe and say hello? ... and any questions/"concerns"? Fire away!</p> <p>Laughlin ("Lock") Hughes (Currently living on Kingston Road - what real estate agents might call the "Upper Upper Beach") and island-born having lived on/off islands (various) surrounded by beaches for much of my life. So missing the beach!</p>	access

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/4/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	2. Do you agree with Refined Preferred Alternative? If not, why? Up to a point. If you stop extending the road East of its present terminus below Guildwood Pkwy, you'd preserve another couple hundred metres of beach (not much of a difference from the Refined Preferred Alternative). The present road by the Guild Inn provides a perfect route up to the table land.	Comment on Alternative
7/4/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	Easy access for all is only fair; however, easy access for all, everywhere leaves us with something resembling The Beaches/Ashbridges Bay/Wasaga Beach/Amity Island over virtually the entire waterfront (except with armour stone). There needs to be rough, wild patches. There is a small but growing number of naturalists who are hardy, yet tread lightly and are vigilant to hazards as they track, find sit spots, and journal. They need the wild and feral places. I teach this to my students as a means of learning natural science. Unfortunately, finding adequate natural places for my students is becoming increasingly difficult, even in our parks.	Ecology
7/4/2017	Email	I was very disappointed that the final five people still standing in line waiting to ask questions were not heard by everyone present. Yes, they were invited to speak one-on-one to the panel. To me, that is not a public hearing. Questions and answers could not be heard by everyone present.	Consultation
7/5/2017	Email	First off, is it really necessary to have a paved path with the Scarborough Bluffs as a backdrop? Is there not another more natural looking alternative that would be lower maintenance as well. If this project is truly about conservation, a more environmentally friendly natural alternative could surely be found. Although pleased that the natural beach at Bluffers Park and East Point Park will be preserved, I truly wish that more thought could be put into preserving more of the natural beach below Grey Abbey Park.	Comment on Alternative
7/5/2017	Email	Thank you for sharing the information of this project at the 3 Information Centres, and allowing myself to be an observer at the last stakeholder meeting. Some positive changes have been made over the consultation process from the original plan, I believe there is still room for improvement in the Preferred Alternative of the Environmental Analysis.	Consultation
7/5/2017	Email	As I raised in the Information Centre #3, bad municipal planning from decades ago will be placed under great intensification with this Project. As witnessed just this past July 4, when police were blocking entrance to Bluffers Park at 1:00 p.m. Seeing the cars racing all around The Bluffs south of Kingston Road looking for lakeview, often running into dead end streets, I do not think that the logistics and lack of parking are truly appreciated by the TRCA. Port-o-potties and City dumper garbage bins throughout our Bluff Top Parks are really not acceptable for these lakeside attractions, and the City is already under intense pressure and under resourced to deal with current traffic levels and to provide amenities on many days. Surely there must be some estimate of numbers of increased visitors that this project will bring, and some analysis of whether current logistics are suitable for the needs? If this project is going to cost \$170+ million as was told, how much is being budgeted to provide infrastructure in The Bluffs Communities to accommodate the safety of increased traffic flow, parking, bathroom facilities and garbage needs of this great stress on the underprepared and ill designed Residential Communities? How much will be budgeted for wildlife care as many will be diverted from their natural corridors.	Management

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/5/2017	Email	<p>This is a loss of a great natural treasure, and is not consistent with the TRCA mandate of Conservation in mind. Enjoying a natural Beach waterfront in this section versus stone rubble should be paramount in the Preferred Alternative mandate to maximize natural waterfront experience.</p> <p>As the time approaches for the final Preferred Alternative, please remember the TRCA's mandate is Conservation, and that most importantly applies to the Scarborough Bluffs. Much of this project dramatically hasten the transformation of Bluffs into Slopes. Keeping in mind that the Fishleigh Drive Project is being extended well over 100 meters, in next generational thinking the Scarborough Bluffs may well become known as the only Scarborough Bluff at Bluffers Park. Please be respectful to Conserve the natural beaches, bluffs, wildlife corridors and ecosystems in any further thought toward this ambitious project. Less project will truly be More for Preservation and Conservation of the Natural Bluffs</p>	Mandate
7/6/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	<p>The number of access points could be increased - but this might require additional public transportation / parking at the top of the Bluffs. No plan will satisfy everyone. This plan will make the WATERFRONT / Lake Ontario shoreline, available to many, many more people in Toronto - just like the changes have made the Port Union waterfront area more accessible.</p>	Access
7/6/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	<p>Yes, I endorse the "Refined Preferred Alternative".</p> <p>Let's get on with the work, beginning at the west end of the planned area. We must move forward and the status quo that restricts access to a select few is not an alternative.</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/6/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	<p>Yes, it seems to be reasonable to me.</p> <p>I particularly appreciated the fact that previous comments had been taken into account - and how these had been incorporated into the revised plan.</p>	Effects Assessment
7/7/2017	Email	<p>We would appreciate if the TRCA can respond to the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What were the goals of the revised headland? 2. Did the redesign achieve those goals? <p>We were also advised that the preservation of the surf break conflicts with two main goals of the node including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. sheltering the entry of the marina from sand build up (this is so the marina entrance does not have to be dredged twice a year) 2. the collection of sand on the main beach, expanding the size of the beach <p>We would also appreciate explanation of why a further revision to the node (with input from the surfing community) or moving the node slightly further east is not possible from a technical standpoint. Is it not possible to design a node that meets the TRCA's goals and preserves the surf break and if so what are those obstacles? If there is a budget issue what is the estimated cost to redesign or move the node further east? We were advised that the cost of the project is estimated at 170 million dollars and that there will be further budgeted money once in the construction phase.</p> <p>It would be helpful to know what challenges/obstacles the TRCA has identified leading to the stated conclusion that their goals for the node and the goal of preserving the surf break are at irreconcilable odds. Armed with concrete information the surfing community and our elected officials will be in a better position to determine if there is the will and means to save the surf break.</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2017	Email	<p>My name is <<NAME>> I live at <<ADDRESS>> in the beach. I attended the Public Consultation Meeting #3 with members of the surfing community. We were encouraged to see a revision had been made to the node (changed the shape and size) as we were originally advised that a revision was not possible this late in the process. Unfortunately the node will still destroy the surf break. It's unfortunate that surfers were not consulted in its redesign.</p> <p>I think the surf community is reasonable and will ultimately understand if there is some greater good that can only be achieved by destroying the surf break. We feel however it is unreasonable of the TRCA to make this conclusion and move forward with the approvals of the project without sharing the facts this conclusion is based on</p>	Consultation

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/7/2017	Email	Do not pave paradise and put up a parking lot!	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	Please ,do not connect the Bluffers beach with the Doris McCarthy beach section . This is the most beautiful beach in Toronto ,great walk in the sand . All this construction will kill the beauty of that end of the beach . more people, more garbage ,more parties , more mess . What happened to less IKeep the two land masses you have made and that's it . Save money.then fix the damage that this winter has done to your existing work ,and forever after. You need to repair what you have before you expand .Road down Brimley is not wide enough for the bikes and cars . Not enough parking the Bluffers Park. Guildwood people looks like they don't want this either at there end . East Point could be the relief for Bluffers weekend crowd if they knew about it ,should I tell Google that there is a great beach down the Beachgrove drive hill ?	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	no,look above	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	Water will win over rock. this is a waste of hard earned tax payers money . We will be paying for this forever and repairing this forever !	Comment on Alternative
7/7/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	You Guys are pushing this through ,weather we like it or not . I see that at the meeting .	Consultation
7/8/2017	Email	Thank you for the opportunity to share my (and my partner's thoughts) re the Waterfront Project. We came to the meeting on June 28/17 and found the information very informative but at the same time somewhat disappointing.	Consultation
7/8/2017	Email	We love the natural beauty of the Scarborough Bluffs and have walked here nearly every day with our dogs for thirty years. The abundance of birds and deer show a wonderful habitable ecosystem with corridors connecting to the Rouge Park. Twelve years of heavy machinery going to and from the waterfront, and on the natural sand part of the shoreline will be terribly invasive, more so than the crowds of people who descend on the bluffs every weekend. We do understand why you wish to control the amount of people who crisscross the woods and fields and yes, even the bluff face itself by the building of asphalt paths. Although, the very thought of asphalt instead of a dirt track is repugnant. Our main fear is that certain species of wildlife; turtles, salamanders, habitats of ducks who nest in old growth, not to mention great blue herons, kingfishers etc. and wildflowers will be destroyed or severely disturbed. We depend on your experts to make sure that you follow strong conservation guidelines. Twelve years is a long time!	Ecology
7/8/2017	Email	It is hard to understand WHY your project is being pushed through. Why can't you just leave the waterfront alone? I enjoy going out in nature where there is no paved pathway. This feels like a "make-work" project. Spend the 170 million on something that the people want.	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	No. I do not agree. A waterfront trail means waterfront! Not up on bluffs. This idea from east of Grey Abbey to Highland Creek basically creates a barrier for seniors, handicapped and all others who are physically challenged. The trail should be as level as possible. The trail should be along the beach. That is the reason for a "waterfront trail". No formal access will only encourage those who can, to create an informal one. Accept it! People want to enjoy the beach! They will either formally with some hope of responsible use or informally with much greater chance of inappropriate use. But they will use it! I fail to see how any elected politician in Scarborough can block access to 2.5 km of very limited beach in the east end of TORONTO.	Comment on Alternative
7/9/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	Yes. As a resident of eastern Scarborough, I suggest the trail be built east to west, with the guild inn section be first. To complement the redeveloped inn and gardens! Why does the west always come first?	Construction & Implementation
7/9/2017	Email	Greetings, I have examined the PIC #3 materials for the Scarborough Waterfront Project and note that one of the refinements made was to reduce the size of the proposed headland adjacent to the entrance to Bluffers Park marina. However I can't find any information supporting why this refinement was proposed or adopted. What is the rationale? Thank you in advance,	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/9/2017	Email	<p>I am writing to express my outrage on this project and how it appears to be moving forward even though many people object to it. I was at the last public meeting and it seemed the majority of the crowd was against this project, so I can't understand why it seems to be proceeding amidst such protest.</p> <p>It seems to me that all the thought for this project is to coddle to the humans. There is absolutely no thought given to the wildlife living in this precious area, some which are endangered species. Humans want access, so just pave over the wildness and give it to them, because they are the most important thing in this world, correct? To hell with the wildlife, to hell with the vegetation, just as long as humans push their way into more pure and undeveloped space, like they always do. Why, why, why must EVERYTHING be geared to humans? Why can't this space be left wild and untouched as it has been for years upon years? We already know that this area is unstable and no matter how you try to take steps to keep it safe for humans, nature will have her way and it will fail. We saw this clearly this year when we had so much rain, and there were numerous landslides.</p> <p>We also know that once you create these paths and areas for humans to enter, there will be much destruction and polluting by them. Isn't it bad enough they are dirtying the beach at the Bluffs and the other parks that have been made available to them with their fires, their littering and their complete disrespect for nature? It sickens me to think this project is literally paving a way for more people to get in to beautiful natural spaces so that they can put their destructive stamp on it.</p> <p>I am an Ojibway from Nipissing First Nations, and I want my opinion to be heard as one of the people who is totally opposed to this project. I can't for the life of me understand why this space can't be left for the wildlife that lives there and not made for humans to ruin.</p>	Ecology
7/10/2017	Email	I am not in support of the proposed alternative for this area. It must be kept as is to ensure the natural habitats that are there as well as preserve its natural beauty.	Comment on Alternative
7/10/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	<p>I do not. With respect to the Western Segment, the Refined Preferred Alternative appears to be excessive in its scope. Pushing the beach out some 60 metres from the existing condition is overkill, as the park facilities (parking, washrooms, services) will not allow for enough people to populate such an excessively expansive beach. The Alternative 5A with a narrow beach expansion is likely more appropriate. Given the projected \$170 million capital cost of the overall project, it would seem that preserving a section of natural bluffs that could be viewed from a bridge in the shallow water off the base of Cudia park would be not only worthwhile, but also reasonable in light of the scope of the project. The apparent desire for a more "roadlike" connection between Bluffers Beach and Doris McCarthy trail seems poorly founded, as bridge access would still allow for access by emergency services. Additionally, if needed the beaches at the bottom of Doris McCarthy trail are highly accessible by boat if needed and any development of this scale will require at least one or two marine units (based at the marina) for patrol, emergency services, and enforcement. Rather than the "brute force" Alternative currently being proposed, the TRCA should focus on creating something that truly captures, preserves, and exhibits the essence of the namesake Bluffs in the most-used Western Segment project area by putting forward a solution that preserves wildlife habitat, geological uniqueness, and creates an enjoyable experience for park users. Pave a path to the start of the bridge if you must, but creating a 60m wide beach expansion is excessive and destructive to the nature of the area and the Bluffs at the base of Cudia.</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/10/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	The beach and trails close to residents on the top of the bluffs or parks overlooking the bluffs should be designated as Quiet Zones so as not to ruin the natural peace prevalent along the existing shoreline. Parking in residential areas will also need to be severely restricted or prohibited.	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/10/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	A great amount of work has gone into this project. Please see your way to stepping back and considering the balance between development of the waterfront for all to enjoy and the naturally beautiful system that you are impacting. The 60m wide beach expansion at Bluffers Park is excessive and the proposed armour stone "finger" at the bottom of Cudia Park is unsightly and will be detrimental to the overall viewscape from Cudia Park. There should be strong emphasis on bluffs preservation where possible at a reasonable cost. I have detailed some items here that are truly important and are inline with municipal, provincial, and federal goals. The educational value of bluffs preservation cannot be overstated. The geology is unique on a global scale and there should be strong consideration to preserving the section at the base of Cudia Park for the public to see for generations to come.	Ecology
7/10/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	<p>The effects assessment does not address some key elements:</p> <p>1) It does not address the destruction of bank swallow habitat as a Negative effect. Bank Swallows are a threatened species under the Endangered Species Act and loss of nesting habitat is cited as the most certain cause of the 93% decline in the species population since 1970. The proposed Refined Alternative is not consistent with the Ontario Recovery Strategy for the Bank Swallow published by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (https://files.ontario.ca/mnrf_bans_rs_final-accsbl.pdf) in that it does not seek to preserve Bank Swallow nesting habitat in areas where such preservation is possible. In particular, along the Western Segment, the proposed beach expansion below the base of Cudia Park will stop the natural erosion process that is responsible for maintaining the steep bluff face needed for Bank Swallow nesting and foraging. To that end, Alternative 2A, or perhaps Alternative 5A, would allow for this overlooked criteria/objective to be met.</p> <p>2) It does not address the long term cumulative impact of traffic on the communities bordering the bluffs that will occur as users seek to use alternative route for accessing the beach/water's edge. In particular, if linked to Bluffers Beach, Doris McCarthy Trail will see people using Bellhaven Drive as a "parking lot" to access the main Bluffers Beach when those parking lots are full. Putting that kind of traffic and parking pressure on a quiet residential street is a potential Negative impact of the Preferred Alternative.</p> <p>3) The effects assessment also does not address the fact that the Preferred Alternative will result in the alteration/destruction of one of the last pristine sections of the namesake Scarborough Bluffs below Cudia Park. This loss is not trivial, as the Bluffs are a world renowned geological feature with significant aesthetic and educational value. Consideration should be given to preserving this section of the bluffs, particularly in light of the fact that it could be preserved by adopting the short span bridge option laid out in Alternative 2A.</p>	Effects Assessment
7/10/2017	Email	Keep our beaches in their natural state and maintain this environment for the protection of the wildlife existing there as well as the enjoyment of the next generations.	Ecology
7/10/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	The beautiful turquoise blue waters often seen from the top of the bluffs near Bluffer's Park owe their colour to silt suspended in the water column that is washed in from the few remaining sections where the bluffs remain in their natural state. Effort should be made to leave at least some sections of the Bluffs in their natural state so that future generations can see the landforms and processes that once dominated this rare and historically significant section of Canadian coastline. If you built a bridge in front of the Cudia ravine it would serve as a real showpiece for both the project and the importance of conservation.	Comment on Alternative
7/10/2017	Email	<p>Upon reviewing your materials regarding the Scarborough Waterfront Project's Refined Preferred Alternative, I noticed reference to a temporary gyrome about half way down Bluffer's Beach.</p> <p>Can you provide more detail on that temporary gyrome? How big is it? What would its general form would be? What does it look like? What is its purpose? Please free free to include anything else that you feel is relevant to it.</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/11/2017	Email	Like many Scarborough residents, I still have great concern over your recommendations for the hardening of Grey Abbey beach. I implore you to weigh out the alternatives and tread very conscientiously for this irreversible decision.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	No. I do not agree with the Refined Preferred Alternative, for the reasons cited, above. See, for instance, #1 (above).	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	See 1 & 2 (above).	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	<p>i) The assessment is not reasonable, because it is part of a 28 year-old egregious cover up that should be stopped. In 1989, the Metro Government adopted a wonderful Master Plan, for East Point Park. Yet, since then, TRCA not only resisted the plan, but also hid it, from the public - despite the plan had been approved, at least, 3 times! As a result of the cover-up, so far, for instance, there has not even been as much as a single public meeting, on the 28 year-old master plan - irregardless of its obvious merits.</p> <p>Yet, the public - especially the community which would be immediately effected, by what went on, at East Point Park, had a right to know about the plan! It also had a right to vote, on it!</p> <p>So, TRCA should not be moving ahead with a new premature EA, for East Point Park, until that community had, at least, a say, on the Master Plan.</p> <p>This means that, at minimum, the park should be removed, from the early EA, until that community has passed its own long-overdue judgement.</p> <p>If the master plan was implemented - like it should have been 28 years ago - then there would have been no call for including East Point Park in the new EA, today!</p> <p>ii) For 28 years, now, unelected TRCA bureaucrats were able to 'thumb their nose', at the dulyelected Metro Government plus its 1989 Master Plan, because the poorly-written Conservation Authorities Act allowed, for such; the incomplete and vague terminology, of this legislation, permitted the misconduct. In addition, the Act contained no penalties, for non-compliance.</p> <p>The Ontario Government, however, is presently striving to change that, by replacing the old documentation with a new Act.</p> <p>This means that TRCA will no longer be able to refuse the Metro Government's demands; the 1989 plan is, after all, the only official plan still on the books. So, it should still stand.</p> <p>Reflecting such status, I have an email, from Kathryn McGarry, Ontario's Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry, in which she suggests that Metro's 1989 Mater Plan, for East Point Park, is still a viable option.</p> <p>"Thank you for your email regarding the Toronto Region Conservation Authority's 2 (TRCA) compliance with the Conservation Authorities Act and TRCA's role in relation to a proposed marina development in Scarborough's East Point Park." - Kathryn McGarry [January 30, 2017]</p> <p>Nowhere, in the email does Kathryn say that the plan is non-viable.</p> <p>Also, there is a real possibility that the community will, finally, get its meeting. MPP Mitzie Hunter, for instance, has recently expressed a willingness to help get the event underway.</p> <p>Thus, from a reasonable perspective, the meeting seems inevitable!</p> <p>So, again, East Point Park should be excluded, from TRCA's new foreseeably-premature EA, at least, until the local community has had</p>	Effects Assessment
7/11/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	See 1 & 2 (above).	Miscellaneous

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/11/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	I do not agree with the plans to harden the natural shoreline of Grey Abbey. It is not reasonable to spend millions of dollars to destroy the natural features of the shoreline and bluffs in the eastern section of this proposed project. Surely there must be a better way to meet your objectives without destroying the integrity of the shoreline.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	<p>I do not agree with the refined preferred alternative for the East Segment. The area is an important resource for naturally occurring sand. It makes no sense disrupting the natural process of the sand occurring on this shoreline. Sand is considered an asset by world standards and a recent article in the New Yorker pointed out just how scarce sand is and how mining sand greatly exceeds natural renewal rates. There is nothing practical about destroying the sand beach of Grey Abbey. It is also not practical to engineer a wetland at the base of the bluffs in this area. The sand deposits of Grey Abbey also include clay from the large gulley and the small particle size within clay does not allow for the right movement of water or exchange of nutrients needed for a wetland. The area will become a mucky mess, not a wetland.</p> <p>The natural beaches just east of Guildwood already have engineered shoreline work and the area has reestablished itself and is now a popular site for wildlife and shore birds such as sandpipers, heron and more. Further down the beach at Grey Abbey, the bluffs are an active nesting location for bank swallows colonies. Bank swallows are a threatened species in Ontario. Habitat loss is a major contributing factor to their 90% decline. Why contribute further to the demise of this remarkable insectivore?!</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	I would like to refer you to my website and blog which includes many of my comments and views: www.jenfalvy.blogspot.ca www.torontonaturalshorelines.com	Miscellaneous
7/11/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	No comment.	Mitigation Measures
7/11/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	I do not agree with the plans to harden the natural shoreline of Grey Abbey. It is not reasonable to spend millions of dollars to destroy the natural features of the shoreline and bluffs in the eastern section of this proposed project. Surely there must be a better way to meet your objectives without destroying the integrity of the shoreline.	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	<p>I do not agree with the refined preferred alternative for the East Segment. The area is an important resource for naturally occurring sand. It makes no sense disrupting the natural process of the sand occurring on this shoreline. Sand is considered an asset by world standards and a recent article in the New Yorker pointed out just how scarce sand is and how mining sand greatly exceeds natural renewal rates. There is nothing practical about destroying the sand beach of Grey Abbey. It is also not practical to engineer a wetland at the base of the bluffs in this area. The sand deposits of Grey Abbey also include clay from the large gulley and the small particle size within clay does not allow for the right movement of water or exchange of nutrients needed for a wetland. The area will become a mucky mess, not a wetland.</p> <p>The natural beaches just east of Guildwood already have engineered shoreline work and the area has reestablished itself and is now a popular site for wildlife and shore birds such as sandpipers, heron and more. Further down the beach at Grey Abbey, the bluffs are an active nesting location for bank swallows colonies. Bank swallows are a threatened species in Ontario. Habitat loss is a major contributing factor to their 90% decline. Why contribute further to the demise of this remarkable insectivore?!</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	I would like to refer you to my website and blog which includes many of my comments and views: www.jenfalvy.blogspot.ca www.torontonaturalshorelines.com	Miscellaneous
7/11/2017	Feedback Form PIC3	No comment.	Mitigation Measures

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/11/2017	Email	<p>I would like to comment on the East Segment refinements:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - by putting the trail through East Point Park, instead of along the waterfront, surely erosion will continue to be an issue both at water level, and on top of the bluff? Does it not make more sense to build headlands all along to Highland Creek, in order to offer more protection? We've all seen the damage done by the unusually high water this year, so I can't see how leaving the shoreline to Highland Creek "as is" can be justified. - the suggested path along the top is through East Point Park, which surely would disrupt some of the current wildlife habitat, and also be subject to continued erosion. Why not use Copperfield Road as the path, particularly between the baseball fields and Beechgrove Rd, to avoid the issues mentioned above? <p>Thank you and good luck!</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2017	Email	<p>Good evening, Unfortunately I wasn't able to attend the meeting this time, so thank you for sending complete details.</p>	Consultation
7/11/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	<p>Yes, as stated in the June 28 presentation.</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	<p>Key agreement with these elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Support increased space for cyclists ascending Brimley Road (when being passed by a boat trailer here we need all the options we can get). Existing downhill lane is fine. - Support Maintaining a gravel surface on the Doris McCarthy trail to allow bicycles and similar to ascend without the excessive downhill speeds of an asphalt surface. -TRCA should consider a natural surface (screened gravel) rather than asphalt on the Guild section Multi-use path. Potential to reduce the issues of bicycle speed conflicts without speed bumps, gates, or enforcement threats. - Preserve the natural beach at East Point Park . If required, explore access options that do not require any construction lakefill. - Consider gravel surfaces for paths in East Point park for the same reasons as above 	Comment on Alternative
7/11/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	<p>Based on the information in the June 28 presentation, the results of the assessment are a very reasonable compromise.</p>	Effects Assessment
7/11/2017	Online Feedback Form PIC3	<p>As a cyclist who has been cycling around the Guild since the early 1980s, I support the change to preserve the East point beach from development. I ride thousands of km a year on paved and natural surface bike paths. But we shouldn't put them everywhere. There are excellent routes along the top of the bluffs east of the Guild Inn.</p>	Miscellaneous
7/11/2017	Email	<p>I want to lend my voice to those opposing the further development of the Scarborough Bluffs. The Bluffs are a treasure because they are wild and undomesticated. Paving them turns them into a Disney Park. Moreover, I am as a taxpayer, concerned about the spiraling costs and the apparent indifference to the risk and subsequent costs of landslides. The Bluffs are home to deer, coyotes and many more animals, some at risk; who speaks for them?</p>	Ecology
7/11/2017	Email	<p>We are cyclists who use park trails and we are also naturalists who treasure our city's outstanding natural heritage. Please do not sacrifice this heritage for the sake of active recreation. Some areas are simply not appropriate for active recreation trails. There are other low-impact ways to appreciate nature and this area's remarkable geological formations.</p> <p>Most Torontonians are amazed when they discover the natural beauty of this area. Please keep it intact for future generations to discover and appreciate.</p>	Ecology
7/11/2017	Email	<p>Erosion is a fact of life on a lakeside shoreline and no amount of engineering will stop it, especially as we move into a time of more extreme weather conditions. Parts of the trail that were built just recently have already fallen away. It's a reminder to be humble in the face of nature's powers.</p>	Ecology

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/11/2017	Email	<p>We are opposed to the Preliminary Preferred East Segment Alternative. This alternative proposes to destroy the natural sand beach from the Grey Abbey shore, eastward to the western boundary of East Point Park. Destruction of the majority of the last remaining natural sand beach on the mainland of Toronto will produce profound and irreversible environmental damage. Such action is contrary to the mandate of the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority and is a betrayal of the public trust.</p> <p>The TRCA exists to protect our natural heritage, not to disfigure it with ill-conceived engineering solutions. We urge you to have the foresight to manage this area responsibly for future generations. There are other ways to connect a trail across this area without taking such a drastic and damaging approach. If there are not sufficient funds available at this time to do it in a better way, than doing nothing at all would be preferable.</p>	Mandate
7/11/2017	Email	<p>? Additional access points to the shoreline will provide a safe way for people to reach it. This is something that the public has wanted for some time. Thank you for a plan that includes several. The bridges and walkways that were shown at the meeting were all very interesting. I would suggest that simple is best.</p>	access
7/11/2017	Email	<p>? I'm all for preserving the bluffs but please leave the beach alone! Can't it remain natural? I had no idea that people surfed there, but how wonderful is that!</p> <p>? On the subject of beaches, I understand that there is a small natural sandy beach between East Point and Bluffers. Please don't destroy it. People want beaches for swimming and picnicking. Presently, Rouge Beach and Bluffers are as crowded as they are, because families use them for just this purpose. Most areas of the waterfront trail have hard surfaces and can only be used for cycling or walking. The rocks which have been added to the shore are a deterrent to walking to the waters edge. One can easily twist an ankle on those large rocks. They are not safe for children. The trail is underutilized.</p>	Beach
7/11/2017	Email	<p>My thoughts: ? Widening the road to Bluffers Park and adding a safe pedestrian walkway makes sense, but please consider public transit such as shuttle buses or jitneys. One of the assistants did say that something of this nature will be explored. Perhaps it would lead to less people walking down the hazardous hill. Also, it would give access to the disabled and those who don't own vehicles and/or those who lack the stamina to climb up and down such a steep road.</p>	Brimley Road
7/11/2017	Email	<p>I attended the meeting regarding the Scarborough Waterfront Project on June 28th and will present my feedback.</p> <p>Firstly, I found the meeting to be informative. Surprisingly, the entire project was new to me even though I have lived adjacent to the area being discussed, for at least seventeen years. I wondered where one could have read about it in advance of the meeting. Some in attendance had obviously been to numerous presentations and their frustration was quite obvious. Overall, the information was presented in such a manner that one wondered why the public was invited. The panel gave the impression that all decisions had already been made. Phrases such as "We will be....." and "We plan to....." were in use. I hope that I was wrong.</p>	Consultation
7/11/2017	Email	<p>In conclusion, I found the meeting to be informative and the overall plan to be satisfactory. If I were to critique the plan, it would be the issue of the naturally occurring sandy beach. (I know that there are numerous environmental issues relating to the preservation of the sandy portion of the shore. I leave that discussion to the specialists.) Aside from the fact that it took thousands of years to create, it's also the only area between East Pt and Bluffers where one can throw down a blanket, have a picnic and build a sand castle.</p>	Miscellaneous

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2017	Email	<p>I have lived in Guildwood for 3 plus decades and have enjoyed Grey Abbey beach walks with my family....my kids and my grandkids, sisters, nephews and nieces and furred friends. There are not many places in East Scarborough that you can walk from home to enjoy the beach.</p> <p>Reasons we love it so much:</p> <p>Serene and very calming</p> <p>Kids love to swim when it's warm enough and paddle around when it's not</p> <p>Dogs love swimming and fetching</p> <p>Swallows foxes deer and other animals call it home</p> <p>And lastly....why destroy something so precious created by nature?</p> <p>Please don't. There are many other options</p>	Ecology
7/12/2017	Email	<p>At the PIC June 28 we appreciated the modifications to previous iterations of the SWP plan to protect the natural beach east of Greyabbey, but still object to the proposed destruction of the remaining natural beach between the Guild Inn and Greyabbey ravine, and to the cost of the project given the many demands on Toronto budgets for urgently needed repairs to social housing and improvements to public transit. We simply do not believe that connectivity along the beach in this area is worth either the destruction of the beach or the cost. We appreciate that the terms of reference include providing that connectivity, and apologize that we did not object at that stage of the EA</p>	Beach
7/12/2017	Email	<p>On behalf of the Toronto Field Naturalists, thank you for all your efforts to patiently explain the various alternatives and iterations of the plan over the past many months to us and to other interested members of our organization who value the important role that the natural habitats along the Scarborough bluffs play in the life of Toronto for both humans and other life forms both above and below the water surface.</p>	Consultation
7/12/2017	Email	<p>We do strongly support the proposals to reduce informal trails in East Point Park to protect the sensitive habitat there.</p>	Ecology
7/12/2017	Email	<p>In addition, we believe that damage to the trail along the water's edge further east in Scarborough in the few years since it was built, and likely damage to any infrastructure at the bottom of the bluffs by future storms at times of high lake level, which seems more likely than previously estimated given current lake levels, will cause maintenance costs to be higher than estimated.</p>	Existing Conditions

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2017	Email - Response to PIC	<p>Comments:</p> <p>This is a hardening that must not take place. Nowhere in the world would such an historic beach be considered for ruination, hardening, and loss of direct access to the beach, for all living creatures.</p> <p>Perhaps one of the least appreciated proposals that is parceled with the project's enhanced trails agenda is the intent to dump rubble and stones, and rocks and construction debris down the Guild Access road for TWELVE YEARS with the purpose of hardscaping the beach and building jetties or groins, barring water entry for people and animals. This will have severe impacts to the pristine centuries old beach and its living environment will be demolished irreversibly. We have met with the TRCA at various times, and have expressed with the team that the inevitable impacts of this aggressive treatment of a rare beach are not in line with "conservation,"</p> <p>The excuse of Public Safety has been used in this instance, and is being used again with the idea of paving a natural beach.</p> <p><<PICTURE>>Protection is being called for all-natural beaches world wide. A beach is a NATURAL erosion and storm protection. There are about 38,000 beaches in the world, and awareness of the struggle to protect these is rising. A beach or shoreline provides habitat and food for multiple living creatures, and once gone, it cannot be recovered.</p> <p>No paving, is becoming a mantra. Beaches are a natural "regulation."</p> <p><<4 PICTURES>>Beaches help protect us from storms. The wider the beach is, the more it reduces storm damage. It does this by dissolving energy across the surf zone. It also protects us from storms surges, tsunamis, and high tides. Nourishment is typically a repetitive process, it does not remove the physical forces that cause erosion, but it helps ease their effects.</p>	Beach
7/12/2017	Email - Response to PIC	<p>CONCLUSION</p> <p>No amount of justification on behalf of "accessibility" or "erosion control," or purported increased public safety, is going to convince the public that this natural beach should be and could be sacrificed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is zero justification for this ignoble idea of hardening a natural beach which is the envy of many jurisdictions. 2. Anticipated higher tourism needs to be mitigated in other ways: signage, education on natural beach ecology, and perhaps fines for those who endanger themselves causing taxpayer expense. 3. Advertise zero tolerance for abuse of the environment, littering, etc. 4. Recommend signage explaining the history of this beach, engendering respect. <p>Please see <name> 's amazing photographic journey of this beach.</p> <p>https://www.torontonaturalshorelines.ca/conv-of-gallery-2 <<PHOTO>></p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2017	Email - Response to PIC	<p>Thank you for receiving our comments, on behalf of Michael and myself, to the PIC 3 meeting June 28th, 2017 at Cardinal Newman High School.</p> <p>Time allotted for comments ran out before about four of us at the microphone could speak and ask our questions. We felt that the time given twice to one person, who was given about 10 minutes over two times at the mike, was perhaps unfair to those of us not granted the courtesy of a few comments and questions at the end of the hour. One of the last commenters, Mr. Michael Spencley, was cut off, but reminded the TRCA officers, technical team, and EA developers, that our elected representatives were in attendance, and that it was important that they know our perspectives on the East Segment of this TRCA proposal, namely, that we strongly object to paving or hardening the Grey Abbey and East Point beach, measuring more than 2 kilometres, the longest natural shoreline in the GTA.</p> <p>At this meeting, we could not discern a single person at the PIC 3 meeting, who was in favor of the hardening and engineering of this ancient beach. Despite the TRCA offering a revised lesser hardening, by about 650 meters, questions arose as to how that section was now deemed to be preserved, and the rest not, and by which rationale this new solution to the public's concerns arose. The record will show that this "solution" was not well received and was seriously questioned for several reasons by citizens in the Q and A.</p> <p>Additionally, at other meetings, we could not discern other than at one time, miniscule support for the paving of the beach, with the clear majority of attendees, stakeholders, providing numerous objections and articulating, in our view, excellent suggestions and solutions.</p>	Consultation

DRAFT

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2017	Email - Response to PIC	<p>...and that the public now has little appetite for this additional destruction having witnessed the removal of 2600 ash trees, and other collateral damages, in the wetlands and Guild forests, in preparation for the Dynamic Inn Banquet Hall, now in operation. These trees, most of which were removed from the interior forest, had some, many, according to an independent Arborist from the Thousand Islands, a chance of recovery and should never have been removed, even if needing intervention. Bio mass of a substantial order necessary for healthy forest recovery, has now been removed. Collateral damage occurred with Butternut trees gouged, and other smaller saplings, cherry, maple, simply mowed down as they were "in the way." Nowhere in the forests of the area, Colonel Danforth Park and Forest, Rouge Forest, etc. are they, the City of Toronto Forestry Department, taking down dying or recovering ash trees. Nowhere. This has been a large shock to wildlife, numbering in the tens of thousands, and those who habituate and travel this tip of the Carolinian Forest for many years.</p> <p>DIRECT HIT IMPACTS TO WILDLIFE We have now witnessed increased and different wildlife in our yards as various species try to find new habitat, or recover from weather shocks, or general displacement. This includes rare sightings of the at-risk door mouse in back yards. Habitat loss is of high concern world wide. While the City of Toronto expands and builds, we feel it must balance the ecological treasures it holds, and safeguard these for future generations. Once these are damaged or "engineered beyond balance," there will be no chance to recover.</p> <p>The forest area at the Guild is part of the Carolinian Chain, and examples with little question, the best area of Carolinian habitat in the GTA: home to over 200 species of birds, either migrating or nesting. One author writes in his birdathon at this Guild area:</p> <p>As sunlight began to filter into the woods, many other birds began to sing. In one particular productive patch of Guildwood Park, I had six warbler species at once—Black-and-White, Pine, Bay-breasted, Magnolia, Black Throated Blue and Ovenbird.....plus Great Crested Flycatcher to add to the Flycatcher count. As I passed by the old Guild Inn, I had the day's first Chimney Swifts flying overhead, and by the time I followed the path down to the lakeshore, I was an hour into my day, with a count of 41 species. <<PICTURE>></p>	Ecology
7/12/2017	Email - Response to PIC	<p>The area along the shore, up to the forest, and on the cliffs, is a unique and ancient habitat, that must be protected and provided natural integrity, not an engineered approach to managing tourism, providing safety, and so-called erosion protection. It is now well known that shorelines have a dynamic of their own, and hardening simply and generally, moves the erosion down line. World wide, coastal experts are rebuilding natural shores, and removing hardening. (In some instances, stabilizing may be an economic necessity. This is not the case with the shore in question.)</p>	Ecology
7/12/2017	Email - Response to PIC	<p>The cash for ash program appears to be only a small part now of more devastation to the area, possibly trucking C and D (Construction and Demolition rubble, which includes lead paint, asbestos, solvents, etc.) from the GTA, taking tipping fees, for the TRCA for 12 years. The Waterfront team estimates the cost to be about \$170 million.</p>	Funding/Cost

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2017	Email - Response to PIC	<p>SHORELINE IMPACTS</p> <p>In a cautionary writing, North Carolina coastal protectors opine. It is worth including the entire segment called, Truths of the Shoreline. The limitations of groins and jetties are also explained in this piece.</p> <p>“TRUTHS OF THE SHORELINE”</p> <p>Cape May is the country’s oldest shoreline resort. Built on a shoreline that migrates much like North Carolina’s, it is a classic example of the poorly developed American shoreline, and one from which North Carolina can learn.</p> <p>From examples of Cape May and other shoreline areas, certain generalizations or “universal truths” about the shoreline emerge quite clearly. These truths are equally evident to scientists who have studied the shoreline and old-timers who have lived there all their lives. As aids to safe and aesthetically pleasing shoreline development, they should be the fundamental basis of planning on any barrier island. <<2 PICTURES>></p> <p>1. There is no erosion problem until a structure is built on a shoreline. Beach erosion is a common, expected event, not a natural disaster. Shoreline erosion in its natural state is not a threat to barrier islands. It is, in fact, an integral part of island evolution and the dynamic system of the entire barrier island. When a beach retreats it does not mean that the island is disappearing; the island is migrating. Many developed islands are migrating at surprisingly rapid rates, though only the few investigators who pore over aerial photographs are aware of it. Whether the beach is growing or shrinking does not concern the visiting swimmer, surfer, hiker, or fisherman. It is when man builds a “permanent” structure in this zone of change that a problem develops.</p> <p>2. Construction by man on the shoreline causes shoreline changes. The sandy beach exists in a delicate balance with sand supply, beach shape, wave energy, and sea-level rise. This is called the dynamic equilibrium. Most construction on or near the shoreline changes this balance and reduces the natural flexibility of the beach (Figure 22). The result is change which often threatens man-made structures. Dune-removal, which often precedes construction, reduces the sand supply used by the beach to adjust its profile during storms. Beach cottages-even those on stilts-may obstruct the normal sand exchange between the beach and the shelf during storms. Similarly, engineering devices interrupt or modify the natural cycle. (Our emphasis)</p> <p>3. Shoreline engineering protects the interests of a very few, often at a very high cost in federal and state dollars. Shoreline engineering is carried out to save beach property, not the beach itself. Beach-stabilization projects are in the interest of the minority of beach property owners rather than the public. If the shoreline were allowed to migrate naturally over and past the cottages and hot dog stands, the fisherman and swimmer would not suffer. Yet beach property owners apply pressure for the spending of tax money public funds-to protect the beach. Since these property owners do not constitute the general public, their personal interests do not warrant the large expenditures of public money required for shoreline stabilization. Exceptions to this rule are the beaches near large metropolitan areas. The combination of extensive high rise development and heavy beach use (100,000 or more people per day) affords ample economic justification for extensive and continuous shoreline stabilization projects. The cost of replenishing Wrightsville Beach, for example, is equal to that of replenishing Coney Island, New York, which accommodates tens of thousands more people daily during the summer</p>	Miscellaneous
7/12/2017	Email - Response to PIC	<p>WHAT DOES CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION RUBBLE LOOK LIKE AND CONTAIN?</p> <p>Imagine 12 years of this down a local road to a natural beach. <<3 PICTURES>></p> <p>Cement contains: asbestos fibres, often hard to discern as they were mixed in very well; clay; silica; calcium carbonate, alumina and iron ore; adhesives; moulds.</p> <p>Construction Debris contains: lead paint; other wastes generated during new building construction include treated wood, paint and solvent wastes, glues and roofing tars. <<COMIC STRIP>></p>	Miscellaneous
7/12/2017	email	<p>Please leave the last section as is. No further paving and breakwaters are needed.</p> <p>The Bluffs should be left natural.</p> <p>For the last 43 years my family and I have been true custodians to our property.</p> <p>There is no convincing reason to change things on the beautiful Bluffs.</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2017	Email - Response to PIC	<p>Please add the attached two documents to my submission of comment for this final stage of the Environmental Assessment for the SWP.</p> <p>Both documents are in response to the project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Taking a Closer Look examines the foundational documents behind the original vision and design of the waterfront project - In Review looks at the policy guidelines and the selection of alternatives 	Process
7/12/2017	PIC #3 Email	<p>Re: Scarborough Waterfront Project Environmental Assessment</p> <p>Thank you very much for the opportunity for the Waterfront Regeneration Trust to provide comments on Overall Preferred Alternative dated June 28, 2017 for the Scarborough Waterfront Project Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>The Waterfront Regeneration Trust is a registered charity that evolved from the Royal Commission on the Future of the Toronto Waterfront (1988 – 1991; report Regeneration) and the subsequent Waterfront Regeneration Trust Provincial Agency (1992 – 1995; report The Lake Ontario Greenway Strategy). Our mandate is rooted in the recommendations and policy documents listed above and our work to create a Great Lakes Waterfront Trail is an expression of the philosophy and approach they promote.</p> <p>A summary of the history of the Trust and excerpts from the above noted reports that relate the Scarborough Waterfront are attached.</p> <p>Given this background, the Trust was invited to participate in the Stakeholder Committee that provided input into the proposals that were developed that result in the Overall Preferred Alternative. Vicki Barron, Director of Regeneration Initiatives and retired Credit Valley Conservation General Manager represented the WRT on the Committee.</p> <p>The Waterfront Regeneration Trust supports the Overall Preferred Alternative. The development of the Preferred Alternative has been guided by the nine principles for regenerating the waterfront that were developed after extensive public consultation by the Royal Commission on the Future of the Toronto Waterfront – Clean, Green, Connected, Open, Accessible, Useable, Diverse, Affordable and Attractive.</p> <p>In addition, the Preferred Alternative has been developed with the Integrated Shoreline Management Plan as the base of information for this important section of the Lake Ontario shoreline. This was a key recommendation coming out of the Royal Commission work.</p> <p>The Waterfront Regeneration Trust commends the TRCA and the City of Toronto on the development of the Preferred Alternative and the extensive opportunity for public input and the care taken to refine the Alternative to incorporate public and agency comments.</p> <p>The proposed route for the Great Lakes Waterfront Trail and Greenway as outlined in the Preferred Alternative will be a much desired and used public space and we urge the TRCA, City of Toronto and Minister of the Environment and Climate Change to approve the Preferred Alternative and move on to</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2017	PIC #3 Email	<p>My feedback on the Scarborough Waterfront Project, last two meetings:</p> <p>I will never approve of the Waterfront Trail Project as it stands. If it was done properly, with nature in mind, and no dogs, like the Lynde Shores Conservation Area, I would be extremely enthusiastic. For the past two years, it has become crystal clear that there is only one mission in mind for this project – bring masses of people to the Bluffs at any dollar cost, and at any cost and sacrifice to nature. As it stands, this project is ONLY about people – NOT nature, NOT wildlife. It is only about bringing people to what should be a natural area for wildlife, so that people can do what people do – “enjoy themselves” and in the process destroy the land for anything but human activity. In this day and age, we should all know better than to continue to do this – this is 1960's behaviour. . At this rate, there will be nothing left of nature or wildlife to enjoy in a few decades.</p> <p>We have left very little room for nature as it stands, with dire consequences. Please see article here. http://www.cnn.com/2017/07/11/world/sutter-mass-extinction-ceballos-study/index.html</p> <p>Future generations will be left holding the empty bags, and will ask us – why did you do this when all the facts were plain to see, telling you that you were destroying the earth, and still you kept going? In good conscience, I won't sanction this project which will take away a sanctuary in the city for the animals – for both resident animals and migratory animals. It is ignorant to say that the construction and masses of visitors will have no impact on the animals – and in some meetings you have said that human activity will help some animals!!! Wow – if that isn't human-centric thinking!</p> <p>We have the opportunity NOW to take a different path – a path that will respect and protect what is left of nature and wildlife, and take us AWAY from our current path of more and more destruction leading to a very bleak future indeed – if we choose to do the right thing and take it!</p>	Comment on Alternative

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2017	PIC #3 Email	<p>I realize today is the deadline for feedback and I'd like to submit mine.</p> <p>First, I'd like to commend TRCA on listening to public feedback and factoring it into the refined preferred alternative.</p> <p>While the revisions are welcome, they do not go far enough and still include the destruction of Grey Abbey Beach.</p> <p>The erosion control project which was inflicted on the base of the bluffs below the Guild Inn -- effectively armoring the shoreline is an abomination. Destruction of our remaining beach cannot be tolerated as part of the refined plan. What's done is done and I welcome improvements to the existing hardened shoreline between Galloway and Bluffer's Park, but above all, I urge the TRCA to protect and preserve the remaining beach!</p> <p>Rather than pave a trail from Galloway to Grey Abbey Ravine, I'd like to suggest a headland trail along the south boulevard of Guildwood Parkway - from Galloway to Grey Abbey Trail. From there trail users can follow Grey Abbey to Grey Abbey park and the trail system can connect to Manse Road and the proposed headland trail at East Point Park.</p> <p>Any further shoreline hardening, destruction of beaches and habitat is COMPLETELY UNACCEPTABLE and cannot be tolerated as part of a project which claims to preserve/restore the shoreline. Paving a beach amounts to destruction of that beach -- it's simply incompatible with the objective of restoration and preservation.</p> <p>Thanks in advance for listening to the public's feedback on this important matter.</p>	Comment on Alternative

DRAFT

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2017	PIC #3 Email	<p>Torontonians are very lucky. In the east end of the city, beyond the towers of the downtown and the commotion of the Beach district, lies a fringe of gentle, sandy shore, uninhibited by concrete and construction refuse.</p> <p>South of the Kingston Road, at the bottom of Brimley Avenue, lies the largely manmade Bluffer's Beach, while to the east, Grey Abbey Beach, wilder and teeming with rare flora and fauna, is part of the last remaining natural sand beach on the mainland of Toronto. Aside from the unique opportunities these areas present for humans to be in nature, these shores are quite special from another perspective: Torontonians actually swim, surf, paddle and play in the water.</p> <p>The TRCA has developed a proposal that would negatively impact Torontonians' ability to use Bluffer's Beach. Farther to the east, at Grey Abbey, the TRCA delivers the knock-out punch, with the proposal for the complete obliteration of the shore from the western edge of the East Segment, all the way over to the Grey Abbey Ravine. But perhaps actually floating, splashing and playing in the water doesn't matter much to the TRCA. At the PIC#2 meeting, a TRCA official indicated that what Torontonians really wanted was to, "dip their toe in the water." How outrageous. How insulting to the intelligence of Torontonians. How deeply lacking in insight. Despite over 1,000 signatures on a petition that decries shoreline hardening and supports sensible increased access to the beach, despite the virtual mutiny of the TRCA stakeholder's group subsequent to the PIC#2 meeting, despite the disgust of several University of Toronto environmental students, and despite the vehement resistance voiced at the PIC#3 meeting, the TRCA is marching ahead with its pre-ordained plan and attempting to ram this malevolent, \$170 million, 12 year disruptive boondoggle down the throats of taxpayers. Instead of delivering answers to multiple unanswered requests by the public for further information about their developing proposal, the TRCA boldly hired another consultant on the taxpayer's dime — a person who deals with, in her own words, "difficult EA projects". To add insult to injury, a thinly veiled suggestion was made by Waterfront Specialist Nancy Gaffney at the PIC#3 meeting that Scarborough Bluffs residents to not want to share the beaches with other Torontonians. I, for one, am on the record as supporting increased access to the beach. With the effects of global warming all around us, Toronto's beaches are a precious resource. I do not, however, support access to a concrete highway to nowhere that obliterates the beach and destroys habitat for threatened species. It is my position that the TRCA has not satisfied any of the objectives previously outlined in the SWP. My comments on the failure of the TRCA to meet those objectives will form the basis of my deposition to the TRCA at the July 28, 2017 meeting.</p> <p>Suffice it to say for now that the proposal in its current form lacks imagination — there is a paucity of thought and disinclination to consider the many ways of increasing access to the beach, while curbing erosion and ensuring safety that does not involve the destruction of the very reason for going down to the water in the first place. Toronto's past is littered with mistakes that deprived us of much of our waterfront. The fact that we are poised to wreck more of what precious little we have left is nothing short of outrageous in the twenty-first century.</p> <p>The SWP presents a unique opportunity to get the eastern shore right for generations to come. Please go back to the drawing board, respect and hear the public, and bring us back a vision for the shore of which we can all be proud.</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2017	PIC #3 Email	<p>Bluffers Park Beach area has become so unbelievably crowded ! You must now pay for parking and sometimes there aren't enough spots. The lineups driving back up to Kingston Road are ridiculously long. It is proof that people would be thrilled to have more beachfront space like at Bluffers.</p>	Access

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/12/2017	PIC #3 Email	<p>Our Guildwood community is angered and bewildered at MTRCA's decision to harden part of our stunningly beautiful sandy beach area between Morningside and East Point Park</p> <p>The last meeting that I attended, showed everyone was bitterly opposed to any hardening of this naturally beautiful shoreline. We all feel that any bit of hardening would be the biggest mistake that MTRCA could make!</p> <p>And apparently there are some of your consultants working on this project,who have never even walked along the shoreline! \$170 million dollars of our tax payers hard earned money is going to be used to destroy a stunningly beautiful landmark of Toronto. This beautiful sandy shoreline is a rarity anywhere, but especially in a large city,and it must stay intact, not one bit of it hardened. In this day and age, with a booming population, and soaring summer temperatures, we all need accessibility to the beachfront where swimmers, kayakers, stand up paddlers, windsurfers, seadoosers, boaters,etc.,can access from a sandy beach. Families with children would love to set up a picnic on the beach where the youngsters could frolick in the water, skip stones or play frisbee. Your hardened shoreline does not permit this type of activity and your granite jetties are stark looking, unattractive,and lack any vegetation or trees to protect from the sun's heat and harmful UV rays. They lack space for a picnic table and are very user unfriendly. The rounded headlands west of the Guild Inn would have great potential for picnickers if you placed some more trees and picnic tables on them.</p> <p>We need to envision a real beachfront in a natural state and also envision that you could strategically create a couple of user friendly,and more esthetically appealing headlands from the water that would have trails for the disabled and picnic tables similar to what Bluffers park has now. And leave the beach intact!</p> <p>Please reconsider your plan and listen to the people!</p>	Comment on Alternative
7/12/2017	PIC #3 Email	<p>Concerning erosion of the bluffs, every year, there have been many large mud slides, where you have already hardened the shoreline. A parking lot and tennis courts have disappeared over your hardened shoreline!</p> <p>And I have noticed some smaller slides just by Morningside where you have already constructed some headlands off the beach. East of this, where no hardening has occurred, I haven't seen any slides! How then do you justify hardening the shoreline to preserve the Bluffs?</p>	Erosion

Date	Source	Comment	Theme
7/13/2017	Email	<p>In regards to the Scarborough Waterfront Project, I cannot support any plans for development along the beach. Everyone does not need access to all parts of the shoreline. Bluffers Park, the Beaches, Harbour Front or in the east, Pickering, provide the kind of recreation offered by your proposed plans for the Scarborough waterfront; therefore, it does not seem reasonable to confiscate the final bits of sandy beach. The tiny strip of undeveloped shoreline with the panoramic bluffs rising behind, is for those who desire to experience nature in its natural, impeccable state. The undeveloped waterfront offers an experience for the naturalists, the birders, the artists, for those who want a peaceful stroll within this natural landscape. For those who want amenities, may visit the aforementioned venues. Hiking through rugged terrain like Killarney or portaging through the woods of Temagami isn't for everyone either, yet there isn't the need to make it universally accessible. This shoreline is a natural treasure; an escape from the intense development of the city, a refuge. When I am down there I can imagine the city is far, far away and I am hiking and observing the natural wonders of this landscape. Our shoreline is sacred. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>	Comment on Alternative

DRAFT